

Photopolymer Packaging and Interconnects for Integrated Photonics: Alignment, Coupling Loss, Stress and Reliability

Qualification of directly written polymer waveguides, printed couplers and heterogeneous photonic interfaces

Juan Seguro

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-427 · Engineering Review · Version 0.1 · 8 October 2026

Abstract

Three-dimensional photopolymer structures can bridge the geometric mismatch between optical fibres and photonic chips, connect dissimilar photonic dies and place freeform couplers directly at an interface. The principal engineering advantage is not merely printable geometry but the possibility of writing an optical interconnect after the positions of the assembled components are known. This changes the alignment problem from precision placement alone to a combined metrology, writing and optical-overlap problem. Published photonic-wire-bonding work has demonstrated broadband chip-to-chip polymer interconnects, and later multi-chip assemblies have reported sub-decibel average insertion loss across large sets of bonds. Recent 3D-nanoprinted interposers further integrate optical and mechanical alignment functions. These demonstrations also expose the qualification variables: modal overlap, waveguide propagation loss, interface reflections, surface roughness, cure shrinkage, thermal-expansion mismatch, cladding state and long-term positional drift. This review develops a qualification framework that separates initial coupling efficiency from package reliability and requires optical performance to be re-measured after environmental and mechanical stress.

Keywords: integrated photonics; photonic packaging; photonic wire bonding; two-photon polymerisation; coupling loss; alignment; reliability; polymer waveguide; heterogeneous integration

1. Significance and scope

Photonic packaging is often limited by mode-size mismatch, submicrometre alignment sensitivity and the difficulty of joining chips from different material platforms. Three-dimensional photopolymerisation can write waveguides, tapers and micro-optics in situ after die placement. ER-427 focuses on this packaging function: alignment, coupling loss, stress and reliability at polymer-enabled interfaces. It does not treat printed waveguides as isolated optical components divorced from the package. Microresonators and frequency-selective optical components are treated separately in ER-428; here they appear only as endpoints that impose packaging and coupling requirements.

2. Photonic wire bonding changes the alignment architecture

Lindenmann and co-workers introduced photonic wire bonding as a direct-write two-photon-lithography route in which freeform polymer waveguides bridge misaligned nanophotonic chips [1]. Their first-generation prototypes achieved average insertion loss of 1.6 dB in the C-band while compensating three-dimensional offsets. The important engineering concept is that the bond trajectory is generated from measured component positions, so coarse die placement can be followed by fine optical interconnection.

This does not eliminate alignment. It moves alignment into the measurement-to-write chain. The machine vision or coordinate registration must locate the optical ports; the written geometry must deliver the designed mode transformation; and shrinkage or development must not displace the final interface. Registration uncertainty therefore belongs in the coupling-loss budget alongside material and optical-design terms.

3. Coupling loss is a system metric

Blaicher and co-workers demonstrated hybrid multi-chip optical communication assemblies using in situ 3D nanolithography and reported an average insertion loss of 0.7 ± 0.15 dB across 100 photonic wire bonds [2]. That result is a system-level outcome combining port geometry, taper design, polymer index, writing accuracy and interface state. It should not be reinterpreted as a universal propagation loss of the photopolymer.

Insertion loss can include mode mismatch, transition loss, propagation loss, bend radiation, scattering and reflections. The test configuration should therefore identify whether the reported number is per interface, per bond or end-to-end, and whether reference structures allow propagation and coupling contributions to be separated. Bandwidth and polarisation should also be reported because a low loss at one wavelength does not establish broadband package performance.

4. Printed interposers add mechanical functions

Recent work on 3D-nanoprinted photonic chiplet interposers combines polymer optical couplers with clamps, funnels and other alignment features [3]. This is a useful extension because the optical and mechanical reference frames can be manufactured together. It also creates

coupled failure modes: polymer creep, thermal expansion or mechanical relaxation can move the optical interface even if the waveguide material itself remains transparent.

Package qualification must therefore distinguish optical material stability from positional stability. A polymer waveguide can retain refractive index and still lose coupling through interface displacement; conversely, a mechanically stable fixture can suffer optical loss from yellowing, contamination, cracking or index change.

