

Earth's Thermostat

QTC-019 · From Quarks to Consciousness

The Universe, Life, and How We Found Out

Author: Juan Segurola

Version: v1.0 EN · 2 September 2026

Epistemic profile: Current scientific interpretation

License: All Rights Reserved

Article Abstract

Earth has kept surface water through most of its history even though the Sun brightened, continents moved and volcanic carbon sources changed. The familiar explanation is a carbonate-silicate 'thermostat': warming and an intensified water cycle can accelerate silicate weathering, transferring carbon dioxide into carbonate rock, while cooling weakens the sink and allows geological emissions to rebuild the greenhouse. That account is real but incomplete. Weathering also depends on fresh mineral supply, runoff, lithology, biology and topography; ocean crust consumes carbon too; and global glaciation can interrupt the continental pathway. This article follows carbon from volcanic release to rock storage, distinguishes a negative feedback from a temperature set point, and explains why a process operating over hundreds of thousands of years cannot cancel modern warming on human timescales.

In 60 Seconds

Earth's long-term carbon cycle links volcanic and metamorphic CO₂ sources to sinks in weathered rock and marine sediment. Rain and soil water react with silicate minerals, releasing cations and alkalinity. Rivers carry those products to the ocean, where carbonate burial gives a simplified net result: silicate rock plus CO₂ becomes carbonate rock plus silica. If higher CO₂ warms and wets responsive landscapes, weathering can accelerate; if climate cools and dries, the sink can weaken while degassing continues. That is a negative feedback, not a dial set to one temperature. Its strength changes with erosion, fresh rock, lithology, mountains, plants and water flow. Basalt alteration beneath the sea supplies another sink whose ancient magnitude remains uncertain. During near-global ice cover the continental route can stall, allowing CO₂ to accumulate before deglaciation. A fainter young Sun makes this coupled regulation scientifically important, but does not prove one unique early atmosphere. Most importantly, the response is slow: models place the silicate-weathering recovery on roughly hundreds of thousands of years, far beyond the timescale of human emissions and decisions.

Why This Question Matters

The thermostat metaphor is memorable enough to become dangerous. It can make Earth sound engineered for life, turn one chemical pathway into a universal explanation and suggest that natural weathering will promptly repair a human carbon pulse. The evidence supports something more interesting: a feedback assembled from reactions, water flow, rock renewal, ocean crust, biological modification and tectonic circulation, each with limits and different clocks. Learning where the

mechanism works—and where it stalls—shows how planetary habitability can emerge without foresight. It also connects QTC-018's moving plates to QTC-020's prebiotic environments without claiming that either plate tectonics or climate stability was guaranteed.

1. A Thermostat Without a Dial

The Question

If Earth has a thermostat, why has it frozen almost globally, lost tropical ice for long intervals and changed atmospheric carbon by orders of magnitude? The answer begins by discarding the household appliance while keeping the physics of feedback.

A household thermostat compares a measured temperature with a chosen setting and switches a heater on or off. Earth's rocks choose nothing. In this article, 'thermostat' means only that a climatic change can alter a carbon flux in a direction that partly opposes the original change. Walker, Hays and Kasting formalized the canonical case in 1981: warmer conditions and a stronger water cycle could increase silicate weathering, remove more CO₂ and reduce greenhouse forcing. [1] They called the stabilization partial and described important quantitative details as speculative. The caution belongs inside the metaphor, not in a footnote.

The relevant bookkeeping joins reservoirs that normally feel separate. Atmosphere, ocean, soils and living matter exchange carbon rapidly, while carbonate rocks, organic-rich sediments, ocean crust and the mantle store vastly more across geological time.[2,3] Those boxes are not sealed: a carbon atom can move through several on many different clocks. The crucial asymmetry is size. Cumulative volcanic, weathering and burial fluxes over millions of years are large relative to the surface reservoir, so even a modest persistent mismatch would push atmospheric CO₂ far from familiar values.[3] A restoring process is therefore a mass-balance requirement, not evidence of planetary intention.

Partial regulation is compatible with dramatic climate. Earth has occupied icehouse and greenhouse states, and Neoproterozoic strata record glaciations severe enough to motivate Snowball Earth models.[4,12,19] A negative feedback can be strong enough to prevent indefinite drift yet too slow to prevent overshoot, too weak in one geography, or temporarily interrupted. Long-lived surface water likewise does not mean constant warmth. Models of early climate and ocean pH admit broad ranges, while ancient minerals preserve evidence for water under conditions we cannot reconstruct point by point.[17,18,22] The achievement is persistence within a wide envelope, not a flat temperature line.

