

Roads, logistics and organised information

Juan Segurola

Core question

How did road systems turn distance from a local obstacle into something that states and communities could measure, organise and repeatedly traverse?

Historical and evolutionary context

Long-distance paths existed far before states built formal roads. What changed in several complex societies was not the invention of movement but the deliberate coupling of routes with bridges, milestones, staging points, taxation, military supply and administrative messages. The Achaemenid Royal Road, Roman highways, Andean road systems and other large infrastructures emerged in different political and environmental settings. They should not be collapsed into one lineage.

The Roman case is especially well documented. Roads were sometimes newly surveyed and constructed, but often upgraded earlier routes. Their value came from the network and its institutions as much as from pavement. The *cursus publicus* linked roads to relay stations and official communication. Modern reconstructions also show how uncertain the exact course of many ancient roads remains, a useful reminder that impressive infrastructure leaves an incomplete archaeological record.

What could communities know before this transition?

People could remember routes, follow rivers and coastlines, and exchange information through travellers. The limiting factor was reliability at scale. Travel time, route condition, political access and supply were difficult to standardise across large territories.

What changed?

A road network externalised part of logistical knowledge into the landscape. Routes became repeatable corridors. Milestones, itineraries, stations and administrative procedures converted movement into organised information: where to go, how far, where to resupply, and which authority maintained the route.

Why could this capability emerge?

It required labour mobilisation, political authority, engineering knowledge and recurrent demand. Roads are therefore both epistemic and political infrastructures. They make territories more legible to administrations, but they also make taxation, military intervention and extraction easier.

What later forms of knowledge did it enable?

More predictable transport supported trade, postal systems, surveying, cartography and the circulation of written knowledge. The important transition was not faster travel alone. It was the ability to coordinate claims and decisions across space with repeatable routes and expected transit times.

New limits and risks

Networks privilege some centres and bypass others. Surviving roads overrepresent states capable of large construction projects. A road can integrate a territory while also enabling conquest and coercion.

What changed in the architecture of human knowledge?

Knowledge acquired a **logistical layer**. Information no longer depended only on being stored; institutions increasingly engineered the routes through which information, people and objects moved.

Loss, exclusion and uncertainty

Modern maps can falsely suggest that ancient road systems were complete and fixed. Recent digital mapping explicitly distinguishes certain, conjectured and hypothesised segments.

Earlier paths incorporated into imperial networks can also disappear behind the name of the later state that monumentalised them.

Dependency map

- INDIRECT ← PIO-014 Cuneiform accounting, writing and external memory
- INDIRECT ← PIO-015 Weights, measures and standards
- INDIRECT ← PIO-012 Wheel, axle and transport
- PARALLEL ← PIO-016 Laws, contracts and institutional memory
- INDIRECT ← PIO-020 African iron metallurgy and regional innovation
- INDIRECT → PIO-022 Money, accounting and trust beyond personal relationships
- INDIRECT → PIO-042 Maps, coordinates and the representation of space

References

1. Bekker-Nielsen, T. Roman Roads and Transport. *Oxford Bibliographies in Classics* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780195389661-0358>
2. Hitchner, R. B. Roads and Waterways. In Burrell, B. (ed.), *A Companion to the Archaeology of the Roman Empire*. Wiley (2024), 37-50. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118538265.ch3>
3. de Soto, P. et al. Itiner-e: A high-resolution dataset of roads of the Roman Empire. *Scientific Data* 12, 1731 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-025-06140-z>