

Conceptual Breaks

General reader edition

Juan Segurola · All Rights Reserved

The Universe and Its Observers

This book follows nineteen changes in what could be explained, measured or demonstrated. Each chapter offers a self-contained narrative of a conceptual problem, the evidence or argument that changed it, and the limits of the resulting explanation. The order follows the companion scientific edition rather than one continuous chronological story.

The narratives are adapted from the corresponding chapters of the scientific edition. They omit the worked calculations and formal derivations while retaining the distinctions needed to interpret the evidence. References are retained with each chapter. Historical testimony, a mathematical demonstration and an empirical result are treated as different kinds of support.

Contents

Motion without a continuous mover	3
Extinction becomes a fact of natural history	5
Life becomes open to physicochemical explanation	7
Cells become units of living organization	9
Geometry no longer has one inevitable form	11
Infinity becomes an object of exact comparison	13
Giant molecules become a chemical possibility	15
Testing gravity: 1915 to 1975	17
Quantum foundations become experimentally discriminable	19
Deterministic laws cease to guarantee practical prediction	21
From electrodynamic infinities to Wilson's scales	23
Discrete genes and continuous variation: a statistical reconciliation	25
Deciphering the genetic code: 1954 to 1966	27
Evolution without an adaptive explanation for every change	29
Immunity becomes selection among biological variants	31
Formal proof encounters internal limits	33
Making sensation measurable: psychophysics and mental chronometry	35
Remembering becomes reconstruction	37
The adult brain becomes a changing system	39

Motion without a continuous mover

A thrown stone leaves the hand but keeps moving. That familiar sight poses a less familiar question: what, exactly, needs a cause? We might ask what keeps the stone going, or instead ask what changes its speed and direction. The difference between those questions helped reshape mechanics.

Buridan placed a motive quality, *impetus*, in the projectile. It could sustain movement after contact with the thrower ended, while resistance and contrary tendencies could diminish it. This was an important alternative to making the surrounding air do the work. It remained part of a wider Aristotelian setting, rather than a complete replacement of that setting. [1]

It would be misleading to rename *impetus* “momentum” and declare modern mechanics already present. Similarities in language about speed and matter do not establish the same mathematical quantity or rules of interaction. The historical account still used something possessed by the body to explain continued movement. An inertial account would change the demand for that sustaining cause itself.

Persistence also leaves a question about the path. Continuing around a circle at constant speed is different from continuing straight: the direction keeps changing. Descartes distinguished persistence in a state from the rectilinear character of motion. His wider account contained difficulties that should not be silently replaced with later textbook physics. [2]

The resulting shift reallocates the work of explanation. In the modern inertial framework, interactions explain changes in velocity, while uniform straight motion needs no additional

sustaining force. A sliding object that stops on a table still encounters resistance. Its stopping therefore does not settle what an undisturbed body would do.

This history supplies no automatic chain of transmission from Buridan to Galileo to Newton. Influence requires documents; resemblance alone does not prove it. The conceptual change is clearer and more demanding: distinguish persistence from change, specify the relevant conditions, and investigate the interactions responsible for departures from an undisturbed path.

References

- [1] Zupko, J. "John Buridan." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, section on natural philosophy and impetus. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/buridan/>
- [2] Slowik, E. "Descartes' Physics." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, sections on the laws of motion and bodily forces. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/descartes-physics/>

Extinction becomes a fact of natural history

A fossil can show that an animal existed. Showing that its kind no longer exists is harder. Perhaps it survives somewhere nobody has looked. The acceptance of extinction depended on making that possibility answerable to evidence, rather than treating every unfamiliar bone as a lost species.

Cuvier connected anatomical comparison with an argument about living animals. Teeth, jaws and other parts constrained identifications. Differences also had to be compared with ordinary variation: two unlike bones need not belong to different species. The later account used here is his essay in its English fifth edition, with Jameson's additions, not a transcript of the original fossil-elephant discussion. [1]

Even a convincing identification left the survival question open. Cuvier distinguished conspicuous land animals from marine forms that collecting methods could easily miss. Travel and existing knowledge seemed more informative about some large land animals, although unexplored refuges still had to be considered. His confidence in available coverage could itself be questioned. [1]

The enduring point is that not finding something means more when there was a good opportunity to find it. Searching the same unsuitable place repeatedly does not supply the same evidence as independent searches across likely habitats. An absence becomes informative through the conditions of observation, not through repetition alone.

Establishing disappearance also differs from explaining its cause. Cuvier connected fossils with terrestrial revolutions, but the

conclusion that a kind of animal had vanished need not stand or fall with every part of that geological explanation. Anatomical identity, continued survival and the mechanism of extinction are separate claims. [1]

A genuinely historical world could now contain forms with no living representatives. Present-day collections remained useful, but could not be the complete inventory of all past life. The change opened a new question: what warrants concluding that a history has ended? Even the last fossil found need not mark the last individual that lived.

References

- [1] Cuvier, G. *Essay on the Theory of the Earth*. Fifth edition, with geological illustrations by Robert Jameson. Edinburgh: William Blackwood, 1827. Authorial essay, especially the sections on fossil quadrupeds, anatomical determination and the prospect of discovering unknown large animals. <https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/62918>

Life becomes open to physicochemical explanation

“What is life?” is a broad question. “Can this reaction continue after the cells are broken apart?” is a question an experimental preparation can help answer. Cell-free fermentation mattered because it removed a particular dependence, rather than explaining every feature of a living organism at once.