Feedback also needs a defined perturbation. The weathering loop can oppose an increase in atmospheric CO₂ without reversing every temperature change caused by ice, clouds, circulation or orbital geometry.[1,3,4] On a changing planet, several feedbacks act simultaneously and on different clocks. Calling one of them stabilizing means that its response has a negative sign relative to its driver; it does not grant that process control over the whole climate system.

This distinction sets the article's epistemic profile. The reactions and modern flux pathways are measurable. Their integrated strength across vanished continents, changing oceans and billions of years is a scientific interpretation constrained by models and incomplete archives.[4,12,17,18] The word thermostat will therefore earn its place only when three questions travel with it: what

carbon flux responds, how fast does it respond, and what can limit it? The first answer lies in a reaction that begins in rain and soil but ends with carbon locked into marine rock.

Where That Leaves Us

The thermostat is therefore a relationship, not an object: carbon sources, climate-sensitive sinks and finite response times must remain linked. Mass balance explains why some restoring feedback is needed without specifying its exact strength. To see what actually does the work, the next step follows volcanic carbon through weathered silicate, river water and newly buried carbonate.

2. The Reaction That Stores Volcanic Carbon

Carbon returns to the surface from several geological routes. Magma releases mantle and recycled carbon; metamorphic and diagenetic reactions break down buried carbon-bearing material; arcs process part of what subduction carries downward.[1–3,29,31] These fluxes vary through time and cannot be reduced to a row of volcanoes. Nor does every carbon atom that enters a trench emerge promptly at an arc. Measurements and inventories show substantial variation among margins, with carbon released in forearcs and arcs, stored in crust or transported deeper.[29–31] The source side is a distributed tectonic system with an incompletely closed budget.

Removal begins when CO₂ dissolves in rain or soil water and contributes to carbonic acid. Water moving through silicate minerals releases cations such as calcium or magnesium, dissolved bicarbonate and silica; organic acids and soil respiration can intensify the local chemistry.[1,2,6] A deliberately simplified calcium-silicate equation is useful bookkeeping: two CO₂ molecules react with CaSiO₃ and water to yield Ca²⁺, two bicarbonate ions and dissolved silica.[1,2,26] Natural granite and basalt are not pure wollastonite, and secondary clays form along the way. The equation tracks atoms; it is not a photograph of one mineral grain.

Rivers move the weathering products to the ocean. When calcium and bicarbonate ultimately form and bury calcium carbonate, one CO₂ molecule returns to the ocean-atmosphere system and one remains in rock. Combining both stages gives the familiar net reaction: $\text{CaSiO}_3 + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{SiO}_2$. [1,2] That final burial matters. If carbonate dissolves again, storage ends; if sediment is subducted and decarbonated, carbon later rejoins the surface. Geological sequestration is a residence in a slow reservoir, not annihilation.

Carbonate-rock weathering must be kept separate. Dissolving CaCO₃ with carbonic acid consumes CO₂ initially, but precipitating CaCO₃ later returns that carbon, so the complete carbonate loop is not the same net multimillion-year sink as silicate weathering.[1,3,26] It can still neutralize a carbon pulse over millennia, and sulfuric acid can reverse parts of the expected accounting. The rule 'weathering removes CO₂' is therefore too crude. One must identify the acid, the mineral, the exported ions and the fate of the carbonate. Only then does rock chemistry become a planetary carbon budget.

The return journey is equally selective. Sedimentary carbon entering a subduction zone can be dissolved into fluids, released by metamorphic reactions, carried into magma, stored in crust or transported deeper.[29–31] Present budgets do not close every branch, and margins differ. This uncertainty changes the size and timing of geological carbon sources, but not the need to balance sources against durable surface sinks over long intervals. The cycle is closed by a network of partitions, not one conveyor belt.

Where That Leaves Us

Silicate weathering becomes a long-term sink only when its products complete the journey into durable carbonate burial; carbonate dissolution alone does different bookkeeping. The reaction supplies the feedback's chemical direction, but not its speed. Speed enters through climate, because heat, rainfall, runoff and subsurface residence decide how rapidly water can turn fresh minerals into exported alkalinity.

3. Weathering Turns Climate into Feedback

The Question

A carbon sink is not automatically a climate feedback. To oppose warming, its rate must change because the climate changed. Temperature can accelerate reactions, but on real continents the decisive connection often runs through water, flow paths and the supply of unreacted mineral.

Mineral reactions usually run faster at higher temperature, but a landscape is not a laboratory vial. White and Blum compared granitoid watersheds across climates and found that silica and sodium fluxes rose systematically with precipitation, runoff and temperature, while calcium, magnesium and potassium signals were obscured by other processes.[6] West, Galy and Bickle likewise found that climate, erosion and lithology jointly organize global weathering.[5] These mixed results are not a failure of the feedback. They identify its machinery: reaction kinetics matter only where water reaches reactive surfaces, products can leave and fresh minerals remain available.

