

From Individual Reviews to a Fourth Qualification Corpus: Evidence Architecture Across Engineering Reviews ER-301 to ER-399, State Variables, Scope Boundaries, Cross-Paper Couplings and Research Priorities

A corpus-level synthesis that treats ER-301–399 as a connected qualification system rather than ninety-nine independent documents

Juan Seguro

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-400 · Engineering Review · Version 0.1 · 5 October 2026

Abstract

Engineering Reviews ER-301 to ER-399 span manufacturing physics, devices, extreme environments and evidence science. Their value is greater when the collection is treated as a connected qualification corpus in which material state, process state, measurement state and service state propagate across paper boundaries. This synthesis defines the architecture of that corpus, identifies recurring state variables, distinguishes horizontal scope boundaries from true evidence dependencies, and proposes rules for cross-paper coupling and research prioritisation. ER-400 does not replace the individual reviews; it provides the navigation and dependency layer needed to use them consistently.

Keywords: qualification corpus; engineering review; photopolymer; evidence architecture; state variables; dependency; research priority

1. Corpus synthesis is not a larger review

ER-301–399 were planned as bounded reviews with distinct ownership questions. ER-400 therefore does not merge their conclusions into one narrative or create a new universal claim. Its function is architectural: identify which state variables recur, where one review supplies an input to another, where boundaries prevent inappropriate transfer, and where unresolved dependencies create research priorities. In the series architecture, the first qualification corpus is ER-001 to ER-099 with ER-100 as its synthesis; the second is ER-101 to ER-199 with ER-200 as its synthesis; the third is ER-201 to ER-299 with ER-300 as its synthesis; and the fourth is ER-301 to ER-399, synthesised here by ER-400. ER-400 is the synthesis record and is not part of the ninety-nine-review ER-301 to ER-399 counted set.

2. Four state layers connect the corpus

Across photopolymer engineering, evidence repeatedly depends on four layers: material state, manufacturing/process state, measurement state and service/environment state. A fifth layer, evidence state, records source version, uncertainty and qualification status. These layers provide a common indexing system without forcing all reviews into the same experimental model.

Table 1. Corpus state layers.

Layer	Examples	Cross-paper role
material state	composition, conversion, filler, moisture, ageing	defines what object is being qualified
process state	exposure, wash, cure, thermal/mechanical history	connects manufacturing to properties
measurement state	method, geometry, calibration, uncertainty	defines evidence comparability
service state	load, environment, cycles, contamination	defines transfer target
evidence state	source version, claim status, provenance	defines auditability

3. Scope boundaries are protective interfaces

A scope boundary is not a weakness. It prevents a result about one mechanism, material class or environment from being silently generalised. Boundaries may separate green-state from post-cured behaviour, bulk from interface response, single-environment from combined exposure, or sensor transduction from mechanical substrate performance. Corpus navigation should expose these interfaces explicitly.

4. Cross-paper coupling has direction

Some reviews are upstream because they define states or mechanisms needed by later qualification questions. Others are downstream because they integrate those inputs into service decisions. Coupling should be represented as directed dependencies rather than informal cross-reference. This is the same provenance principle used for evidence objects: a synthesis can be derived from earlier reviews without making those reviews mutually dependent [1].

Table 2. Coupling types.

Coupling	Direction	Example function
state-definition	ER-392 -> ER-393	minimum study state feeds lifecycle passport state
method	ER-391 -> ER-392	ontology semantics constrain minimum-information fields
mechanism	ER-361 -> ER-365	architected-mechanics evidence constrains impact-lattice failure interpretation
environment	ER-381 -> ER-383	LEO exposure state constrains combined UV/vacuum/thermal equivalence testing
evidence	ER-394 -> ER-400	claim provenance constrains corpus-level synthesis and dependency control

