

Eratosthenes and the size of Earth

Juan Segurola

Core question

How could observations made at separated places turn the whole Earth into a measurable object?

Historical and evolutionary context

In the third century BCE Eratosthenes produced the earliest Earth-circumference determination for which substantial procedural detail survives. Later accounts, especially Cleomedes, describe a comparison involving the Sun's elevation at Syene and Alexandria and a known or estimated terrestrial separation. The familiar classroom version is useful but can be too tidy: historians debate details of the observations, the route distance, the stade and even how literally Cleomedes preserves Eratosthenes' procedure.

The achievement matters without requiring a claim of perfect accuracy. A planetary dimension was made tractable through local geometry plus a spatial baseline.

What could communities know before this transition?

Greek thinkers had already argued for a spherical Earth, and travellers and surveyors possessed distance knowledge. The limitation was converting the Earth's overall size into a defensible numerical estimate.

What changed?

Eratosthenes linked an angular difference to a fraction of a circle and then scaled a terrestrial baseline to the circumference. Local observations at two places became information about a body vastly larger than either observational site.

Why could this capability emerge?

The method depended on geometry, astronomical observation, geographic knowledge and practical distance estimation. It also depended on networks connecting places and information. The measurement was therefore not a solitary intellectual trick but a synthesis of several infrastructures.

What later forms of knowledge did it enable?

Quantifying Earth's size supported geography, cartography and later attempts to estimate distances on a globe. More generally, it established a powerful epistemic pattern: measure a small, accessible relation and use a model to infer a global quantity.

New limits and risks

Modern retellings often convert uncertain ancient parameters into spurious precision. Depending on the stade assumed, modern reconstructions produce different errors. That uncertainty should be preserved rather than hidden.

What changed in the architecture of human knowledge?

The Earth became a **measurable whole** assembled from distributed observations. Knowledge could scale from local measurements to planetary dimensions.

Loss, exclusion and uncertainty

Eratosthenes' original account is lost. Cleomedes preserves one result of 250,000 stades, while other ancient sources report 252,000. The difference cannot be resolved confidently by inventing a later revision.

Dependency map

- DIRECT ← PIO-023 Deductive proof: what Greek geometers added
- INDIRECT ← PIO-025 Aristarchus and Solar-System scale
- INDIRECT → PIO-027 Hipparchus and quantitative astronomy
- RETROSPECTIVE → PIO-035 Al-Biruni and geodesy
- INDIRECT → PIO-042 Maps, coordinates and the representation of space

References

1. Carman, C. C. & Evans, J. The Two Earths of Eratosthenes. *Isis* 106, 1–16 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1086/681034>
2. Matthew, C. A. *Eratosthenes and the Measurement of the Earth's Circumference (c. 230 BC)*. Oxford University Press (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198874294.001.0001>
3. Roller, D. W. *Eratosthenes' Geography*. Princeton University Press (2010).