Hydrology provides the strongest bridge. Rainfall controls how much water enters a catchment; runoff exports dissolved products; subsurface residence gives fluids time to approach equilibrium. Maher and Chamberlain expressed the competition with a Damköhler framework linking flow time, reaction time and fresh-mineral supply.[4] More runoff can raise total flux even when concentration falls, but the response eventually plateaus. A warm, wet landscape can therefore weather rapidly, yet two equally warm basins can behave differently because their flow paths, soil age and erosion history are not equal. The thermostat has plumbing.

The canonical loop now closes. More atmospheric CO₂ strengthens greenhouse forcing. Where the resulting climate is warmer and runoff increases, silicate weathering tends to consume CO₂ faster. Reduced CO₂ then opposes the initial warming.[1,3,4] In the other direction, cooler or drier conditions can slow the sink while volcanic and metamorphic release continues, allowing CO₂ to accumulate.[1,19] Every verb is conditional. Rainfall can fall regionally, ice can seal rock from liquid water, and biological productivity can change acidity. A global negative sign does not make every watershed respond identically.

Field variability is therefore evidence to interpret, not noise to erase. A runoff response can increase export in one basin and approach mineral saturation in another; an apparent temperature relation can disappear when lithology changes.[1,4,6] Global models compress those differences into parameters, while catchments reveal the processes those parameters must represent. Confidence in the feedback comes from compatible chemistry, hydrology and mass balance, not from pretending that one regression explains every river.

The clock is geological. Archer and colleagues separated ocean uptake, carbonate neutralization and rock weathering into distinct stages after a carbon pulse.[25] Colbourn, Ridgwell and Lenton obtained an approximately 240,000-year e-folding time for the silicate-weathering feedback, with a

model range of 170,000–380,000 years.[26] Other models differ, and the value changes with background climate and pulse size.[27] The defensible conclusion is not one magic number. It is that restoring geological balance takes roughly hundreds of thousands of years—fast enough to shape deep time, far too slow to stabilize a human century.

Where That Leaves Us

Climate closes the loop only through landscapes able to translate heat and water into mineral reaction and export. That dependence explains both the feedback's negative sign and its variable pace. It also exposes the next complication: tectonics does more than return carbon. By making mountains, eroding surfaces and exposing different rocks, it continually changes the feedback's leverage.

4. Tectonics Changes the Strength of the Feedback

Chemical weathering needs something physical to weather. Erosion strips altered material and exposes minerals that have not yet reacted; soil production opens pore space; landslides deliver fresh debris to channels and floodplains.[4,5] Yet more erosion is not infinitely better. When supply is scarce, exposing rock can raise chemical flux. When supply is abundant, reaction kinetics, water contact and transport become limiting, and rapidly removed grains can leave before much chemistry occurs.[5,26] The distinction between supply-limited and kinetically or hydrologically limited regimes is a spectrum, but it prevents 'more mountains means more cooling' from becoming a law.

Rock type changes the opportunity. River chemistry shows that lithology helps determine how much CO₂ weathering consumes, and basaltic terrains can be exceptionally reactive.[7,8] Dessert and colleagues derived basalt-weathering relationships from monitored settings and used them to evaluate global carbon consumption.[9] Basalt does not possess a fixed planetary rate: tropical rain, permeability, grain size, age and erosion all matter. Large igneous provinces can release CO₂ while erupting and later expose mafic rock that consumes it. Source and sink may belong to the same event but act with different timing.

Mountains rearrange nearly every control at once. Uplift builds relief, erosion supplies minerals, orographic rainfall redirects water and sediment accumulates in reactive lowlands.[4] Raymo and Ruddiman proposed that late Cenozoic uplift intensified weathering and contributed to cooling.[10] That was an influential, testable hypothesis rather than a permanent verdict. Global weathering must approach geological carbon supply over long intervals; increasing weatherability can instead lower the CO₂ and temperature required to achieve that balance.[3] A mountain range changes the setting of equilibrium and the path toward it, not merely a single global flux knob.

Life modifies the same landscape. Roots, fungi, respiration and organic acids change water paths, mineral surfaces and soil CO₂; vegetation also stabilizes soil and alters erosion. GEOCARB models treat biological enhancement as one evolving control.[12] Pagani and colleagues modelled a further twist: when CO₂ becomes scarce, plant productivity and plant-driven weathering can weaken, limiting additional drawdown.[11] Biology did not invent the abiotic silicate reaction, and no one multiplier represents every ecosystem. It changes feedback gain. By the time water reaches the sea, the continental signal already contains tectonic, climatic and biological history.