Different claims about a special role for life faced different tests. Making urea outside an organism challenged a restriction on making an organic substance. It did not establish that fermentation could occur without intact yeast. Buchner’s later Nobel lecture describes a debate with several competing chemical and cellular proposals, including earlier attempts to extract a fermenting agent. [1]

A failed extraction was ambiguous. There might be no separable activity, or the method might destroy what it was meant to reveal. Preparation was therefore part of the argument. Buchner described obtaining expressed yeast juice in which fermentation could occur. The result separated the ongoing integrity of whole cells from a chemical activity carried out by material derived from them. [1]

“Cell-free” did not mean that the ingredients had no biological origin. Nor did it mean that the liquid contained a single purified agent. The narrower conclusion was already powerful: under the tested conditions, whole living yeast cells were not indispensable to the transformation.

The new preparation made further experiments possible. Researchers could separate components and investigate which combinations restored activity. Buchner’s lecture describes contri-

butions from components with different heat sensitivities and the importance of phosphate. A restoration of activity constrains an explanation, but does not automatically identify every participant in the process. [1]

The lecture is also a participant's retrospective testimony. It records collaborators and earlier proposals, not an independent verdict on every opponent. The conceptual gain survives that caution: a function could be separated and studied without treating the organism as an indivisible cause. Cellular organization still mattered for growth, reproduction and regulation. Explaining one reaction did not amount to rebuilding life.

References

- [1] Buchner, E. "Cell-free fermentation." Nobel Lecture, 11 December 1907. Especially printed pages 103–111 and the later discussion of co-enzyme and phosphate. <https://www.nobelprize.org/uploads/2018/06/buchner-lecture.pdf>

Cells become units of living organization

A microscope can reveal a boundary without explaining what role that boundary plays in an organism. The history of cell theory therefore involves more than seeing small compartments. It connects a claim about living structure with a separate claim about how that structure continues through time.

Hooke's cork chambers did not reveal every activity of a living cell. Later comparisons across plants and animals supported a much wider organizational account. Schleiden and Schwann helped make cells a common unit across tissues whose appearances could differ greatly. The modern textbook used here summarizes that history; it is not an original laboratory record. [1]

A powerful generalization could coexist with an incorrect mechanism. Early accounts allowed cell formation through a process compared with crystallization. Rejecting that explanation did not require rejecting every comparison between plant and animal tissues. Conversely, the success of the comparisons could not validate the proposed mechanism of formation. [1]

Continuity needed evidence about events, not just shapes. Two cells close together in a static image do not, by themselves, show one dividing into two. Remak's work contributed evidence for cell division; Virchow later popularized the principle that cells arise from cells. Keeping those contributions distinct avoids giving the whole achievement to the most memorable name. [1]

Cells became units of lineage as well as structure. That framework lets us ask where a newly observed cell came from and how growth and differentiation changed its history. It does not supply a complete account of the first origin of cells.

Nor is an organism explained by counting cells as independent pieces. Their positions, surroundings and interactions matter. The conceptual change made these relationships more accessible: activities of cells help explain tissues, while tissue conditions shape cellular activity. What had begun as visible organization became a framework for investigating both structure and its continuation.

References

- [1] OpenStax. *Microbiology*, §3.2, “Foundations of Modern Cell Theory,” subsection “The Origins of Cell Theory.” <https://openstax.org/books/microbiology/pages/3-2-foundations-of-modern-cell-theory>

Geometry no longer has one inevitable form

After many unsuccessful attempts to prove a statement, one possibility is that nobody has found the right argument. Another is that the statement does not follow from the assumptions. Non-Euclidean geometry made that second possibility central to an old problem about parallels.

Euclid's parallel postulate had seemed less evident than other premises. Attempts to derive it could quietly import an equivalent assumption elsewhere. A familiar drawing could conceal the commitment being tested. Lobachevsky and Bolyai instead developed the consequences of an alternative condition, allowing the unfamiliar structure to become an object of investigation. [1]

Terminology matters here. Historical distinctions among parallels and other non-intersecting lines should not be flattened into an everyday use of "parallel." The important point is that a different rule about lines can belong to a systematically developed geometry, rather than being dismissed immediately because it violates familiar expectations. [2]

Working out many consequences without finding a contradiction is not itself a proof of consistency. A model can supply a stronger, conditional result. If the new geometry can be represented in another mathematical framework, a contradiction would transfer to that framework. This is relative consistency, rather than an absolute guarantee for all mathematics. [1]

A disk representation helps illustrate why pictures need interpretation. What looks like a curved arc on a Euclidean page can represent a straight path in the geometry being modeled. The

drawing and the distances of the represented space are not the same thing.

Physical space raises a further question. A mathematically possible geometry needs observational support before it can be used as a description of measured space. Instruments and definitions of paths enter that comparison. Euclid was not simply proved false: his theorems remain valid under their assumptions. The change was the ability to distinguish what follows mathematically, how theories can be related, and what observations support physically.

References

- [1] Torretti, R. "Nineteenth Century Geometry." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, especially §§1–2 and §4. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/geometry-19th/>
- [2] Lobachevski, N. I. *Geometrical Researches on the Theory of Parallels*. Translated by George Bruce Halsted. Open Court, 1914 printing, §16. <https://archive.org/details/geometricalresea00lobaiala>

Infinity becomes an object of exact comparison

Imagine matching every positive whole number with its double. Every positive even number receives a partner, and no positive whole number is left unmatched. Pairing gives the two collections the same cardinality, even though one is a proper part of the other. A rule familiar from finite collections has reached its limit.

