

Purity Requirements for Recycled Monomers and Oligomers in Photocurable Formulations: Inhibitors, Colour and Cure

Defining recovered-feedstock acceptance by photochemical function rather than nominal chemical identity alone

Juan Seguro

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-456 · Engineering Review · Version 0.1 · 8 October 2026

Abstract

Recovered monomers and oligomers can match the nominal identity of virgin photopolymer feedstocks while carrying inhibitors, chromophores, catalyst residues, water, degradation fragments or altered functionality that shift cure behaviour. Circular photopolymer manufacturing therefore needs acceptance criteria that connect chemical purity to optical response, polymerisation kinetics and final network properties. This review defines a qualification framework for recycled liquid feedstocks, including identity, impurity profile, colour and absorption, inhibitor balance, water and volatile content, functionality, cure response and lot-to-lot control.

Keywords: recycled monomer; oligomer; purity; inhibitor; colour; photopolymerisation; cure kinetics; photopolymer; quality control

1. Significance and scope

Closed-loop photopolymer recycling ultimately returns a liquid or soluble feedstock to the formulation stage. Reviews of VPP recycling identify impurity accumulation, additive migration and optical drift as recurring limits to repeated reuse [1].

This review owns acceptance of recovered monomers and oligomers before they enter a photocurable formulation. ER-452 owns the chemical recycling route that generated them; the present question is whether the recovered liquid is fit for controlled cure.

2. Nominal identity is not sufficient purity

Chemical identity should be confirmed, but identity alone does not define suitability. Water, residual solvent, catalyst, degradation fragments, pigments and initiator products can remain below obvious visual detection yet alter polymerisation.

Purity specifications should therefore distinguish target component assay from impurity limits. The critical impurity set is formulation-specific and should be derived from plausible recycling chemistry rather than a generic analytical panel.

Analytical limits should be tied to process sensitivity. An impurity that is negligible for mass purity can be important if it absorbs strongly at the exposure wavelength or acts as a radical scavenger.

Purity methods should be validated at the concentration range that affects cure. A chromatographic method can identify major species yet miss a trace chromophore or radical scavenger whose optical or kinetic leverage is disproportionate to its mass fraction.

3. Inhibitors and oxygen-sensitive chemistry affect cure

Inhibitors stabilise acrylates and methacrylates during storage but also influence induction and polymerisation kinetics. Classic photopolymerisation work shows that inhibitor and oxygen content can materially affect rate and conversion [2].

Recovered streams can contain less inhibitor because of prior processing or more inhibitor if fresh stabiliser was added during recovery. Acceptance should measure or control the actual inhibitor state rather than assuming the virgin specification survived.

4. Colour and absorbers change optical dose delivery

Colour matters because photopolymerisation depends on spectral dose reaching reactive species. Chromophores generated during recycling can alter penetration depth and exposure latitude even when bulk chemical assay appears acceptable.

Spectral absorbance at the printer wavelength is therefore a functional purity metric. A simple visual colour grade may miss narrow-band absorption relevant to a 385 or 405 nm process.

Oligomer functionality can drift through chain scission, side reactions or selective loss of low-molecular-weight species. Equivalent mass-average molecular weight does not guarantee equivalent reactive-group concentration.

Acceptance should include a controlled reference formulation so that lot release distinguishes feedstock variation from lamp, printer or environmental drift. A cure test without a reference cannot localise the source of change.

Recycled-feedstock blending should be treated as an acceptance strategy with a defined maximum fraction and mixing rule. Diluting an out-of-specification recovered lot with virgin material can stabilise production, but the resulting lot is not evidence that the recovered stream itself met the original specification.

5. Recovered oligomers can carry hidden functionality drift

Dynamic-network recycling studies show that regenerated formulations may require supplementation with fresh components to recover printability [3]. The make-up fraction should be part of the feedstock acceptance and reuse record.

Viscosity, density and refractive index can serve as rapid lot controls only after correlation with detailed chemistry. They should not replace analytical identity where contamination routes are plausible.

Shelf stability of the recovered stream should be tested separately from immediate printability. Residual catalyst or unstable intermediates can continue reacting during storage and shift the lot after initial release.

Axis	Measurement	Failure mode
Identity	assay and reactive functionality	nominal name treated as purity
Inhibitor	concentration and induction effect	virgin stabilisation assumed
Optics	colour and wavelength-specific absorbance	visual clarity treated as optical equivalence
Volatiles	water, solvent, residual reagent	trace contamination ignored
Cure	working curve and conversion	printability treated as equivalence

Table 1. Domain-specific qualification matrix for recycled monomer and oligomer purity in photocurable formulations.

6. Device-level verification of recycled feedstocks

Device-level verification should formulate the recycled feedstock at the intended fraction, measure cure response, then print representative geometry. Compare working curve, dimensional accuracy, conversion and final properties with a defined reference.

A feedstock can be chemically acceptable yet process-incompatible if optical or rheological response requires impractical exposure compensation. Qualification should define allowable process adjustment rather than treating any printable state as equivalent.

Failure modes include cure inhibition, premature dark reaction, spectral attenuation, phase separation, odour/volatile increase, colour accumulation and progressive property drift over recycling cycles.

Device-level verification should include exposure latitude rather than one successful setting. A recovered feedstock that prints only after a narrow dose adjustment may carry less manufacturing robustness even when a single validation part appears equivalent.

7. Minimum qualification framework

Report recovered-stream origin, target-component assay, water and solvent, inhibitor, major impurities, colour/spectral absorbance, viscosity and reactive functionality.

Report storage history, recycled fraction in formulation, fresh additions, cure metrics, exposure changes, conversion, dimensional fidelity and final-part properties.

8. Engineering conclusions

Recovered monomers and oligomers should be released by functional purity, not name alone. The correct specification links analytical composition to the optical and kinetic requirements of the photocuring process.

References

- [1] Y. Feng, Z. Deng, X. Xu, Y. Long, "Closed-Loop Recycling and Reprinting of Vat-Photopolymerized Thermosetting Resins: Recent Advances and Future Perspectives," *Journal of Polymer Science* 64 (2026) 4102-4118. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pola.70303>
- [2] I. V. Khudyakov, J. C. Legg, M. B. Purvis, B. J. Overton, "Kinetics of Photopolymerization of Acrylates with Functionality of 1–6," *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 38 (1999) 3353-3359. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie990306i>
- [3] Y. Liu, X. Wang, M. Fan, Y. Ji, D. Zhang, J. Dong, F. Wang, L. Ren, Y. Wu, H. Tian, Z. Jiang, "Recyclable and reprogrammable DLP-printed networks enabled by dual dynamic covalent bonds," *European Polymer Journal* 258 (2026) 115077. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2026.115077>

Competing interest

Juan Segurola is founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L., which develops and commercialises photopolymer materials and related additive-manufacturing technologies. This review is an engineering analysis and does not constitute a product-performance claim or a device qualification.