Over long intervals, weatherability and weathering flux are not synonyms. If uplift or plants make reaction easier while degassing stays fixed, CO₂ can fall until cooler or drier conditions return

global removal toward the supplied carbon.[3,4,12] The new state may be cooler, and the transient can be climatically important, but the sink cannot exceed its source forever. This steady-state logic is why correlations among mountains, erosion and cooling require chronology and mechanism rather than visual alignment alone.

Where That Leaves Us

Tectonics strengthens or weakens weathering by changing fresh-rock supply, lithology, relief and rainfall, while life further alters the reactive landscape. None acts alone, and uplift cannot permanently dictate a global sink independent of carbon supply. Continental reasoning is still incomplete, because a vast reactive surface lies under seawater: the basaltic crust produced by the moving planet of QTC-018.

5. The Seafloor Is Part of the Thermostat

The Question

Rain on mountains is the familiar image of geological carbon removal, but seawater also circulates through young basalt and leaves carbonate behind. Ignoring that submerged pathway turns a planetary cycle into a continental story and distorts the early Earth most of all.

New oceanic crust begins hot and fractured at a ridge, then cools while seawater continues to circulate through its permeable upper layers away from the axis. Fluid enters through exposed outcrops, reacts with basalt and precipitates secondary minerals before returning to the ocean. [13,32] More than four fifths of the carbonate in some studied upper crust formed within the first 20 million years, although permeability and sediment cover vary widely.[13] Carbonate in a core proves uptake, but not automatically a new net sink: some calcite merely precipitates alkalinity delivered from continents. Basalt dissolution must generate additional alkalinity for the strongest long-term effect.

Brady and Gislason proposed that seafloor weathering responds to temperature and atmospheric CO₂. [14] Coogan and Gillis later modelled low-temperature fluid-rock reactions and argued that enhanced alkalinity generation in warmer Late Mesozoic conditions could have supplied a feedback comparable in magnitude to continental weathering.[13] The claim is important precisely because it is qualified. The study linked measured crustal carbon contents to reaction models; it did not continuously monitor a global ancient flux. Ocean crust is a real carbon reservoir, while the size of its climatic leverage is reconstructed.

Coogan and Dosso used ocean-crust alteration and seawater strontium isotopes to infer a strong temperature dependence for basalt dissolution, reporting an apparent activation energy of about 92 ± 7 kJ per mole.[15] Bottom-water temperature matters because it sets the inlet condition for off-axis circulation. Yet that number is not a universal constant for every crustal aquifer. Mineral assemblages, seawater composition, fluid pathways and assumptions about the strontium record enter the inference. Its strongest message is qualitative and testable: the seafloor sink can respond to climate rather than merely to the amount of crust produced.

Early-Earth models make the consequence visible. Krissansen-Totton, Arney and Catling coupled continental and seafloor weathering with ocean chemistry and found that seafloor alteration could have been comparable to continental uptake in the Archean without necessarily dominating it.[17]

The answer changed across assumptions about land growth, heat flow and degassing. Spreading rate, crustal age, sediment cover and seawater chemistry all affect the sink.[13–15,32] A planet with little exposed land is not therefore a planet without mineral feedback, but neither does basalt guarantee a clement climate. The submerged pathway widens the system and its uncertainty together.

Nor can spreading rate stand in for the entire process. Faster creation exposes more young crust, but fluid access, bottom-water temperature, carbonate saturation and secondary-mineral formation determine what that crust does.[13–15,32] An ocean floor sealed by sediment differs from fractured basalt connected to outcrops. The same plate-tectonic rate can therefore support different carbon uptake. This is the precise boundary with QTC-018: plate motion creates the setting, while geochemistry and hydrology determine the feedback within it.

Where That Leaves Us

Ocean crust confirms that geological climate regulation is not confined to soil and rivers. Basalt alteration can create alkalinity, bury carbon and respond to temperature, while its ancient global strength remains model-dependent. Adding the seafloor makes the feedback more resilient but not invulnerable. Under sufficiently extreme conditions, water access, ocean chemistry and burial pathways can change mode or fail together.

6. The Feedback Can Stall or Change Mode

The ocean does not passively receive continental alkalinity. Dissolved silica and cations can form new clay minerals in marine sediment, a family of reactions called reverse weathering. Those reactions consume alkalinity and can return CO₂ to the ocean-atmosphere system.[16] Isson and Planavsky proposed that the pathway helped stabilize marine pH and planetary climate, especially before biological silica cycling transformed the ocean.[16] The mineral process is observed; its global magnitude through time is an active interpretation. Treating reverse weathering as either negligible or a complete replacement for the conventional cycle would outrun the evidence.

