

Why can an hour feel short or long?

Juan Segurola · All Rights Reserved

QUE · Article 037 · Simple Questions, Deep Answers · Version 0.1 EN

An hour spent waiting can feel prolonged while it happens. An eventful hour can seem to have passed quickly, yet occupy a substantial stretch of remembered experience afterward. The observations need not contradict one another. Judging duration while monitoring time and reconstructing duration afterward are different tasks.

The question concerns perceived duration, not a change in what two stationary clocks beside one another measure. Nor is feeling that time passed quickly identical to estimating an interval as short. Before explaining a result, we need to know exactly which judgement someone made and when they knew they would be asked to make it.

1. The instruction changes the experiment

In a prospective experiment, participants know in advance that they will judge duration. They can allocate attention to time while completing another task. In a retrospective experiment, they learn only afterward that a duration judgement is required. The latter judgement must draw on what the interval left in memory, without the same prior instruction to monitor it. [1]

The distinction is more than terminology. Telling someone not to think about time while repeatedly asking how long an activity has lasted does not create a clean retrospective task. The prompts themselves make timing relevant. Similarly, a person expecting to catch a train is unlikely to be a pure example of unmonitored duration, however absorbing the intervening activity may be.

A fair comparison specifies the instructions, the activities and the response method. “How many seconds elapsed?”, “reproduce that interval” and “did time drag?” need not produce interchangeable measurements. One asks for a numerical estimate, another for an action and the third for an experience of passage.

2. What the experimental synthesis found

Block, Hancock and Zakay analysed 117 experiments on cognitive load and duration judgements. In their synthesis, increasing load reduced the ratio of subjective to objective duration in prospective tasks but increased it in retrospective tasks. Prospective relative variability also increased with load, whereas the same pattern was not found for retrospective variability. [1]

This is evidence against one simple rule such as “being busy always makes time shorter”. It is a pattern across experiments with defined procedures, not a guarantee for every task, individual or hour of daily life. The review distinguishes types of load, so its result should not be reduced to an undifferentiated label such as stimulation.

A hypothetical example makes the ratio concrete. A 60-second interval judged as 45 seconds gives a subjective-to-objective ratio of 0.75. Another judged as 75 seconds gives 1.25. Both refer to the same objective duration. The ratio says which way the estimate differs; it does not reveal the psychological mechanism that produced the difference.

3. Attention and remembered change

An attentional account proposes that concurrent demands can reduce resources available for prospective timing. A memory-based account proposes that retrospective duration depends on the changes or contextual information represented during the interval. The review interprets its opposing prospective and retrospective patterns in terms of these different emphases. [1]

These accounts specify candidate processes; they do not require a conscious person counting internal pulses. A metaphorical clock can be useful in a model, but the phrase does not establish one literal neural stopwatch with a single adjustable speed. Behavioural patterns constrain mechanisms without uniquely identifying a circuit.

The distinction suggests a test. Keep the objective duration fixed and manipulate an activity's demands, but also vary whether participants know about the timing task. If load merely produced a universal slowing of one clock, the reversal between instructions would require additional explanation. A model that includes attention and retrieval has room for an instruction-dependent result, but still needs quantitative predictions to distinguish it from competitors.

4. Why everyday examples are harder

An enjoyable conversation differs from a frustrating queue in more than attention. Expectations, emotional state, opportunities to look at a clock, the number of changes in the scene and the anticipated outcome may all differ. An anecdote can identify a question without isolating its cause.

An hour also contains many subintervals. A person can monitor time intensely during its final five minutes and scarcely notice it during the preceding fifty-five. One overall answer compresses that changing experience. Treating the hour as a uniform state may therefore discard the variation that a mechanism needs to explain.

There is a further selection problem in remembered periods. We may use the few events we can retrieve as evidence about a much longer interval. A sparse account does not prove that little happened, and a rich account does not prove that every part of the period felt slow. The available record and the ongoing experience are related but distinct objects.

5. What remains uncertain

A laboratory contrast supports a bounded statement about a task. Extending it to ageing, a whole year or a person's life requires additional evidence. The familiar claim that each year must feel shorter because it is a smaller fraction of one's life is a possible numerical analogy, not an established universal law of perceived duration. It does not follow from the load experiments.

A useful account must also distinguish average effects from individual predictions. Even a reliable difference between group means does not imply that one can infer a person's elapsed-time estimate from a brief description of their activity. Prediction requires a model of variability and the conditions under which it was measured.

The strongest answer to the title is therefore about the question itself. An hour can seem short or long because attention during an interval and information available afterward contribute differently to duration judgements. The same clock hour can support different reports under different tasks. The conceptual error is to collapse those reports into one elastic substance called psychological time, then expect a single cause to explain them all.

References

[1] Block, R. A., Hancock, P. A., and Zakay, D. How cognitive load affects duration judgments: A meta-analytic review. *Acta Psychologica* 134(3), 330–343 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2010.03.006>

Related reading

Article 038: How do children learn words they have never been taught?