

Quantum-Dot-Containing Photopolymer Materials: Surface Chemistry, Optical Loss, Cure Interaction and Emission Stability

Dispersion, ligand exchange, photoinitiation, scattering and qualification of emissive nanocomposites

Juan Seguro

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-421 · Engineering Review · Version 0.1 · 8 October 2026

Abstract

Quantum dots can give photocurable polymers wavelength-selective absorption, tunable photoluminescence and, in some formulations, a direct role in photoinitiation. Their integration is not a simple filler-addition problem. The nanocrystal surface controls dispersion, electronic states and interactions with monomers, initiators and propagating radicals; aggregation can increase scattering and spectral heterogeneity; and the optical field used to cure the resin can itself alter the emitter or the surrounding network. This review treats a quantum-dot photopolymer as a coupled colloidal, photochemical and optical system. It distinguishes nanocrystal identity from ligand-shell identity, separates absorption by the quantum dot from absorption by the photoinitiator, and links printability to the concentration-dependent balance among attenuation, radical generation, quenching, local heating and network formation. Published work on quantum-dot phase transfer into acrylate monomers, quantum-dot photoinitiation and carbon-dot-assisted vat printing demonstrates that stable dispersion and effective cure can be achieved, but also shows that the successful route is formulation-specific. A qualification framework is proposed around dispersion stability, spectral attenuation, cure response, photoluminescence quantum yield or relative emission, spatial uniformity, ageing and device-level optical performance.

Keywords: quantum dots; photopolymer; two-photon polymerisation; ligand exchange; photoluminescence; scattering; photoinitiation; emission stability

1. Significance and scope

Quantum-dot-containing photopolymers sit at the intersection of colloid science, photochemistry and optical engineering. A formulation can cure successfully while failing optically, or preserve high photoluminescence in a cuvette while printing poorly because the same particles that provide emission also modify absorption and scattering in the exposure band. ER-421 therefore owns the coupling between surface chemistry, optical loss, cure interaction and emission stability. It does not treat all nanoparticle-filled resins as equivalent, and it does not assume that a quantum dot is an inert pigment.

The engineering objective is to define what must be measured before an emissive nanocomposite can be transferred from a formulation demonstration to a reproducible printed optical component. The central state variables are particle composition and size, shell architecture, ligand identity and coverage, loading, dispersion state, resin polarity, photoinitiator system, exposure spectrum, local conversion and post-cure history.

2. Surface chemistry is part of the material identity

Colloidal quantum dots are stabilised by a ligand shell. That shell controls compatibility with the liquid formulation and can also affect surface trap states and emission efficiency. A quantum dot described only by core/shell composition is therefore incompletely specified for a photopolymer application.

A direct demonstration is the phase-transfer work of Issa and co-workers, who replaced oleic-acid ligands with mono-2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl succinate to move quantum dots from an organic phase into pentaerythritol triacrylate. The resulting dispersion avoided visible clusterisation and retained narrow photoluminescence over extended storage while remaining processable by two-photon polymerisation [2]. The key lesson is not that one ligand exchange is universal, but that covalent or strongly coordinated compatibility engineering can convert a phase-separating dispersion into a crosslinkable optical material.

3. Optical loss and spatial fidelity

The useful emission of a quantum dot is only one term in the optical budget. The cured component also experiences absorption by the matrix, photoinitiator and quantum dots; elastic scattering from individual particles and aggregates; reabsorption of emitted light; and interface losses produced by spatial variations in particle concentration or refractive index. A high particle loading can therefore increase local emission while reducing excitation penetration or collection efficiency.

For two-photon polymerisation the problem is further coupled to the writing field. The 2025 review by Ritacco and co-workers summarises mechanisms including light-absorption enhancement, local heating, radical quenching and energy transfer [1]. These effects can lower or raise the local polymerisation threshold depending on particle chemistry, concentration and exposure conditions. Consequently, cure depth, voxel size or line width should be measured as functions of quantum-dot loading rather than inherited from the unfilled resin.

4. Quantum dots can participate in photochemistry

Some quantum dots and carbon dots are active participants in initiation chemistry. Zhu, Ramadani and Egap demonstrated visible-light radical polymerisation and photo-3D-printing using thiol-ligand-capped CdSe quantum dots, with thiol species acting as coinitiating partners [3]. Carbon-dot systems have also been developed as photoinitiating components for vat printing [4].

These results invalidate a simple separation between “emitter” and “initiator” in all formulations. If a particle contributes to initiation, its concentration affects both optical functionality and network formation. Conversely, if an excited particle scavenges radicals or transfers energy into a nonproductive pathway, increasing loading can reduce cure efficiency. The formulation must therefore be characterised as an interacting photochemical system rather than by separately listing nanoparticle and initiator concentrations.