Ocean pH changes the partition of inorganic carbon and the conditions for carbonate burial. Halevy and Bachan inferred a broad rise from lower Archean pH toward more alkaline later oceans as solar luminosity increased and atmospheric CO₂ and hydrothermal exchange changed.[18] Krissansen-Totton and colleagues obtained a related trend in a different coupled model.[17] Agreement on direction does not yield one exact curve. It shows why carbon feedback cannot be separated from alkalinity: a flux that removes CO₂ under one ocean chemistry may be amplified, buffered or rerouted under another.

Global glaciation supplies the clearest thought experiment with geological evidence. If ice covers most continents and liquid water barely exchanges with exposed rock, continental silicate weathering collapses while volcanic and metamorphic degassing can continue.[19] CO₂ then accumulates rather than being matched immediately by the usual sink. Enough greenhouse forcing can help end the frozen state, after which warmth, runoff and newly exposed debris drive intense weathering. The exact deglaciation threshold in the original 1998 Snowball Earth paper was model-dependent; the durable lesson is the interrupted loop and delayed recovery, not one inherited CO₂ number.

Cap carbonates above glacial deposits record an abrupt environmental transition, but they do not translate into one unambiguous weathering rate.[19] Ocean overturning, alkalinity accumulation, rapid precipitation and sedimentary reworking can all contribute. The sequence nevertheless demonstrates how a negative feedback can overshoot: a weak sink allows carbon to build; deglaciation restores water-rock contact; a temporarily strong sink and unusual ocean chemistry follow. Delays and thresholds replace the smooth motion imagined by the appliance metaphor. A planetary regulator can be real and still permit states that would be catastrophic for most present ecosystems.

These cases share a deeper rule: feedback strength is a property of the whole state, not one reaction constant. Reverse weathering depends on silica and seawater chemistry; carbonate burial depends on saturation and circulation; continental uptake depends on exposed wet rock.[16–19] When state variables cross thresholds, the dominant carbon pathway can change. The thermostat metaphor survives only in plural form—a collection of coupled tendencies whose combined response must be recalculated whenever the planet changes mode.

Where That Leaves Us

Reverse weathering, changing ocean pH and Snowball intervals reveal a feedback with alternative pathways, thresholds and delays. Earth did not remain habitable by holding every variable near a modern value; the system repeatedly changed how carbon was processed. The hardest long-term test came from outside that cycle: the young Sun supplied substantially less energy while geological evidence still records liquid water.

7. A Faint Young Sun Made the Test Hard

The Question

Standard stellar evolution says the young Sun was fainter, enough that a modern atmosphere would leave early Earth deeply frozen. Yet ancient rocks and zircons preserve signs of liquid water. Carbon feedback helps reconcile those facts, but it does not identify one unique atmosphere.

Hydrogen fusion slowly changes the Sun's interior, so standard models make solar luminosity rise with age. Gough's calculation remains a canonical quantitative treatment, and modern early-Earth studies commonly place Archean luminosity about 18–25 percent below today's value.[21,23] Sagan and Mullen made the resulting tension famous in 1972: under a simple modern-like atmosphere, less sunlight implied a frozen young Earth, while geology indicated liquid water.[20] 'Paradox' names a mismatch between boundary conditions and an incomplete climate explanation. Stellar evolution is not contradicted by an ancient river deposit.

The water evidence is real but layered. Sedimentary rocks require deposition by liquid water at particular times and places. Much older Jack Hills zircons carry oxygen-isotope and trace-element evidence interpreted as interaction between crust and low-temperature surface water by about 4.4 billion years ago.[22] A zircon is not a global weather map. It constrains the existence of water-rock cycling and differentiated crust, not a permanent worldwide ocean with known temperature. Keeping that distinction prevents the faint-young-Sun problem from demanding more precision than the geological archive contains.

A stronger greenhouse is the leading bridge. More CO₂, and in some scenarios methane, can compensate for weaker sunlight; the carbonate-silicate feedback then adjusts CO₂ as luminosity, land and outgassing change.[1,17,20,28] But the required gas abundance is not fixed. Three-dimensional models show sensitivity to clouds, rotation, ocean heat transport, land fraction, atmospheric pressure and ice albedo.[23] Methane can warm, yet photochemistry and organic haze limit its behavior. CO₂ is central because geology supplies a feedback, not because every other boundary condition is negligible.

Coupled models turn the story into a test. Krissansen-Totton and colleagues sampled uncertainty in continental growth, internal heat, degassing, methane and biological weathering, then calculated climate and ocean pH together.[17] Halevy and Bachan obtained an evolving pH history from major-element cycles.[18] Habitable-zone models extend the inverse CO₂-temperature relationship to Earth-like planets around other stars.[24] These are structured inferences, not recovered instrument logs. A robust tendency—brighter Sun, lower equilibrium CO₂—can coexist with uncertainty about early temperature, land area, methane and the division between continental and seafloor sinks.