Cantor's work made such comparisons exact. Some infinite collections admit a list in which every element has a finite position. Fractions can be arranged systematically even though between any two distinct real numbers there are fractions. Being densely distributed and being unlistable are different properties.

The 1874 paper studied algebraic numbers and the real numbers more generally. Algebraic numbers solve polynomial equations with integer coefficients; those equations can be organized so their roots form a countable collection. The original argument concerning the reals used nested intervals. It should not be confused with the later diagonal method. [1]

The diagonal idea is easier to describe through decimals. Suppose someone offers a complete list of real numbers between zero and one. Construct a new decimal whose first digit differs from the first entry's first digit, whose second differs from the second entry's second digit, and so on. A suitable rule using only the digits one and two avoids ambiguous decimal endings. Every proposed entry is excluded at its own position.

The argument defeats any proposed complete list of that kind, not merely one badly ordered list. It is a modern presentation of the later strategy, not a transcription of the 1874 proof. The historical

debate also concerned which infinite objects and methods were legitimate, rather than simple dislike of a surprising answer. [2]

None of this experimentally counts an infinity of physical objects. It distinguishes mathematical notions of size. Length, density and cardinality no longer need to agree. The achievement was to replace one vague image of endlessness with specific constructions and proofs of what can, and cannot, be paired.

References

- [1] Cantor, G. "Ueber eine Eigenschaft des Inbegriffes aller reellen algebraischen Zahlen." *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 77, 258–262 (1874). English translation by James R. Meyer, "On a Property of the Set of all Real Algebraic Numbers." <https://jamesrmeyer.com/infinite/cantor-1874-uncountability-proof>
- [2] Ferreirós, J. "The Early Development of Set Theory." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/settheory-early/>

Giant molecules become a chemical possibility

A large particle in a liquid need not be a large molecule. It may be a cluster of smaller molecules. Alternatively, its atoms may belong to one enormous chemically connected structure. Distinguishing these possibilities changed the questions chemists could ask about rubber, cellulose and related materials.

Staudinger's retrospective Nobel lecture explains why aggregate accounts were plausible. Similar solution behavior could arise in different kinds of material. A viscous liquid or a size-sensitive measurement might establish the presence of large entities without revealing what held each entity together. The proposal of macromolecules extended ordinary bonding over much larger structures. It did not invent a special giant-molecule bond. [1]

Chemical transformations supplied a stronger approach. Investigators could change groups in a material and ask whether the underlying chain relationship remained. Staudinger discusses cellulose derivatives and comparisons among measurement methods. The argument depended on combining chemical and size information, rather than on one striking property of a solution. [1]

The alternatives still needed care. Changing a group might alter solubility, association or chain shape. A viscosity change did not automatically show chain breakage. Several observations had to constrain the proposed connectivity together.

A further consequence was that a sample could contain molecules of different chain lengths. An average then summarized a distribution. Weighting by number and weighting by mass could give different results, without either result implying that a molecule of

exactly the average size existed. Method disagreement therefore needed a measurement definition before it could be interpreted.

Staudinger's lecture records a broad field and many contributors, but remains a participant's account of its history. Aggregates did not cease to exist when macromolecules became accepted.

Chemistry gained a way to distinguish them. Chain length, branching and distributions could become explanatory variables, while interactions and processing still mattered for the properties of the final material.

References

- [1] Staudinger, H. "Macromolecular chemistry." Nobel Lecture, 11 December 1953. Especially printed pages 397–405 and Table 5. <https://www.nobelprize.org/uploads/2018/06/staudinger-lecture.pdf>

Testing gravity: 1915 to 1975

A theory can fit an observation without being the only theory that fits it. Gravitational experiments became more discriminating when researchers asked which particular feature of a theory each measurement constrained. An orbit, a frequency shift and a radar delay do not supply interchangeable evidence.

Einstein's account of Mercury's remaining orbital discrepancy explained an existing problem. Eclipse measurements instead examined the apparent positions of stars near the Sun. The latter required careful interpretation of photographs and uncertainty; they did not directly photograph spacetime curvature. Both episodes mattered, but they played different evidential roles. [1]

Pound and Rebka brought a gravitational frequency-shift test into a terrestrial laboratory. Resonant gamma-ray absorption and an adjustable Doppler shift made an extremely small effect comparable with something experimentally controlled. The result tested a relation between gravitational potential and frequency. It did not by itself select every field equation of general relativity over every alternative. [2]

Shapiro proposed looking for an additional round-trip radar delay when a signal passed close to the Sun. Time replaced direction as the principal observable. Orbital geometry, instrumental offsets and intervening material therefore entered the analysis differently. Describing this as light simply slowing down locally would confuse a coordinate description with what a local freely falling observer measures. [3]

Common comparison frameworks then allowed several gravitational theories to be described through shared parameters. Different experiments could restrict different combinations of

those parameters. Agreement across several observables could eliminate alternatives surviving any one test, within the framework's stated assumptions. [1]

The period from 1915 to 1975 should not borrow later gravitational-wave successes to strengthen its own evidence. Its achievement was already substantial: a coordinated program of tests made alternatives explicit. Special relativity also remained distinct from a theory of gravity. The new standard demanded an account of what was measured, which assumptions connected measurement to theory, and which possibilities the result actually excluded.