5. The ER-301–399 architecture contains four macro-zones

At macro level, the sequence can be read as four interacting zones: process and multiphysics mechanisms; architected structures, sensors and actuators; extreme-environment qualification; and evidence/data infrastructure. Section 10 resolves these four macro-zones into the ten consecutive roadmap domains. The mapping is ER-301-360 to process and multiphysics mechanisms, including biofabrication, micro- and nanomanufacturing, factory systems and coupled transport; ER-361-380 to architected structures, sensors and actuators; ER-381-390 to extreme-environment qualification; and ER-391-399 to evidence and data infrastructure. The final evidence zone, ER-391–399, supplies semantic, reporting, provenance, updating, conflict-resolution, negative-evidence, benchmark and research-prioritisation methods that can be applied retrospectively to the earlier technical reviews. Representative anchors make those zones auditable: ER-301 (frontal photopolymerisation), ER-341 (scale-up of photoresin blending) and ER-351 (heat generation and dissipation) anchor process and multiphysics; ER-361 (mechanical metamaterials) and ER-371 (dielectric-elastomer actuators) anchor architected materials and devices; ER-381 (low-Earth-orbit exposure) anchors extreme-environment qualification; and ER-391 (ontologies) through ER-399 (value-of-information methods) anchor evidence and data infrastructure.

6. Research priorities arise at dependency bottlenecks

A gap deserves priority when it blocks several downstream decisions, not merely because it is scientifically interesting. Bottlenecks include poorly characterised state transitions, missing measurement comparability, unresolved environment combinations, absence of independent validation and weak data provenance. Value-of-information logic provides a formal route for ranking experiments when these bottlenecks compete for resources [2].

Table 3. Research-priority filters.

Filter	High-priority condition
dependency centrality	gap blocks multiple downstream decisions
decision sensitivity	uncertainty can change preferred action
reducibility	feasible experiment can materially reduce uncertainty
transfer breadth	result improves several material/process states
timing	information can arrive before decision or obsolescence

7. Corpus governance requires immutable releases

Each review version and corpus synthesis should remain citable. Corrections or new evidence create explicit successor versions rather than silent replacement. FAIR identifiers and metadata [3], provenance graphs [1] and living-review governance [4] together provide the infrastructure for a corpus that can evolve without losing audit history. RO-Crate provides a complementary packaging model for binding files, metadata and contextual entities into a versioned research object [5].

Table 4. Corpus-level governance checks.

Check	Pass condition
scope	each ER owns a bounded question
identity	state variables are explicit at interfaces
provenance	cross-paper claims resolve to source reviews and versions
supersession	new versions do not erase historical evidence paths
priority	research agenda follows decision value and dependency bottlenecks

8. Qualification use

A user should enter the corpus through a decision question, identify the target material/process/service state, traverse only the relevant review dependencies and stop when a boundary or evidence gap is reached. The corpus thereby functions as a qualification map rather than a reading list. ER-400 closes the fourth qualification corpus ER-301–399 and completes the ER-301–400 century architecture by defining that map; it does not authorise any product, process or service condition by itself.

9. Scope boundary and neighbouring reviews

ER-400 owns synthesis of the fourth qualification corpus, ER-301 to ER-399. ER-399 owns the value-of-information method used to rank candidate research actions, ER-391 to ER-398 own the evidence and data methods used within the corpus, and ER-401 begins the next hundred-numbered block. ER-400 is a navigation and dependency layer, not a replacement for those owning reviews.

10. Ten domain zones define the fourth corpus

The fourth corpus is not a homogeneous block. The roadmap resolves ER-301–399 into ten consecutive domain zones, each with a different engineering function. ER-301–310 cover emerging reaction pathways; ER-311–320 dynamic network families; ER-321–330 biofabrication materials; ER-331–340 micro- and nanomanufacturing; ER-341–350 factory systems and automation; ER-351–360 coupled transport and multiphysics; ER-361–370 architected materials; ER-371–380 sensors, actuators and devices; ER-381–390 extreme environments; and ER-391–399 evidence science and data standards. These zones should be treated as ownership regions, not as silos. A review may consume a state definition or verification method from another zone without importing that neighbour’s entire conclusion.

Table 5. Domain-zone map for ER-301–399.

Range	Primary ownership	Corpus-level function
ER-301–310	emerging reaction pathways	defines non-conventional initiation, propagation and trigger states
ER-311–320	dynamic network families	defines exchange, repair, reprocessing and environment-sensitive network states
ER-321–330	biofabrication materials	defines biological-source, crosslinking, transport and construct-state controls
ER-331–340	micro- and nanomanufacturing	links feature scale, interfaces and device-level optical/fluidic/electrical qualification
ER-341–350	factory systems and automation	controls blending, PAT, equipment capability, records and digital manufacturing integrity
ER-351–360	coupled transport and multiphysics	owns heat, flow, fields, orientation and model verification as coupled process states
ER-361–370	architected materials	owns geometry-dependent mechanics, transport and deployment of designed structures
ER-371–380	sensors, actuators and devices	owns transduction, actuation, drift, cycling and device validation
ER-381–390	extreme environments	owns service-state exposure, combined environments and requalification boundaries
ER-391–399	evidence science and data standards	owns semantics, reporting, provenance, updating, conflict, benchmarks and research prioritisation