The constraint is powerful because its components fail differently. Stellar models set incoming energy; zircons and sediments constrain water and crust; climate models translate gases and albedo into temperature; carbon-cycle models ask whether the required atmosphere can persist. [17,23,24] Agreement among them narrows possibilities without producing a single recovered movie. A solution that warms the surface but requires weathering sinks permanently larger than carbon sources is not self-consistent. The carbon budget tests climate answers rather than merely decorating them.

Where That Leaves Us

The faint young Sun makes carbon regulation a planetary necessity without turning one model into a recovered atmosphere. Liquid water, stellar evolution and geochemical feedback jointly constrain the solution space; none supplies a unique history alone. That deep-time success creates one final public misunderstanding to remove: a slow restoring process cannot erase a carbon release delivered almost instantaneously on its own clock.

8. The Slow Feedback Does Not Cancel Fast Warming

A pulse of CO₂ does not leave the atmosphere on one exponential timer. Carbon first partitions into the upper ocean and biosphere, then mixes through the ocean, dissolves seafloor carbonate, reacts with carbonate rock on land and finally is balanced by silicate weathering and burial.[25–27] Each stage changes atmospheric concentration, ocean pH and temperature by a different amount. The last fraction matters disproportionately because the earlier sinks saturate or approach new equilibria. 'Nature removes CO₂' is true only when accompanied by a curve of remaining fraction against time.

Archer, Kheshgi and Maier-Reimer illustrated the separation in a 1997 model: ocean uptake acted over roughly 200–450 years, seafloor carbonate neutralization over about 5,500–6,800 years, terrestrial carbonate weathering around 8,200 years, and final consumption by basic igneous-rock weathering near 200,000 years.[25] These are not current universal constants. They are one model's demonstration that the same carbon pulse has oceanic, carbonate and silicate tails.

Colbourn and colleagues later estimated a roughly 240,000-year silicate-feedback e-folding time with a broad uncertainty range.[26] Different calculations reinforce the hierarchy more strongly than any shared exact number.

Human fossil-carbon release is rapid on every one of those geological clocks. Natural silicate weathering will eventually consume much of the perturbation, but it cannot prevent warming, acidification and sea-level change experienced during the intervening centuries and millennia. [26,27] This statement does not deny engineered enhanced weathering; crushing reactive rock and spreading it deliberately can accelerate contact. It separates an intervention from the unassisted feedback and leaves its mining, energy, verification and ecological costs visible. A mechanism that helps make a planet habitable over billions of years can still be irrelevant to avoiding harm before the next generation grows old.

Earth's thermostat is therefore neither guardian nor excuse. It is a coupled set of chemical and physical tendencies that has operated under a brightening Sun, moving continents, changing life and occasional global extremes. QTC-018 supplied the tectonic circulation that exposes and recycles rock; this article supplied the carbon-climate feedback without reducing it to plates alone. QTC-020 will enter the environments that existed before life and compare how minerals, ultraviolet light, hydrothermal flow and wet-dry cycles could organize chemistry. Energy and carbon set conditions; they do not yet explain the transition to evolving systems.[1,17,24]

The timescale comparison protects both climate science and deep-time science from misuse. Geological feedback is not too slow to matter for a billion-year planet, and it is not fast enough to make a century-scale perturbation harmless.[25–27] Those statements are compatible because they ask different questions. A planet can possess a stabilizing mechanism and still experience dangerous transients; indeed, identifying the mechanism tells us why the transient persists after the emissions that caused it have stopped.

Where That Leaves Us

Earth's thermostat is a slow, contingent feedback assembled from chemistry, water, rock renewal, ocean crust and burial. It helped keep a changing planet within a broad habitable envelope, but it neither selects a temperature nor rescues us on human timescales. The next question is what chemistry could do inside those imperfectly regulated environments before heredity and evolution existed.

What We Know, and How

- Silicate weathering followed by carbonate burial transfers CO₂ from the surface system into rock on geological timescales.[1,2]
- Climate, runoff, erosion, fresh-mineral supply and lithology jointly control continental weathering.[4–9]
- Low-temperature alteration of oceanic basalt takes up carbon and can generate alkalinity.[13–15,32]
- The silicate-weathering response to a carbon pulse is far slower than human emissions, operating over roughly hundreds of thousands of years in published models.[25–27]
- The young Sun was substantially fainter while geological materials preserve evidence of early liquid water.[20–23]

What We Still Don't Know

- The exact global strength of the climate-weathering feedback under every past continental configuration.
- How continental and seafloor weathering divided the carbon sink through the earliest Earth.
- The long-term magnitude of reverse weathering and other authigenic reactions in ancient oceans.
- The precise early atmospheric mixture, surface temperature and ocean pH at each interval.
- How carbon is partitioned among arc release, crustal storage and deep-mantle transport at every subduction zone.