References

- [1] Will, C. M. "The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment." *Living Reviews in Relativity* 17, 4 (2014), introduction and sections on redshift and post-Newtonian tests. <https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2014-4>
- [2] Pound, R. V., and Rebka, G. A., Jr. "Apparent Weight of Photons." *Physical Review Letters* 4, 337–341 (1960). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.4.337>
- [3] Shapiro, I. I. "Fourth Test of General Relativity." *Physical Review Letters* 13, 789–791 (1964). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.789>

Quantum foundations become experimentally discriminable

Two envelopes containing opposite answers can produce a perfect correlation without any communication when they are opened. Quantum experiments need something more discriminating than correlated outcomes alone. The question becomes whether one local account can reproduce the pattern across several choices of measurement.

Bell turned that question into a mathematical restriction. His argument did not exclude every theory containing additional variables. It restricted a conjunction of assumptions about how outcomes are produced. The later CHSH formulation made the relation to practical experiments clearer by combining correlations from different measurement settings. [1,2]

Under the stated local assumptions, that combination has a bound. Quantum mechanics predicts that suitable measurements on entangled pairs can exceed it. Many trials, with different setting pairs, supply the correlations. The experiment does not measure every incompatible property on one particle at once.

Selecting and detecting trials is part of the argument. If only a favorable subset is recorded, the assembled correlations may not test the intended model. Likewise, settings chosen early enough might allow an ordinary communication explanation. Aspect and colleagues' switching analyzers addressed the timing concern, without thereby closing every other experimental loophole. [3]

Hensen and colleagues later combined major locality and detection controls in an experiment involving separated electron spins. Their result was a statistical inference from a finite sample. "Loophole-free" has a defined experimental scope; it does not

abolish every assumption about the apparatus or make unrestricted hidden correlations with the choices impossible. [4]

The conceptual change was nevertheless powerful. Unobserved variables could not guarantee immunity from experiment if their proposed organization constrained observable statistics. A violation excludes a stated model class under the test's assumptions. It neither supplies a controllable faster-than-light message nor selects one unique interpretation of quantum mechanics. The achievement was to make the boundary between experimentally different and experimentally equivalent explanations sharper.

References

- [1] Bell, J. S. "On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox." *Physics Physique Fizika* 1, 195–200 (1964). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysicsPhysiqueFizika.1.195>
- [2] Clauser, J. F., Horne, M. A., Shimony, A., and Holt, R. A. "Proposed Experiment to Test Local Hidden-Variable Theories." *Physical Review Letters* 23, 880–884 (1969). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.23.880>
- [3] Aspect, A., Dalibard, J., and Roger, G. "Experimental Test of Bell's Inequalities Using Time-Varying Analyzers." *Physical Review Letters* 49, 1804–1807 (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.49.1804>
- [4] Hensen, B., et al. "Loophole-free Bell inequality violation using electron spins separated by 1.3 kilometres." *Nature* 526, 682–686 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15759>

Deterministic laws cease to guarantee practical prediction

A deterministic rule gives one future for an exact starting state. It does not ensure that we know that state exactly. Nor does it ensure that nearby starting states remain close. Separating those promises changed the relation between a law and a useful forecast.

Poincaré described how a small initial discrepancy could become a large later one. Approximate knowledge of the present supports a correspondingly accurate forecast only when the dynamics preserves the accuracy needed for that forecast. Some systems diminish differences; others amplify them. Determinism by itself chooses neither outcome. [1]

Lorenz's reduced convection model made sensitive dependence concrete in a small system of deterministic equations. It was not a complete model of the atmosphere. Its importance was that irregular, bounded behavior could arise without a huge collection of unspecified outside causes. Numerical methods still required scrutiny: a wandering calculated trajectory could not automatically be accepted as a property of the equations. [2]

When small errors grow approximately exponentially, better measurements can extend the useful forecast horizon without multiplying it in proportion to the improvement. The result depends on the starting uncertainty, its growth and the tolerance of the intended use. A precise trajectory and a broad statistical forecast need not lose usefulness together.

May showed how simple nonlinear recurrence rules can have very different long-term behavior as their parameters change. A short formula can approach a fixed value, cycle or behave chaotically.

That mathematical demonstration does not show that every population, or every social process, follows the same rule. [3]

An irregular record also need not be chaotic: measurement noise and changing external conditions remain alternatives. The conceptual gain is a more demanding account of prediction. Knowing a governing equation is one achievement; justifying a forecast requires initial measurements, stability, numerical reliability and a clearly specified question. Explaining a limitation can itself be a scientific success.

References

- [1] Poincaré, H. *Science et méthode* (1908), Book I, chapter IV, “Le hasard.” https://fr.wikisource.org/wiki/Science_et_m%C3%A9thode
- [2] Lorenz, E. N. “Deterministic Nonperiodic Flow.” *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 20, 130–141 (1963). [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1963\)020%3C0130:DNF%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1963)020%3C0130:DNF%3E2.0.CO;2)
- [3] May, R. M. “Simple mathematical models with very complicated dynamics.” *Nature* 261, 459–467 (1976). <https://doi.org/10.1038/261459a0>

From electrodynamic infinities to Wilson's scales

An infinity appearing in a calculation is not something an instrument has measured. It signals a difficulty in connecting the calculation with an observable. Renormalization became important when physicists needed that connection to yield precise, finite predictions, and later when the scale of a description became a problem in its own right.