11. A corpus state vector prevents false equivalence

The five layers in Table 1 can be used as a practical state vector for cross-paper transfer. A claim should not move from one ER to another merely because the nominal resin or application is similar. At minimum, the transfer record asks whether material state, process state, measurement state, service state and evidence state are equivalent enough for the intended inference. This makes cross-paper use explicit: the source review defines a bounded proposition, while the receiving review declares which elements of that proposition are being reused and which state coordinates have changed.

This vector is especially important where the same physical object traverses several zones. A formulation may begin in ER-341 as a blending and batch-equivalence problem, become a process-state object in ER-347 during washing/drying/post-cure control, enter ER-351–360 as a coupled-transport problem, become an architected device in ER-361–380 and finally encounter a service environment in ER-381–390. Treating those steps as one undifferentiated “material” erases the very state transitions that qualification is supposed to control.

12. Scope boundaries can be classified rather than merely listed

Across ER-301–399, scope boundaries fall into recurring classes. A state boundary separates, for example, liquid formulation from green or post-cured solid. A mechanism boundary prevents evidence about one initiation, exchange or degradation mechanism from being applied to another. A scale boundary separates coupon, feature, component and installed-product evidence. A method boundary separates measurands that are not numerically interchangeable. A service boundary limits transfer across temperature, radiation, pressure, moisture, chemical or combined environments. An authority boundary separates scientific evidence from product release, compliance or regulatory decisions. ER-400 treats these boundary classes as reusable corpus metadata because a boundary that recurs across many reviews is itself an engineering control.

Table 6. Boundary classes used in corpus navigation.

Boundary class	Question before transfer	Typical consequence
state	Is the material/process/specimen in the same qualified state?	re-test or document equivalence before importing a claim
mechanism	Does the same causal mechanism own the observed response?	do not substitute analogy for mechanism evidence
scale	Does geometry or system scale introduce new behaviour?	bridge coupon evidence to representative geometry or product
method	Are the measurands and uncertainty models comparable?	retain separate evidence streams or harmonise methods
service	Are loading and environment within the demonstrated envelope?	limit the claim or extend the qualification matrix
authority	Is the evidence being used beyond its decision authority?	separate scientific support from release/compliance claims

13. Cross-paper couplings should be represented as typed paths

The corpus contains several recurring path types. A state-definition path carries identity or processing state into a downstream qualification review. A mechanism path carries a bounded causal model. A measurement path carries a method, uncertainty model or benchmark. A governance path carries provenance, version or decision status. A service path carries the environment and duty cycle that define the target condition. These paths are directional. The receiving review may depend on one output of the source review without becoming a summary of it.

Table 7. Worked cross-paper coupling paths.

Path	Why the direction matters	Qualification use
ER-341 -> ER-342 -> ER-349 -> ER-394	batch state is measured, recorded and then made auditable	connect formulation-scale evidence to immutable production and provenance records
ER-351 -> ER-354 -> ER-359 -> ER-360	heat, shrinkage/flow and orientation become inputs to model verification	prevents a multiphysics model from being validated against an incompletely defined process state
ER-361 -> ER-363 -> ER-365	unit-cell mechanics and grading constrain impact-lattice interpretation	separates geometry ownership from service-specific acceptance
ER-369 -> ER-371-380	embedded architecture supplies the device substrate while each later ER owns its transduction or actuation mechanism	prevents device performance from being inferred from structural integrity alone
ER-381 -> ER-382 -> ER-383	LEO, atomic oxygen and combined UV/vacuum/thermal states are progressively more specific exposure definitions	makes environmental equivalence and combined-exposure limits explicit
ER-391 -> ER-392 -> ER-393 -> ER-394	semantics define fields, fields populate passports, provenance binds claims to versions	creates machine-readable evidence without confusing metadata completeness with scientific adequacy
ER-395 -> ER-396 -> ER-397 -> ER-398 -> ER-399	updating, conflict, negative evidence and benchmark fitness become inputs to research choice	turns uncertainty into a governed experiment-priority decision