What Could Change Our Minds

- Global field observations showing that silicate-weathering flux does not respond to climate under comparable rock-supply conditions.
- Ancient ocean-crust records that require seafloor carbon uptake to be negligible or dominant across intervals now modelled otherwise.
- Proxy histories of CO₂, temperature or pH that fall systematically outside coupled carbon-cycle model ensembles.
- Improved subduction budgets that substantially change the balance between returned and deeply stored carbon.
- A demonstrated natural sink fast and large enough to balance anthropogenic fossil-carbon release on human timescales.

If You Remember Only One Thing

Earth's thermostat is no fixed temperature. It is a slow negative feedback connecting water, silicate rock, carbonate burial, climate and carbon removal, with limits that make regulation partial and contingent.

Related Articles

- QTC-018 — The Planet That Moves
- QTC-020 — Before Life: A Planet Full of Chemistry

References

- [1] James C. G. Walker; P. B. Hays; J. F. Kasting. A negative feedback mechanism for the long-term stabilization of Earth's surface temperature. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 86(C10), 9776–9782 (1981). DOI 10.1029/JC086iC10p09776. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JC086iC10p09776>
- [2] R. A. Berner; A. C. Lasaga; R. M. Garrels. The carbonate-silicate geochemical cycle and its effect on atmospheric carbon dioxide over the past 100 million years. *American Journal of Science* 283(7), 641–683 (1983). DOI 10.2475/ajs.283.7.641. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.283.7.641>
- [3] Robert A. Berner; Ken Caldeira. The need for mass balance and feedback in the geochemical carbon cycle. *Geology* 25(10), 955–956 (1997). DOI 10.1130/0091-7613(1997)025<0955:TNFMBA>2.3.CO;2. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1997\)025%3C0955:TNFMBA%3E2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1997)025%3C0955:TNFMBA%3E2.3.CO;2)

- [4] K. Maher; C. P. Chamberlain. Hydrologic Regulation of Chemical Weathering and the Geologic Carbon Cycle. *Science* 343(6178), 1502–1504 (2014). DOI 10.1126/science.1250770. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1250770>
- [5] A. West; A. Galy; M. Bickle. Tectonic and climatic controls on silicate weathering. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 235, 211–228 (2005). DOI 10.1016/j.epsl.2005.03.020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.03.020>
- [6] Art F. White; Alex E. Blum. Effects of Climate on Chemical Weathering in Watersheds. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 59(9), 1729–1747 (1995). DOI 10.1016/0016-7037(95)00078-E. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(95\)00078-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)00078-E)
- [7] J. Gaillardet; B. Dupré; P. Louvat; C. J. Allègre. Global silicate weathering and CO₂ consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers. *Chemical Geology* 159, 3–30 (1999). DOI 10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00031-5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541\(99\)00031-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00031-5)
- [8] P. Amiotte Suchet; J. L. Probst. A global model for present-day atmospheric/soil CO₂ consumption by chemical erosion of continental rocks (GEM-CO₂). *Tellus B* 47(1–2), 273–280 (1995). DOI 10.3402/tellusb.v47i1-2.16047. <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v47i1-2.16047>
- [9] Céline Dessert; Bernard Dupré; Jérôme Gaillardet; Louis M. François; Claude J. Allègre. Basalt weathering laws and the impact of basalt weathering on the global carbon cycle. *Chemical Geology* 202, 257–273 (2003). DOI 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2002.10.001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2002.10.001>
- [10] M. E. Raymo; W. F. Ruddiman. Tectonic forcing of late Cenozoic climate. *Nature* 359, 117–122 (1992). DOI 10.1038/359117a0. <https://doi.org/10.1038/359117a0>
- [11] Mark Pagani; Ken Caldeira; Robert Berner; David J. Beerling. The role of terrestrial plants in limiting atmospheric CO₂ decline over the past 24 million years. *Nature* 460, 85–88 (2009). DOI 10.1038/nature08133. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08133>
- [12] Robert A. Berner; Zavareth Kothavala. GEOCARB III: A revised model of atmospheric CO₂ over Phanerozoic time. *American Journal of Science* 301(2), 182–204 (2001). DOI 10.2475/ajs.301.2.182. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.301.2.182>
- [13] Laurence A. Coogan; Kathryn M. Gillis. Evidence that low-temperature oceanic hydrothermal systems play an important role in the silicate-carbonate weathering cycle and long-term climate regulation. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 14, 1771–1786 (2013). DOI 10.1002/ggge.20113. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20113>
- [14] Patrick V. Brady; Sigurdur R. Gíslason. Seafloor weathering controls on atmospheric CO₂ and global climate. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 61(5), 965–973 (1997). DOI 10.1016/S0016-7037(96)00385-7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(96\)00385-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(96)00385-7)
- [15] Laurence A. Coogan; Stan E. Dosso. Alteration of ocean crust provides a strong temperature dependent feedback on the geological carbon cycle and is a primary driver of the Sr-isotopic composition of seawater. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 415, 38–46 (2015). DOI 10.1016/j.epsl.2015.01.027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.01.027>