Bethe's treatment of hydrogen energy shifts and Schwinger's calculation of the electron's magnetic-moment correction addressed different measured departures from simpler accounts. Their success depended on relating theoretical quantities to measured ones consistently. Fitting an arbitrary correction separately to every observation would not have supplied the same predictive achievement. [1,2]

Tomonaga's retrospective lecture describes a program involving several approaches and Dyson's treatment of divergences to arbitrarily high perturbative order. A systematic prescription was more than ignoring an unwanted infinity. Introducing an intermediate regulator and relating parameters to physical conditions were distinct operations, with further questions about the resulting theory still open. [3]

The historical bridge to scale dependence is essential. Stueckelberg and Petermann considered transformations between finite parameter choices. Gell-Mann and Low investigated short-distance behavior in quantum electrodynamics. Wilson describes the relation among charge parameters defined at different momentum scales as a predecessor of his own equations. The connection is technical, not simply a shared name. [4,5]

Wilson's route began in field theory, where removing a high-energy part of a model changed the description of what remained. His encounter with Kadanoff's scaling picture connected that work with critical phenomena. The later paper developed that connection into a calculational approach. These stages addressed related problems from different starting points. [4,6]

The change was from obtaining particular finite answers to studying how descriptions and their parameters must change with resolution. It did not guarantee convergence of every expansion or repair every divergent theory. Keeping that limit visible preserves the achievement: dependence on scale became something to organize and investigate, rather than an unexplained nuisance in the calculation.

References

- [1] Bethe, H. A. "The Electromagnetic Shift of Energy Levels." *Physical Review* 72, 339–341 (1947). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.72.339>
- [2] Schwinger, J. "On Quantum-Electrodynamics and the Magnetic Moment of the Electron." *Physical Review* 73, 416–417 (1948). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.73.416>
- [3] Tomonaga, S. "Development of Quantum Electrodynamics." Nobel Lecture (1965). <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/1965/tomonaga/lecture/>
- [4] Wilson, K. G. "The Renormalization Group and Critical Phenomena." Nobel Lecture (1982). <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/1982/wilson/lecture/>
- [5] Gell-Mann, M., and Low, F. E. "Quantum Electrodynamics at Small Distances." *Physical Review* 95, 1300–1312 (1954). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.95.1300>
- [6] Wilson, K. G. "Renormalization Group and Critical Phenomena. I. Renormalization Group and the Kadanoff Scaling Picture." *Physical Review B* 4, 3174–3183 (1971). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.4.3174>

Discrete genes and continuous variation: a statistical reconciliation

Height varies along a nearly continuous scale, yet inherited factors can pass between generations in discrete alternatives. These observations need not conflict. Many separate contributions can combine into a distribution that looks smooth, so the appearance of the trait alone cannot tell us that hereditary material blends.

Biometrical studies measured variation and resemblance among relatives. Mendelian studies examined segregation. They quantified different objects, and attempts to connect them preceded Fisher's influential account. The historical disagreement should not be retold as mathematics arriving in a field that had lacked it. [1]

A simple constructed model shows the possibility. If many inherited contributions can each add a small amount, middle totals can be formed in more ways than extreme totals. Environmental variation and unequal effects can further blur the categories. This demonstrates compatibility, not the actual genetic architecture of human height or every quantitative trait.

Fisher's account went further by investigating correlations between relatives under Mendelian inheritance. Dominance and mating patterns complicated the analysis. An explanation had to connect both variation across a population and resemblance within relationships, rather than fit one smooth-looking distribution. [2]

Shared environments also matter. A statistical decomposition cannot simply label every resemblance genetic. Nor is a propor-

tion of population variance a proportion of one person's body. The quantity concerns differences within specified conditions; changing those conditions can change the proportion without making an individual less dependent on the environment.

The reconciliation made a connection between levels possible: rules of transmission could explain population patterns through a statistical model. It did not reveal every molecular mechanism or make traits immutable. The gain was a framework in which assumptions about inheritance, environment and relationships could be stated and tested together. A continuous-looking outcome no longer forced a continuous-blending account of its causes.

References

- [1] Visscher, P. M., and Goddard, M. E. "From R.A. Fisher's 1918 Paper to GWAS a Century Later." *Genetics* 211, 1125–1130 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301594>
- [2] Fisher, R. A. "The Correlation between Relatives on the Supposition of Mendelian Inheritance." *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 52, 399–433 (1918). <https://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/items/5ed47714-2635-4af9-8409-cf7eb6f87c3b>

Deciphering the genetic code: 1954 to 1966

Knowing that a sequence carries information does not reveal how it specifies a protein. Counting possible combinations helps define the problem, but cannot supply the dictionary or the machinery that uses it. Deciphering the genetic code required experiments that asked different parts of that question.

