

Mendel and statistical regularity in heredity

Juan Segurola

Core question

How did Mendel's crossing experiments turn heredity into a problem of countable alternatives and stable statistical ratios across generations?

Historical and evolutionary context

Plant and animal breeders had long manipulated inheritance, and nineteenth-century naturalists debated hybridisation, blending and stability of traits. Darwin's evolutionary theory made heredity even more consequential but did not supply a correct particulate mechanism. Between 1856 and 1863, Gregor Mendel's pea experiments introduced an unusually explicit combination of controlled crosses, categorical traits and numerical counting; he presented the results in 1865 and published them in 1866.

What could communities know before this transition?

Breeders knew that offspring resembled parents and that some traits could reappear after seeming to disappear. Hybridisers had documented regularities. The limitation was a representation that separated hereditary determinants from visible traits and used large counts to distinguish reproducible ratios from anecdotal resemblance.

What changed?

Controlled crosses became quantitative experiments

Mendel selected pea varieties differing in sharply distinguishable characters, controlled pollination and followed offspring through successive generations. He counted categories rather than describing only typical forms.

Segregation created a particulate model

The recurring ratios were interpreted through paired hereditary factors that separate in gamete formation and recombine at fertilisation. Mendel did not use the modern concepts of gene, chromosome or DNA, but his model rejected simple blending for the traits studied.

Probability entered heredity cautiously

The ratios were not exact laws for every small family. They were statistical expectations visible across sufficient numbers. This made variation around an expected proportion informative rather than automatically disconfirming.

Why could this capability emerge?

Mendel combined monastic garden resources, an established hybridisation tradition and mathematical training. Peas offered controllable reproduction and categorical variants. The local scientific society in Brno provided a publication venue, though the work was not widely integrated into biology until around 1900.

What later forms of knowledge did it enable?

Rediscovered Mendelian inheritance became central to genetics. Its combination with chromosome theory and population reasoning eventually helped reconcile heredity with evolution, and later molecular biology identified physical mechanisms underlying many hereditary patterns.

New limits and risks

Mendelian ratios apply cleanly only under particular genetic architectures. Linkage, polygenic inheritance, epistasis, incomplete penetrance, environmental effects and developmental processes complicate the picture. Treating all traits, especially complex human traits, as simple Mendelian characters has repeatedly produced scientific and social error.

What changed in the architecture of knowledge?

Heredity became a **countable generational process**. Invisible hereditary units could be inferred from controlled crosses and probability-like ratios, creating a formal bridge between biological reproduction and statistical regularity.

Loss, exclusion and uncertainty

Mendel worked within a broader European hybridisation tradition that included Kölreuter, Gärtner and others. The later “rediscovery” narrative is itself historically complex. Modern genetic terminology should not be projected unchanged onto Mendel's own concepts, and the relation between Mendel's work and Darwinian evolution was mostly retrospective rather than a direct nineteenth-century synthesis.

Dependency map

- INDIRECT ← PIO-056 Probability, censuses and error: Pascal to Quetelet
- RETROSPECTIVE ← PIO-010 Animal domestication and pastoral knowledge
- PARALLEL ← PIO-066 Darwin, Wallace and common descent
- DIRECT → PIO-087 DNA and molecular heredity

References

1. Mendel, G. *Versuche über Pflanzen-Hybriden*. Brünn (1866). DOI: 10.5962/bhl.title.61004.
2. Abbott, S. & Fairbanks, D. J. "Experiments on Plant Hybrids by Gregor Mendel." *Genetics* 204, 407-422 (2016). DOI: 10.1534/genetics.116.195198.
3. Olby, R. C. *The Origins of Mendelism*. University of Chicago Press, 2nd ed. (1985).
4. Fisher, R. A. "Has Mendel's Work Been Rediscovered?" *Annals of Science* 1, 115-137 (1936). DOI: 10.1080/00033793600200111.