5. Stress and temperature act through geometry and refractive index

Heterogeneous photonic packages combine silicon, silicon nitride, III-V semiconductors, glass, metals, adhesives and polymers with different coefficients of thermal expansion and elastic moduli. Temperature cycling generates stress and relative displacement at interfaces. The polymer may partly accommodate mismatch because of its lower modulus, but that compliance can also permit creep and hysteresis. The acceptance metric must therefore be optical - coupling loss, centre wavelength or mode stability - rather than only survival without fracture. Cure shrinkage and coefficient-of-thermal-expansion mismatch can also generate stress-induced birefringence, so polarisation-sensitive packages require polarisation-resolved measurements rather than geometric drift alone. The same stress-birefringence mechanism links mechanical history directly to optical-state qualification.

Qualification axis	Required evidence	Failure if omitted
Port registration	Coordinate metrology, fiducial strategy and write-to-port registration uncertainty	Coarse placement may be mistaken for optical alignment capability
Optical coupling	Insertion loss, bandwidth, polarisation and reference/de-embedding method	A single best-case wavelength can conceal mode or transition loss
Material/interface state	Polymer/cladding indices, cure/development state, roughness and contamination control	Propagation and interface changes can be assigned incorrectly
Mechanical/thermal stress	Temperature cycling, shock/vibration or creep exposure with optical re-test	A mechanically intact package may have unacceptable coupling drift
Scalability	Port pitch, write time, yield distribution and repair/rework strategy	A single successful bond may not represent manufacturable packaging

Table 1. Domain-specific qualification matrix for photopolymer photonic packaging and interconnects.

6. Device-level verification

The relevant test object is the assembled optical path. Power transmission should be measured over wavelength and polarisation, and the measurement should identify the number of transitions included. For dense arrays, the distribution across channels is more informative than a single representative link. Crosstalk and adjacent-channel sensitivity should be included when the printed structures are closely spaced.

6.1 Modal overlap should be treated as a tolerance problem

Coupling efficiency depends on the overlap between the optical fields at the interface. Lateral, vertical and angular displacement, facet angle and mode-size error all contribute. A useful package model therefore varies these parameters around the measured build state and reports the loss sensitivity. The resulting tolerance map can then be compared with the demonstrated registration and printing uncertainty.

Photonic wire bonding has also been demonstrated for connecting silicon photonic circuits to multi-core fibres, illustrating why the tolerance problem must be solved simultaneously across several ports rather than one interface at a time [4]. For arrayed connections, relative core pitch, rotational registration and channel-to-channel path variation should be retained in the metrology record; a mean insertion loss alone can hide a systematic edge-of-array alignment error.

6.2 The polymer optical state is set by the complete process

Refractive index and absorption depend on resin chemistry, conversion, development and post-cure. Residual developer or incomplete conversion can alter the optical path, while excessive exposure can modify dimensions. The optical material dataset used for simulation should correspond to the same writing and post-processing state as the interconnect, including the cladding or encapsulation condition.

6.3 Encapsulation changes both optics and mechanics

A low-index cladding can protect a free-standing photonic wire bond and reduce environmental exposure, but it also changes optical confinement and mechanical stiffness. Encapsulation should therefore be treated as a designed layer with measured refractive index, thickness and cure state. Pre- and post-encapsulation optical measurements are necessary to separate protection benefits from added transition or stress effects. For sealed or enclosed assemblies, outgassing and condensable residues from polymer, cladding and processing should also be qualified because deposition on facets or freeform optical surfaces can increase loss without a bulk-material failure.

6.4 Reliability requires distributions, not survival anecdotes

Environmental-stability demonstrations are important, but package qualification should report the number of tested links, the distribution of insertion-loss change and the stress profile. Blaicher et al. included environmental and high-power testing in their multi-chip study [2]; this type of evidence should be extended to temperature cycles, humidity, mechanical shock or vibration as appropriate to service.

6.5 Direct printing on active devices introduces process compatibility constraints

In situ printing can place polymer structures near lasers, modulators, detectors and processed CMOS. Exposure wavelength, developer, solvents, local heating and contamination must therefore remain within the compatibility window of the underlying device. The packaging process should include witness structures or pre/post device checks so that optical coupling improvement is not achieved at the cost of active-device degradation.