Gamow's proposal made a possible relation between nucleotide arrangements and amino acids explicit. The elementary capacity argument favors triplets over pairs when enough fixed-length units are required. It does not prove that cells use triplets, or determine reading direction, overlap, starting positions and assignments. A plausible pattern is not yet a code. [1]

Crick and colleagues used combinations of mutations to investigate grouping. An insertion can shift later groups; a compensating deletion can restore the grouping farther along. Recovery of function under particular combinations supported triplet organization. It was evidence about how reading worked, not a completed list of which triplet meant which amino acid. [2]

Nirenberg and Matthaei supplied synthetic RNA to a cell-free system. A repeated uracil template directed a product rich in phenylalanine. Together with the triplet interpretation, this connected a particular triplet with an amino acid. The controlled input made one assignment tractable, while leaving many others unresolved. [3]

Khorana's defined repeating sequences provided more informative inputs than mixtures with known composition but unknown order. Short-triplet binding experiments supplied a different observable from completed protein synthesis. Agreement between

these methods constrained the alternatives more strongly than repeating only one sort of measurement. Their Nobel lectures describe the program retrospectively. [4,5]

The dictionary emerged through this division of experimental work. It did not translate itself: cellular machinery made the assignments physically effective. The conceptual change was that a coding proposal could be challenged one relation at a time by changing a template, examining mutations or comparing assays. Establishing the mapping opened new questions about how it is implemented and how accurately it operates.

References

- [1] Gamow, G. "Possible Relation between Deoxyribonucleic Acid and Protein Structures." *Nature* 173, 318 (1954). <https://doi.org/10.1038/173318a0>
- [2] Crick, F. H. C., Barnett, L., Brenner, S., and Watts-Tobin, R. J. "General Nature of the Genetic Code for Proteins." *Nature* 192, 1227–1232 (1961). <https://doi.org/10.1038/1921227a0>
- [3] Nirenberg, M. W., and Matthaei, J. H. "The dependence of cell-free protein synthesis in *E. coli* upon naturally occurring or synthetic polyribonucleotides." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 47, 1588–1602 (1961). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.47.10.1588>
- [4] Khorana, H. G. "Nucleic Acid Synthesis in the Study of the Genetic Code." Nobel Lecture (1968). <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/1968/khorana/lecture/>
- [5] Nirenberg, M. W. "The Genetic Code." Nobel Lecture (1968). <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/1968/nirenberg/lecture/>

Evolution without an adaptive explanation for every change

A count of molecular differences can challenge an explanation, but only after several inferential steps. In the 1960s, protein comparisons were used to estimate a rate of molecular replacement. Kimura asked whether that rate could be sustained if each replacement required a separate advantageous substitution with the reproductive cost assumed in an earlier model.

Haldane's cost calculation concerned the accumulated selective loss during substitution, not merely a small disadvantage in one generation. His proposed rate limit depended on the model. Kimura extrapolated a much faster replacement rate from protein comparisons and argued that attaching the assumed cost to every change would create an intolerable load. Neutral or nearly neutral replacement offered a proposed resolution. [1,2]

The premise was therefore a tension between an inferred rate and a cost model. It was not simply a failure to imagine a useful function. Mutation and substitution also had to remain distinct: a new variant need not become fixed in the population.

Under a simple neutral model, a larger population supplies more new mutations while each new neutral copy has a smaller chance of fixation. These effects cancel in the expected long-run substitution rate. That explains a consequence of the model; it does not independently establish that the historical measurements demand neutrality.

The original estimates and cost assumptions were disputable. Kern and Hahn criticize the genome-wide extrapolation and examine how alternative assumptions affect the alleged limit.

Later usefulness of a research program cannot retrospectively validate every premise that motivated it. [3]

King and Jukes, Ohta and later debates developed different parts of the molecular problem. These were continuing arguments, not one unanimous conversion. [4,5,6] The historical change was to make a rate of replacement constrain its proposed causal explanation. Keeping the measured evidence, the extrapolation and the population model separate makes the controversy intelligible without treating the original argument as an unassailable proof.

References

- [1] Haldane, J. B. S. "The Cost of Natural Selection." *Journal of Genetics* 55, 511–524 (1957). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02984069>
- [2] Kimura, M. "Evolutionary Rate at the Molecular Level." *Nature* 217, 624–626 (1968). <https://doi.org/10.1038/217624a0>
- [3] Kern, A. D., and Hahn, M. W. "The Neutral Theory in Light of Natural Selection." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35, 1366–1371 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy092>
- [4] King, J. L., and Jukes, T. H. "Non-Darwinian Evolution." *Science* 164, 788–798 (1969). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.164.3881.788>
- [5] Ohta, T. "Slightly Deleterious Mutant Substitutions in Evolution." *Nature* 246, 96–98 (1973). <https://doi.org/10.1038/246096a0>
- [6] Galtier, N. "Half a Century of Controversy: The Neutralist/Selectionist Debate in Molecular Evolution." *Genome Biology and Evolution* 16, evae003 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evae003>

Immunity becomes selection among biological variants

A specific immune response to a previously unencountered substance creates a mechanism problem. Does the substance instruct the organism to make the matching response, or select among possibilities that already exist? The alternatives place the origin of specificity in different parts of the process.

Jerne proposed a selective role for the antigen among existing antibody possibilities. That was not yet the same as selecting a reproducing cell. Selecting a binding molecule does not by itself explain a sustained population of producers. The location of the variation matters for the explanation of amplification. [1]

Burnet's clonal formulation placed the variants in cells. A matching cell could be stimulated to generate descendants with a related specificity, connecting selection with multiplication. The account considered here is his original proposal in its later reprint, not an independent history of its reception. [2]

Nossal and Lederberg investigated antibody production at the level of individual cells. A mixture containing two antibody specificities does not tell us whether each cell produces both or separate cells produce different products. Their results supported the latter kind of restriction in the tested setting. "Detectable" remains an important limit: the assay did not establish every possible property of every cell. [3]

The model also supplied an account of how a later response could begin from a changed cellular population. It did not complete the explanation of immune memory or tolerance. A diverse repertoire creates questions about activation, persistence and harmful responses to the organism's own components.

