

From variolation to vaccination

Juan Seguro

Core question

How could variolation and vaccination reduce smallpox mortality before immunology and germ theory explained why they worked?

Historical and evolutionary context

Smallpox was a major cause of mortality and disfigurement. Long before germ theory or immunology, communities in Asia, Africa and the Ottoman world developed practices intended to reduce risk by deliberate exposure to smallpox material. The later transition from variolation to vaccination shows how effective intervention can precede causal understanding.

What could communities know before this transition?

Before Jenner, many communities already knew that previous smallpox or deliberate inoculation could alter later susceptibility. Variolation practices existed in parts of Asia, Africa and the Ottoman world and entered Britain and colonial North America through several routes. What was missing was a safer, more reproducible intervention with accumulating comparative evidence.

What changed?

Variolation before Jenner

Variolation involved introducing material from smallpox lesions into an uninfected person, typically through the skin or nose. Practices varied by region and are documented in China, the Ottoman Empire and other settings before their eighteenth-century adoption in Britain and North America. Variolation often produced a milder infection and lower mortality than naturally acquired smallpox, but it still caused disease and could transmit outbreaks.

Lady Mary Wortley Montagu observed Ottoman inoculation practices and promoted inoculation in Britain after 1721. Enslaved African knowledge also played a documented role in early American inoculation debates, including the Boston epidemic of 1721. These histories matter because vaccination should not be narrated as knowledge beginning with a single European physician.

Jenner's intervention

Edward Jenner, already experienced in variolation, investigated the rural belief that prior cowpox infection could protect against smallpox. In 1796 he inoculated James Phipps with material from a cowpox lesion and later exposed him to variolous material. Jenner published *An Inquiry into the Causes and Effects of the Variolae Vaccinae* in 1798, presenting multiple cases.

The experiment would violate modern research ethics and cannot be celebrated without that qualification. Its historical significance lies in shifting protection from deliberate smallpox infection toward use of a related disease that did not propagate smallpox itself.

Why vaccination worked before immunology

Jenner did not know viruses, antibodies, lymphocytes or immune memory. Yet empirical regularity could still support intervention. This is a recurring pattern in knowledge history: reliable control may emerge before mechanism.

Vaccination spread rapidly but unevenly. Material had to be preserved and transported; techniques varied; resistance could be religious, political or practical; and governments increasingly used mandates. Public-health power therefore grew alongside preventive capability.

From local practice to eradication

Nineteenth- and twentieth-century immunology clarified mechanisms, while vaccine production and surveillance became institutional systems. The World Health Organization

declared smallpox eradicated in 1980 after a global campaign based on vaccination, case detection and containment.

That achievement should not be projected backward onto Jenner. The 1798 intervention was one step in a long chain involving earlier variolation traditions, many practitioners, laboratory science, manufacturing and global public-health organisation.

Why could this capability emerge?

Long-distance exchange moved medical practices as well as diseases. In Boston in 1721, Onesimus, an enslaved African man, told Cotton Mather about inoculation knowledge, while physician Zabdiel Boylston carried out variolation during the epidemic. In Britain, Ottoman-linked reports and later Jenner's cowpox experiments created another route toward standardisation.

What later forms of knowledge did it enable?

Vaccination created a model of effective intervention preceding full mechanism, later transformed by bacteriology, immunology, epidemiology and population-scale eradication programmes.

New limits and risks

Variolation and early vaccination reduced smallpox risk without eliminating medical uncertainty, and the transition itself created new ethical and practical hazards. Variolation could transmit severe disease or other infections, while Jenner's early experiments occurred before modern standards of consent, controlled trials and mechanism; later compulsory vaccination campaigns added conflicts over coercion, trust and unequal access. Effective intervention therefore preceded both immunological explanation and the safeguards later expected in population medicine.

What changed in the architecture of knowledge?

Medicine gained a striking example of **preventive intervention before full causal explanation**. Population health could be altered by a reproducible practice whose mechanism remained unknown, creating both a model for empirical medicine and a demand for later immunological explanation.

Loss, exclusion and uncertainty

European vaccination narratives can erase older Asian, African and Ottoman variolation traditions. Onesimus's testimony in Boston and Boylston's risky 1721 inoculation campaign belong to the evidential history even though institutional credit later concentrated elsewhere. Early interventions also imposed real harms because dosage, contamination and contraindications were poorly controlled.

Dependency map

- INDIRECT ← PIO-036 Hippocratic, Galenic, Ayurvedic and Islamic medicine: systematic clinical knowledge
- RETROSPECTIVE ← PIO-011 Fermentation, preservation and accumulated empirical knowledge
- INDIRECT ← PIO-051 Academies, journals and the public experiment
- INDIRECT → PIO-069 Pasteur, Lister and Koch: from antiseptic intervention to germ proof
- INDIRECT → PIO-081 Antibiotics and experimental control of infection
- INDIRECT → PIO-085 Randomised trials and statistical inference

References

1. Smith, K. A. "Edward Jenner and the Small Pox Vaccine." *Frontiers in Immunology* 2, 21 (2011). DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2011.00021.
2. Jenner, E. *An Inquiry into the Causes and Effects of the Variolae Vaccinae*. London (1798).
3. Boylston, A. *Defying Providence: Smallpox and the Forgotten 18th-Century Medical Revolution*. CreateSpace (2012).
4. Hopkins, D. R. *The Greatest Killer: Smallpox in History*. University of Chicago Press (2002).