

Why do rivers bend?

Juan Segurola · All Rights Reserved

QUE · Article 020 · Simple Questions, Deep Answers · Version 0.1 EN

A river can flow downhill while its channel curves repeatedly across a valley. Downhill describes a change in elevation; it does not require a straight line on a map. In an alluvial river, the moving water also transports the sediment that forms its bed and banks. Flow changes the channel, and the altered channel changes the flow. A bend is part of that evolving relationship, not evidence that water has forgotten which way gravity acts.

The question needs a boundary. Some rivers follow bends imposed by resistant rock or inherited valleys. Here the main subject is a meandering channel whose sedimentary boundaries can move. The processes that maintain such bends should not be imposed as one universal explanation for every curved river.

1. A bend is a moving shape

Look at a sequence of channel maps rather than one photograph. The question becomes not merely why the river is curved, but how its banks change position. Water moves through the bend; the bend itself can migrate across the floodplain on a different timescale. These are two motions of different things.

Erosion removes sediment from a bank, while deposition adds it elsewhere. If one side retreats and the opposite side accumulates material, the channel can shift without growing indefinitely wider. That balance is not automatic. Explaining a persistent single channel requires explaining both the removal and the replacement of its boundaries.

Braudrick and colleagues reported an experimental channel that maintained meandering through repeated cutoffs and regeneration of bends. [1]

2. Flow and sediment modify one another

Water approaching a curve has momentum, and pressure forces redirect it. Friction, bed shape and the distribution of velocity through the depth influence the resulting flow. A river bend cannot be represented fully by one arrow drawn along the channel centre. [2]

Sediment transport depends on that flow and on the material available. Where material is removed from the boundary, the channel shape changes; where it accumulates, a bar or bank grows. The new geometry then changes the next distribution of flow. A useful physical distinction is between strong sediment transport and erosion: a reach loses sediment when more leaves it than enters it. Whipple's treatment derives bed change from that difference in sediment flux, rather than identifying erosion with high velocity alone. [2]

The familiar outer-bank erosion and inner-bend deposition picture is useful, but it is a tendency within a three-dimensional, changing system. It should not be interpreted as saying that every grain moves directly from the nearest outer bank to the opposite inner bank. Material can arrive from upstream, move downstream, or be stored temporarily. In the experiment reported by Braudrick and colleagues, upstream bank erosion was an important supply for bar growth. [1]

3. Why the banks matter

Suppose flowing water encounters two channels with similar overall slopes but different banks. One has weak, easily eroded margins; the other has more resistant margins. Even without calculating the complete flow, we can see that the same erosive tendency need not produce the same change in width. Boundary material is part of the dynamics.

In their laboratory channel, scaled to represent a coarse-bedded river, Braudrick and colleagues used vegetation to strengthen banks and supplied sediment fine enough to fill potential shortcuts beside developing bars. The experiment sustained meandering with repeated cutoffs. Variable flood peaks were not necessary for continued meandering under the tested conditions. [1]

That last qualification matters. The result does not show that floods never matter in natural rivers, that vegetation is required for every meander, or that one sediment recipe explains every channel. It shows that a particular controlled system could maintain a behaviour that simpler experimental arrangements had struggled to sustain.

The experiment's explanatory value is therefore selective. It tests how bank resistance and sediment delivery can allow deposition to keep pace with erosion. Its channel was not a miniature replica of every natural river, and scaling its migration rate to a particular landscape requires additional work.

4. A longer route can still descend

A short calculation removes an apparent contradiction. Imagine two points separated by 8 kilometres measured along a valley, with a water-surface fall of 6 metres between them. Suppose the river's winding route between the same points is 12 kilometres long. These are hypothetical values.

The channel's sinuosity, defined here as channel length divided by valley length, is $12/8 = 1.5$. Its mean fall per unit channel length is $6/12,000 = 0.0005$, or 0.5 metres per kilometre. Measured over the valley length, the corresponding gradient is $6/8,000 = 0.00075$, or 0.75 metres per kilometre.

Both gradients describe a descent. Their difference arises because the distances being divided into the same elevation change differ. A winding route is therefore compatible with gravity-driven flow.

The calculation does not establish that the river curved in order to achieve a preferred gradient. It starts with a geometry and computes a consequence. Reversing that reasoning into a purpose would be an unsupported step. Nor does it give a local velocity, which would require discharge, cross-section, resistance and other information.

5. Cutoffs change the accounting

A meander can enlarge until a shorter route connects parts of the channel. The main flow can then occupy that route, leaving part of the former bend isolated or only intermittently connected. A cutoff changes the relationship between the channel's present position and the record left on its floodplain.

This means that sinuosity need not increase indefinitely. Processes that lengthen a route coexist with processes that shorten it. A present map captures one stage of this history, not a final form toward which the river must inevitably progress.

It also means that predicting the next channel position requires more than measuring today's curvature. The strength and elevation of potential shortcut areas, the sediment

supply and the flow history can matter. Two bends with similar outlines can have different futures because their boundaries differ in ways the outline does not reveal.

6. How to test an explanation

Field observations can compare successive bank positions, bed topography and flow conditions. A useful measurement programme distinguishes the water's movement from sediment transport and from movement of the channel itself. Agreement with one of those observations does not ensure agreement with the others.

A model that reproduces a sinuous map but cannot sustain its width has missed part of the problem. A model that makes every bend grow forever has missed another. Controlled experiments help separate candidate mechanisms, while natural rivers test how those mechanisms operate with heterogeneous banks and changing inputs.

The unresolved question for a particular river is usually quantitative and local: how rapidly will a bank retreat, where will sediment accumulate, and when might a cutoff occur? Recognizing the coupling of flow and sediment does not remove uncertainty in those predictions.

7. The answer without giving the river intentions

Many rivers bend because their moving water and movable boundaries evolve together. Curvature affects flow, flow redistributes sediment, and erosion and deposition alter the next channel geometry. Bank resistance and sediment supply help determine whether this relationship sustains a meandering channel, produces widening, or develops a different pattern.

The river does not choose a scenic route, and it need not trace the shortest distance between two map points. The important question is whether the proposed mechanism accounts for the observed movement of water, sediment and banks together. That question is more informative than treating every bend as a deliberate way of slowing down.

Related reading

Article 016, "Why is the ocean salty while rain is not?", examines dissolved material transported through a different set of pathways. It is an optional companion to the distinction between a concentration, a flux and a stored inventory.

References

- [1] C. A. Braudrick, W. E. Dietrich, G. T. Leverich and L. S. Sklar. Experimental evidence for the conditions necessary to sustain meandering in coarse-bedded rivers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106(40), 16936–16941 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0909417106>
- [2] K. Whipple. Flow Around Bends: Meander Evolution. Lecture III, Surface Processes and Landscape Evolution, MIT OpenCourseWare (September 2004). https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/12-163-surface-processes-and-landscape-evolution-fall-2004/3aa6d00113cc4b115d0c97630585e198_3_flow_around_bends.pdf