- [16] Terry T. Isson; Noah J. Planavsky. Reverse weathering as a long-term stabilizer of marine pH and planetary climate. *Nature* 560, 471–475 (2018). DOI 10.1038/s41586-018-0408-4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0408-4>
- [17] Joshua Krissansen-Totton; Giada N. Arney; David C. Catling. Constraining the climate and ocean pH of the early Earth with a geological carbon cycle model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115(16), 4105–4110 (2018). DOI 10.1073/pnas.1721296115. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721296115>
- [18] I. Halevy; A. Bachan. The geologic history of seawater pH. *Science* 355(6329), 1069–1071 (2017). DOI 10.1126/science.aal4151. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4151>
- [19] Paul F. Hoffman; Alan J. Kaufman; Galen P. Halverson; Daniel P. Schrag. A Neoproterozoic Snowball Earth. *Science* 281(5381), 1342–1346 (1998). DOI 10.1126/science.281.5381.1342. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.281.5381.1342>
- [20] Carl Sagan; George Mullen. Earth and Mars: Evolution of Atmospheres and Surface Temperatures. *Science* 177(4043), 52–56 (1972). DOI 10.1126/science.177.4043.52. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.177.4043.52>
- [21] D. O. Gough. Solar interior structure and luminosity variations. *Solar Physics* 74, 21–34 (1981). DOI 10.1007/BF00151270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00151270>
- [22] Simon A. Wilde; John W. Valley; William H. Peck; Colin M. Graham. Evidence from Detrital Zircons for the Existence of Continental Crust and Oceans on the Earth 4.4 Gyr Ago. *Nature* 409, 175–178 (2001). DOI 10.1038/35051550. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35051550>
- [23] G. Le Hir; Y. Teitler; F. Fluteau; Y. Donnadieu; P. Philippot. The faint young Sun problem revisited with a 3-D climate–carbon model – Part 1. *Climate of the Past* 10, 697–713 (2014). DOI 10.5194/cp-10-697-2014. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-697-2014>
- [24] James F. Kasting; Daniel P. Whitmire; Ray T. Reynolds. Habitable Zones around Main Sequence Stars. *Icarus* 101(1), 108–128 (1993). DOI 10.1006/icar.1993.1010. <https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1993.1010>
- [25] David Archer; Haroon Kheshgi; Ernst Maier-Reimer. Multiple timescales for neutralization of fossil fuel CO₂. *Geophysical Research Letters* 24(4), 405–408 (1997). DOI 10.1029/97GL00168. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL00168>
- [26] G. Colbourn; A. Ridgwell; T. M. Lenton. The time scale of the silicate weathering negative feedback on atmospheric CO₂. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 29, 583–596 (2015). DOI 10.1002/2014GB005054. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB005054>
- [27] David Archer. Fate of fossil fuel CO₂ in geologic time. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 110, C09S05 (2005). DOI 10.1029/2004JC002625. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002625>
- [28] Norman H. Sleep; Kevin Zahnle. Carbon dioxide cycling and implications for climate on ancient Earth. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 106(E1), 1373–1399 (2001). DOI 10.1029/2000JE001247. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JE001247>

[29] Rajdeep Dasgupta; Marc M. Hirschmann. The deep carbon cycle and melting in Earth's interior. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 298, 1–13 (2010). DOI 10.1016/j.epsl.2010.06.039. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.06.039>

[30] Peter B. Kelemen; Craig E. Manning. Reevaluating carbon fluxes in subduction zones, what goes down, mostly comes up. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(30), E3997–E4006 (2015). DOI 10.1073/pnas.1507889112. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1507889112>

[31] Terry Plank; Craig E. Manning. Subducting carbon. *Nature* 574, 343–352 (2019). DOI 10.1038/s41586-019-1643-z. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1643-z>

[32] K. M. Gillis; L. A. Coogan. Secular variation in carbon uptake into the ocean crust. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 302, 385–392 (2011). DOI 10.1016/j.epsl.2010.12.030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.12.030>