5. Emission stability after cure

Photoluminescence should be evaluated before cure, after printing, after post-cure and after environmental ageing. Useful measurements include spectral peak position and width, integrated intensity or quantum yield, fluorescence lifetime where relevant, and spatial maps across the printed object. A stable bulk spectrum can conceal micron-scale aggregation, while a uniform image can conceal a systematic drop in quantum yield.

Ageing tests should be chosen from the intended service environment: light exposure, temperature, humidity, oxygen, solvents and mechanical strain can all affect the ligand shell, matrix free volume or nanocrystal surface. The published three-year spectral stability reported for ligand-exchanged quantum dots in an acrylate matrix is evidence that long-term retention is achievable in a specific system [2], not a transferable lifetime claim for other chemistries.

Qualification axis	Required evidence	Failure if omitted
Surface and colloid state	Core/shell identity, ligand chemistry, particle-size distribution and dispersion stability in the actual resin	Optical or cure changes cannot be assigned to a reproducible quantum-dot state
Cure interaction	Excitation/absorption overlap with the initiator system, working curve or conversion response versus loading, and post-cure state	A formulation may print while the quantum dots perturb cure depth, conversion or network formation
Optical transport	Excitation-path transmission, emission-path attenuation, scattering and reabsorption in representative thicknesses	Solution photoluminescence can be mistaken for printed- part optical performance
Emission stability	Peak position, linewidth, intensity or quantum yield before cure, after print/post-cure and after defined ageing	Processing or service degradation of the nanocrystal/ligand state remains hidden
Scale transfer	Part thickness, thermal history, optical path length and loading held within the qualified window	Thin-film or microscale performance may not transfer to centimetre-scale components

Table 1. Domain-specific qualification matrix for quantum-dot photopolymer materials.

6. Device-level verification

6.1 Loading is a coupled optical–chemical variable

The loading variable cannot be interpreted as a simple concentration axis. Increasing quantum-dot content simultaneously changes the number of emitters, the spectral optical density, the probability of nanocrystal–nanocrystal interaction, the viscosity and dispersion stability of the uncured resin, and potentially the rate of radical generation or quenching. These changes can move in opposite directions. A formulation may become brighter in a thin optical specimen while becoming harder to cure through thickness, or may show a lower apparent writing threshold because the particles sensitise initiation while at the same time broadening the exposure profile through absorption and scattering. The correct engineering experiment therefore maps loading against at least two responses: a cure or feature-fidelity metric and an optical-function metric. Optimising only one of them risks selecting a formulation outside the usable joint process window.

6.2 Reactive ligands change both dispersion and network topology

Polymerisable surface ligands deserve separate treatment from non-reactive compatibilizers. When an acrylate-bearing ligand replaces a native hydrophobic ligand, it can improve miscibility with an acrylate matrix and also create a route for the particle surface to become incorporated into the growing network. Jue and co-workers demonstrated this strategy with β -carboxyethyl acrylate-functionalised CdSe-based quantum dots in SR399 photoresist and reported direct-laser-written structures at substantially higher quantum-dot concentrations than are typical for untreated dispersions [5]. That result is important because it shows why “surface treatment” is not a single categorical variable: ligand exchange can alter colloidal stability, polymerisation kinetics, interfacial crosslink density and the mechanical constraint applied to the nanocrystal shell. These effects should be separated experimentally rather than attributed collectively to better dispersion.

6.3 Optical qualification requires both excitation-path and emission-path measurements

An emissive printed component contains two optical paths that can fail independently. The excitation path determines how much pump or operating light reaches the active volume; the emission path determines how much generated light escapes to the detector or optical interface. Absorption and scattering spectra should therefore be measured across both wavelength ranges. Reabsorption is particularly important when the emission overlaps the absorption tail of the dots or matrix, because an apparently high local quantum yield can still

produce low external output in a thick structure. Spatially resolved measurements are preferable to a single bulk spectrum when sedimentation, cure gradients or beam depletion can create depth-dependent particle concentration. For micro-optical devices, collection efficiency and numerical aperture should be fixed or reported because changes in geometry can masquerade as changes in intrinsic photoluminescence.

6.4 Stability is a state-transition problem, not a single ageing number

Ageing should be designed to distinguish matrix ageing from nanocrystal ageing. A fall in detected intensity can arise from ligand desorption, surface oxidation, trap formation or shell damage, but it can also arise from yellowing of the host polymer, microcracking, refractive-index drift or loss of optical coupling. Re-measuring transmission, spectral shape, lifetime and spatial emission after each stress interval helps separate these mechanisms. The same logic applies to post-cure: if additional irradiation increases conversion while also exposing the quantum dots to an energetic wavelength band, the final optical state cannot be predicted from conversion alone. A qualification record should therefore preserve the sequence of cure, cleaning, post-cure and environmental exposures rather than reporting only total dose or final age.