The supply of variants remained another problem. Tonegawa's molecular work established a contribution from rearrangement of immunoglobulin gene segments. [4] Selection could be a productive organizational account before every mechanism generating diversity was known. The conceptual change joined prior variation with selective cellular expansion, while preserving the further questions that this explanation created rather than claiming to explain all immunity.

References

- [1] Jerne, N. K. "The Natural-Selection Theory of Antibody Formation." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 41, 849–857 (1955). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.41.11.849>
- [2] Burnet, F. M. "A modification of Jerne's theory of antibody production using the concept of clonal selection." *Australian Journal of Science* 20, 67–69 (1957). Reprinted in *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians* 26, 119–121 (1976), especially pp. 120–121. <https://doi.org/10.3322/canjclin.26.2.119>
- [3] Nossal, G. J. V., and Lederberg, J. "Antibody Production by Single Cells." *Nature* 181, 1419–1420 (1958). <https://doi.org/10.1038/1811419a0>
- [4] Tonegawa, S. "Somatic Generation of Immune Diversity." Nobel Lecture (1987). <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/1987/tonegawa/lecture/>

Formal proof encounters internal limits

Checking a supplied proof and deciding every possible mathematical question are different tasks. Twentieth-century logic made their differences precise enough to establish limits. Failure to find a universal method could sometimes be explained by a theorem, rather than by insufficient ingenuity.

Hilbert's program treated formal proofs as finite objects that could themselves be studied mathematically. The ambition was to justify powerful methods through restricted reasoning, not merely to propose a machine that would automatically discover every truth. A proof could be both an argument and an object of further investigation. [1]

Gödel's work, with later refinements including Rosser's, established incompleteness for sufficiently strong, consistent and effectively axiomatized theories. The qualifications matter. A restricted theory need not fall under the result, and adding a previously undecidable sentence changes the theory. If the stronger theory still meets the conditions, the limitation applies again. [2,3]

The word "within" is crucial. An unprovable sentence in one system is not thereby beyond every conceivable argument. The related limit on proving consistency also concerns a specified kind of self-certification. Stronger frameworks can still provide valuable relative consistency results. None of this inserts an error into every ordinary valid proof.

Church and Turing analyzed effective calculation through precise models. A modern halting argument supposes an always-correct universal predictor, then constructs a program that behaves contrary to the prediction when applied to itself. The contradic-

tion rules out that universal procedure, while leaving many particular programs and restricted classes analyzable. This modern explanation should not be mistaken for Turing's original wording. [4,5]

The conceptual gain is a map of tasks and their conditions. Formal limits do not establish that truth is arbitrary or that human minds necessarily transcend computation. They show that precision and universal reach are different achievements. Mathematical inquiry could now prove limits on some of its own proposed methods and investigate what remained possible under narrower assumptions.

References

- [1] Zach, R. "Hilbert's Program." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/hilbert-program/>
- [2] Gödel, K. "Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme I." *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik* 38, 173–198 (1931). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01700692>
- [3] Raatikainen, P. "Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/goedel-incompleteness/>
- [4] Turing, A. M. "On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem." *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, series 2, 42, 230–265 (1936–1937). <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s2-42.1.230>
- [5] Copeland, B. J. "The Church-Turing Thesis." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/church-turing/>

Making sensation measurable: psychophysics and mental chronometry

A sensation is privately experienced, but responses to controlled stimuli can be measured publicly. Psychophysics and mental chronometry developed ways to connect those observations with claims about sensation and processing. The connection required a model; an instrument did not place a ruler directly inside experience.

A small physical increment can be easier to notice against a weak stimulus than against a strong one. Weber's proportional relation, as discussed by Fechner, made that dependence quantitative within an appropriate range. It did not supply a universal law for every sense and every intensity. [1]

Fechner added a further premise: equal just-noticeable differences could be treated as equal steps of sensation. That premise, combined with proportional physical increments, leads to a logarithmic scale. The mathematical result does not independently confirm that equally discriminable steps feel equally large. The bridge between observation and interpretation is where the additional commitment lies. [1]

Donders approached mental processes through reaction times. Comparing a simple response task with a task requiring an additional choice could yield a time difference. Interpreting that difference as the duration of a particular operation requires the other relevant components to remain unchanged and the processing arrangement to permit subtraction. [2]

A choice might also alter preparation, stimulus encoding or movement. In that case the observed difference combines influences. Sternberg's analysis examined stage assumptions and the manipulations needed to support such inferences. More precise measurement of a difference cannot, by itself, decide which architecture produced it. [3]

This was neither direct measurement of every aspect of experience nor a final verdict on mind and body. It was an intermediate achievement with substantial power: hypotheses about otherwise unseen processes could be constrained by stimuli, judgments and timings. The lasting obligation is to state why a measurement supports the particular interpretation attached to it.

