

Fermentation, preservation and accumulated empirical knowledge

Juan Segurola

Core question

How did communities learn to control biological transformation long before microorganisms were visible or germ theory existed?

Historical and evolutionary context

Fermentation is a useful example of knowledge preceding mechanism. Yeasts, lactic-acid bacteria and other microorganisms transform foods spontaneously under suitable conditions, but prehistoric communities could not see those agents. What they could observe were repeatable relations among ingredients, containers, temperature, time, smell, texture and safety. Through repeated practice, failures and transmission, communities learned to reproduce desirable transformations without possessing a microbial theory.

The archaeological record is uneven because fermented foods rarely preserve directly. Biomolecular residues, specialized vessels, charred foods and later texts therefore provide only partial windows. At Jiahu in northern China, chemical residues indicate a mixed fermented beverage of rice, honey and fruit in the seventh millennium BCE. Neolithic dairy evidence in Europe likewise shows that processing milk into products such as cheese could extend storage life and reduce lactose content. These cases are geographically distinct and should not be collapsed into one origin story.

What could communities know before this transition?

People already knew drying, roasting, smoking, storage and other ways of changing food. They could also recognize spontaneous spoilage and spontaneous fermentation. The limitation was not lack of observation but lack of reliable control over processes whose causal agents were invisible.

What changed?

Fermentation turned perishable resources into products with altered shelf life, taste, digestibility and sometimes intoxicating properties. The key epistemic transition was the emergence of **procedural knowledge for managing invisible biological processes through observable proxies**.

Success could be reproduced by retaining starter material, reusing containers, controlling temperature, choosing specific ingredients or following seasonal routines. Such practices created empirical traditions that accumulated over generations even when causal explanations were incomplete or culturally framed in very different terms.

Why could this capability emerge?

Containers, settled or semi-settled food economies, cereal cultivation, dairying and seasonal surpluses all increased the payoff from preservation. Yet fermentation was not merely a by-product of agriculture: hunter-gatherer and early pottery-using societies could also exploit fermentation. The important enabling condition was repeated access to materials and contexts in which outcomes could be compared and transmitted.

What later forms of knowledge did it enable?

Fermentation contributed to brewing, dairying, baking, pickling and food storage. Much later, these crafts became important experimental systems for chemistry and microbiology. Pasteur's nineteenth-century work did not invent fermentation; it changed its explanation by connecting familiar transformations to microbial life.

New limits and risks

Empirical success did not guarantee safety. Similar sensory outcomes can arise from different microbial communities, and contamination can produce toxins or pathogens. Traditional knowledge therefore often includes conservative rules about vessels,

temperature, timing and discard criteria, but these rules vary and should not be romanticized as universally effective.

What changed in the architecture of human knowledge?

Human communities learned that reliable practice could be built around **repeatable effects without direct access to underlying causes**. This distinction between operational control and causal explanation recurs throughout later science and technology.

Loss, exclusion and uncertainty

Fermentation leaves an ambiguous archaeological signature, so absence of residue is not absence of practice. Many traditions were transmitted orally and are underrepresented compared with literate food cultures. Modern microbiological categories should not be projected backward as if prehistoric practitioners conceptualized fermentation in those terms.

Dependency map

- PARALLEL ← PIO-008 Pottery, containers and controlled transformation
- PARALLEL ← PIO-009 Agriculture in multiple independent centres
- RETROSPECTIVE → PIO-058 From variolation to vaccination
- RETROSPECTIVE → PIO-069 Pasteur, Lister and Koch: from antiseptic intervention to germ proof

References

1. McGovern, P. E. et al. Fermented beverages of pre- and proto-historic China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101, 17593–17598 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407921102>
2. Sibbesson, E. Reclaiming the Rotten: Understanding Food Fermentation in the Neolithic and Beyond. *Environmental Archaeology* 27, 111–122 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14614103.2018.1563374>
3. Salque, M. et al. Earliest evidence for cheese making in the sixth millennium BC in northern Europe. *Nature* 493, 522–525 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11698>
4. Ross, R. P., Morgan, S. & Hill, C. Preservation and fermentation: past, present and future. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 79, 3–16 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(02\)00174-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00174-5)