6.5 Metrology should separate particle state from printed-part state

A recurring source of ambiguity is to characterise the liquid dispersion in detail and then assume that the same particle state survives printing. Sedimentation, selective absorption, cure-induced refractive-index change and local heating can alter the distribution during manufacture. The qualification plan should therefore pair liquid-state measurements with printed-state measurements. Dynamic light scattering or microscopy can document the starting dispersion, but sectioned-part microscopy, confocal emission mapping or spatial spectroscopy may be needed to establish whether the final object retained the intended distribution. If the application depends on single-particle or low-density emission, ensemble measurements alone are inadequate because a small number of aggregates can dominate the failure probability even while bulk spectra remain acceptable. Conversely, for high-loading absorptive filters the relevant observable may be the spatial uniformity of optical density rather than individual-particle isolation. The metrology must be chosen from the intended function.

6.6 Scale-up changes path length, heat flow and optical depletion

A formulation demonstrated in a thin film or micron-scale direct-written structure should not be transferred to centimetre-scale parts without reopening the optical budget. Increasing path length magnifies absorption and reabsorption, increases the probability of concentration gradients and can change heat dissipation during cure. The exposure field may also become depleted before reaching the full depth, producing a gradient in conversion or particle photochemistry. For scale-up, transmission and emission should be measured at representative thicknesses, and cure response should be mapped through the same optical path that the production geometry will impose. If the final device is built as multiple layers, interlayer exposure history and repeated illumination of already cured quantum-dot regions should also be considered. This is a different problem from simply multiplying the thickness of a successful thin specimen.

7. Minimum qualification framework

A useful qualification sequence is: (1) identify core/shell composition and ligand chemistry; (2) quantify dispersion stability and particle-size distribution in the resin; (3) measure absorption and scattering over both the curing and operating spectra; (4) determine cure response versus loading; (5) measure emission before and after cure; (6) map spatial uniformity in the printed part; (7) repeat optical measurements after post-cure and ageing; and (8) evaluate the final optical function.

The minimum reporting set should include quantum-dot identity, ligand treatment, loading on a clear mass or volume basis, resin and photoinitiator identity, exposure spectrum, printing mode, post-cure, specimen geometry, optical path length and the exact emission metric. Without these variables, differences in “brightness” or “printability” cannot be assigned unambiguously to the nanocrystal, surface chemistry, matrix or process.

8. Engineering conclusions

Quantum-dot photopolymers are coupled optical-reactive nanocomposites. Stable dispersion is a prerequisite but not a sufficient condition for performance. Surface chemistry can determine compatibility and emission; particle loading changes attenuation and can alter the polymerisation field; and some dots act directly in photoinitiation. Qualification must therefore connect colloidal state, cure response and final optical function on the same printed specimens. The appropriate target is not maximum quantum-dot loading, but a reproducible operating window in which network formation, dimensional fidelity, optical transmission and emission stability remain jointly acceptable.

References

- [1] T. Ritacco, A. Issa, R. Beccherelli, S. Jradi, R. Bachelot, “Quantum Dot-Polymer Architectures by Two-Photon Polymerization: From 4D Microfabrication to Quantum Light Sources,” *Advanced Optical Materials* 13 (2025) e03288. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.202403288>
- [2] A. Issa, T. Ritacco, D. Ge, A. Broussier, G. E. Lio, M. Giocondo, S. Blaize, T. H. Nguyen, X. Q. Dinh, C. Couteau, R. Bachelot, S. Jradi, “Quantum Dot Transfer from the Organic Phase to Acrylic Monomers for the Controlled Integration of Single-Photon Sources by Photopolymerization,” *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* 15 (2023) 25819-25830. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c22533>

- [3] Y. Zhu, E. Ramadani, E. Egap, “Thiol ligand capped quantum dot as an efficient and oxygen tolerance photoinitiator for aqueous phase radical polymerization and 3D printing under visible light,” *Polymer Chemistry* 12 (2021) 5106-5116. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1PY00705J>
- [4] D. Krok, W. Tomal, A. J. Knight, A. I. Tartakovskii, N. T. H. Farr, W. Kasprzyk, J. Ortyl, “Highly efficient carbon dot-based photoinitiating systems for 3D-VAT printing,” *Polymer Chemistry* 14 (2023) 4429-4444. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3PY00726J>
- [5] J. Jue, Z. Gan, Z. Luo, K. Li, “Direct Laser Writing of Functional QD–Polymer Structure with High Resolution,” *Materials* 16 (2023) 2456. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16062456>

Competing interest

Juan Segurola is founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L., which develops and commercialises photopolymer materials and related additive-manufacturing technologies. This review is an engineering analysis and does not constitute a product-performance claim or a device qualification.