References

- [1] Fechner, G. T. *Elements of Psychophysics* (1860), selected passages in the 1912 English translation, reproduced in *Classics in the History of Psychology*. <https://www.yorku.ca/pclassic/Fechner/>
- [2] Donders, F. C. "On the speed of mental processes." *Acta Psychologica* 30, 412–431 (1969), translation of the 1868–1869 paper. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918\(69\)90065-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(69)90065-1)
- [3] Sternberg, S. "The discovery of processing stages: Extensions of Donders' method." *Acta Psychologica* 30, 276–315 (1969). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918\(69\)90055-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(69)90055-9)

Remembering becomes reconstruction

A memory can preserve information without replaying the original experience exactly. A useful account must explain both persistence and transformation: what remains accessible, what disappears and how a present answer is assembled. Calling memory reconstructive does not establish that every recollection is false.

Ebbinghaus measured savings in relearning. Material not freely recalled could still be learned again with less work. That result complicated a simple division between a fully present memory and a completely erased one. A saving in effort was a performance measure, not a literal percentage of an internal picture surviving. [1]

Bartlett investigated meaningful material and how recollection related to prior knowledge and an effort to make sense of what had been encountered. This asked a different question from tightly controlled syllable learning. Neither task automatically represented every kind of remembering. A plausible explanation supplied after a distortion also needed more than plausibility to become a predictive model. [2]

Loftus and Palmer manipulated wording in questions about filmed collisions. Speed estimates and later reports could be influenced by the questions. Demonstrating an effect on reporting did not uniquely establish that an original memory had been completely overwritten: response expectations and interpretation could also contribute. The experimental contrast made these mechanism questions more tractable. [3]

Roediger and McDermott found that lists of related words could support reports of a strongly associated word that had not been presented. Accurate recall and systematic error could coexist. A word-list result nevertheless could not be transferred directly to every autobiographical event, where materials, delays and other conditions differ. [4]

The break was a broader explanatory demand. Retention alone did not describe the form of a present recollection. Prior knowledge, later information and the reporting task also needed investigation. Confidence, accuracy and the process producing an answer could be studied separately, without treating either reliable remembering or characteristic mistakes as the whole phenomenon.

References

- [1] Ebbinghaus, H. *Memory: A Contribution to Experimental Psychology* (1885), translated by H. A. Ruger and C. E. Bussenius (1913), chapter VII. <https://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Ebbinghaus/memory7.htm>
- [2] Bartlett, F. C. *Remembering: A Study in Experimental and Social Psychology*. Cambridge University Press (1932), especially chapters X and XII. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511759185>
- [3] Loftus, E. F., and Palmer, J. C. "Reconstruction of automobile destruction: An example of the interaction between language and memory." *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 13, 585–589 (1974). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371\(74\)80011-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(74)80011-3)
- [4] Roediger, H. L., III, and McDermott, K. B. "Creating false memories: Remembering words not presented in lists." *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 21, 803–814 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.21.4.803>

The adult brain becomes a changing system

Adults learn, but that observation alone does not identify a neural mechanism. A better performance might reflect a changed strategy or attention. Establishing neural plasticity required measurements that distinguished different physical changes and examined their relation to function.

Ideas of neural modification preceded the best-known experiments. The historical review by Berlucchi and Buchtel traces those roots and the changing meaning of “plasticity.” The history is therefore not a sudden reversal from a world in which nobody imagined an adaptable adult brain. [1]

Bliss and Lømo reported persistent changes in responses after conditioning stimulation of a pathway in rabbits. Their analysis distinguished transmission efficiency from changes in the responding population’s excitability. A larger measured response was not automatically a larger natural memory, or proof that more synapses had appeared. [2]

Merzenich and colleagues mapped altered sensory responses in adult monkeys after loss of digits. Locations in the cortex could respond to skin regions differently from before. A changed functional map did not necessarily mean tissue had physically moved or that every change required new growth. Nor did the result establish unlimited recovery after every human injury. [3]

Neuron generation was another distinct question. The contrasting adult human hippocampal results reported by Sorrells and colleagues and by Boldrini and colleagues illustrated the importance of sampling, preservation and markers. They did not turn all adult neural change into one unsettled proposition. Evidence

about existing circuits and evidence about new neurons require different links. [4,5]

Plasticity thus names a family of changes. They need not all persist or be beneficial. The conceptual advance is to ask which property changes, under what conditions, for how long and with which functional consequence. Stability also becomes part of the problem: a nervous system must remain organized while allowing effects of its history to alter subsequent responses.

References

- [1] Berlucchi, G., and Buchtel, H. A. "Neuronal plasticity: historical roots and evolution of meaning." *Experimental Brain Research* 192, 307–319 (2009). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19002678/>
- [2] Bliss, T. V. P., and Lømo, T. "Long-lasting potentiation of synaptic transmission in the dentate area of the anaesthetized rabbit following stimulation of the perforant path." *Journal of Physiology* 232, 331–356 (1973). <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1973.sp010273>
- [3] Merzenich, M. M., Nelson, R. J., Stryker, M. P., Cynader, M. S., Schoppmann, A., and Zook, J. M. "Somatosensory cortical map changes following digit amputation in adult monkeys." *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 224, 591–605 (1984). <https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902240408>
- [4] Sorrells, S. F., et al. "Human hippocampal neurogenesis drops sharply in children to undetectable levels in adults." *Nature* 555, 377–381 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25975>
- [5] Boldrini, M., et al. "Human Hippocampal Neurogenesis Persists throughout Aging." *Cell Stem Cell* 22, 589–599.e5 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2018.03.015>