6.6 Scaling changes the dominant failure mode

At one or two ports, active alignment or manual inspection may be acceptable. At tens or hundreds of ports, write time, registration automation, yield distribution and repair become dominant. The engineering metric should evolve from “lowest measured loss” to channel yield at a specified loss threshold, together with throughput and rework capability.

6.7 Reliability is a coupled optical-mechanical state variable

Thermal expansion, polymer relaxation and interface adhesion affect geometry; refractive-index drift and optical absorption affect propagation. These effects can interact. A temperature cycle may reversibly change index while irreversibly moving a bond endpoint. Repeated spectral measurements during controlled stress help distinguish reversible material response, geometric drift and permanent damage.

6.8 Yield, inspection and rework belong to package qualification

A scalable process needs a declared decision rule for accepting, repairing or rejecting an optical interconnect. Inspection should link the measured port coordinates and written path to the post-write optical result so that loss outliers can be assigned to registration, geometry or material state. Rework is not neutral: removing or over-writing a polymer structure can contaminate facets, change local stress or consume optical real estate. Any claimed repair route should therefore be followed by the same optical and environmental checks applied to a first-pass bond.

7. Minimum qualification framework

A minimum package report should include photonic platform and port geometry; polymer and cladding identity; writing method and registration; cure/development/post-cure; measured refractive index where used in design; insertion-loss definition and reference path; wavelength and polarisation range; dimensional or coordinate metrology; environmental/mechanical stress profile; and post-stress optical results. Dense arrays should report distributions and yield.

The controlling qualification object is the assembled interface state. Changing die height, port taper, polymer batch, cladding, writing recipe or encapsulation can invalidate prior coupling data even when the nominal interconnect concept is unchanged.

8. Engineering conclusions

Photopolymer packaging can relax the need for submicrometre die placement by writing the optical connection after assembly, but it replaces a single alignment problem with a controlled metrology-write-material-reliability chain. Low insertion loss is necessary but insufficient. Qualification requires the loss distribution, bandwidth, optical-material state, mechanical registration and environmental drift of the assembled interface. The most credible evidence links port metrology to written geometry and then shows that optical performance survives the intended package stresses.

References

- [1] N. Lindenmann, G. Balthasar, D. Hillerkuss, R. Schmogrow, M. Jordan, J. Leuthold, W. Freude, C. Koos, “Photonic wire bonding: a novel concept for chip-scale interconnects,” *Optics Express* 20 (2012) 17667-17677. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.20.017667>
- [2] M. Blaicher, M. R. Billah, J. Kemal, T. Hoose, P. Marin-Palomo, A. Hofmann, Y. Kutuvantavida, C. Kieninger, P.-I. Dietrich, M. Lauermann, S. Wolf, U. Troppenz, M. Moehrl, F. Merget, S. Skacel, J. Witzens, S. Randel, W. Freude, C. Koos, “Hybrid multi-chip assembly of optical communication engines by in situ 3D nano-lithography,” *Light: Science & Applications* 9 (2020) 71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-020-0272-5>
- [3] H. Huang, Z. Shi, G. Talli, M. Kuschnerov, R. Penty, Q. Cheng, “Photonic chiplet interconnection via 3D-nanoprinted interposer,” *Light: Advanced Manufacturing* 5 (2024) 46, 542-552. <https://doi.org/10.37188/lam.2024.046>
- [4] N. Lindenmann, S. Dottermusch, M. L. Goedecke, T. Hoose, M. R. Billah, T. P. Onanuga, A. Hofmann, W. Freude, C. Koos, “Connecting Silicon Photonic Circuits to Multi-Core Fibers by Photonic Wire Bonding,” *Journal of Lightwave Technology* 33 (2015) 755-760. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2014.2373051>

Competing interest

Juan Segurola is founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L., which develops and commercialises photopolymer materials and related additive-manufacturing technologies. This review is an engineering analysis and does not constitute a product-performance claim or a device qualification.