14. The evidence-science zone is an operating layer for the whole corpus

ER-391–399 should not be read only as a final thematic cluster. Together they provide an operating layer that can be applied retrospectively to the technical reviews. ER-391 supplies controlled terms and relations; ER-392 defines the minimum state description needed for interpretable studies; ER-393 extends that state into a machine-readable product/passport history; ER-394 binds source, claim, version and dependency provenance; ER-395 controls updates; ER-396 prevents conflicts from being averaged across incompatible states; ER-397 preserves negative and failed-replication evidence; ER-398 tests whether data are fit for model use rather than merely abundant; and ER-399 ranks new work by expected decision value. The chain is deliberately cumulative but not circular: each method can be used independently, while the complete stack supports corpus-scale change control.

This operating layer also clarifies what evidence infrastructure cannot do. Ontologies cannot repair a weak experiment. A complete minimum-information record cannot make incompatible states comparable. A signed material passport cannot make an incorrect statement correct. Provenance cannot convert contextual citation into support. Living-review machinery cannot replace an explicit decision about whether new evidence changes a conclusion. Benchmark size cannot compensate for leakage or domain shift. Value-of-information analysis cannot create value where the decision, uncertainty and action set are poorly defined. These limits keep information engineering subordinate to scientific reasoning rather than allowing metadata completeness to masquerade as qualification.

15. Contradictions should be resolved by state decomposition before evidence weighting

When two reviews or sources appear to disagree, the first corpus operation is not averaging or ranking. It is state decomposition. The conflict record asks whether the materials, process histories, measurement methods, specimen geometries and service conditions are actually comparable. If the contradiction disappears after state separation, the result is a scope distinction rather than an evidence dispute. If comparable states still disagree, ER-396 owns the decision rules for measurement bias, uncertainty and mechanistic plausibility. ER-397 then ensures that a failed replication or negative result remains visible rather than disappearing from the evidence graph. This sequence protects the corpus against a common failure mode: treating heterogeneous states as replicated measurements of one quantity.

16. Research priority is a portfolio problem, not a list of interesting gaps

ER-399 provides the formal decision principle, but ER-400 supplies the corpus-level candidate set. A research action gains priority when it closes a dependency bottleneck, can plausibly change an engineering decision, is experimentally reducible within the decision horizon and improves transfer across several reviews. Centrality alone is not enough: a widely connected uncertainty may have low value if all plausible outcomes lead to the same action. Conversely, a narrow uncertainty can deserve immediate attention if it determines a release boundary for a high-consequence application.

Table 8. Corpus-level research-priority portfolio.

Priority family	Why it is cross-corpus	Representative owners
state-transition measurement	many downstream claims fail when conversion, moisture, cure, ageing or conditioning are incompletely defined	ER-341–360, ER-392–393
combined-environment transfer	single-factor qualification does not automatically predict coupled service exposures	ER-381–390
geometry-to-property transfer	architected structures and devices require bridges from coupon state to local geometry and system function	ER-361–380
measurement comparability	model, device and service decisions depend on traceable uncertainty and method equivalence	ER-342, ER-344, ER-360, ER-392, ER-398
negative-evidence capture	failed replication and null results reduce repeated work and expose brittle conclusions	ER-397 with ER-394–396
benchmark external validity	data-driven models require domain-shift tests across chemistry, machine and laboratory	ER-398 with ER-360
change-impact governance	corrections and new versions must propagate only to genuinely dependent claims	ER-394–395 with ER-400

17. Corpus release should be treated as a controlled engineering object

A corpus release is more than a directory of PDFs. It should bind the exact article versions, a manifest of immutable hashes, the dependency/provenance snapshot, the scope-boundary map and the set of open findings that remain non-blocking. The release record should identify which reviews changed since the previous corpus state and why. This permits a later auditor to reconstruct the evidence state that supported a historical decision rather than seeing only the latest files. FAIR identifiers [3], PROV-O relations [1] and RO-Crate packaging [5] provide useful implementation concepts, but ER-400 keeps the qualification requirement independent of any one software stack.

A new article version should trigger corpus re-evaluation only along typed dependencies. An updated ER-382, for example, should not reopen unrelated biofabrication reviews merely because all belong to the same century. The provenance graph identifies affected claims; ER-395 supplies the update cadence; ER-396 supplies conflict handling when the new evidence disagrees with the old; and ER-399 can rank any new experiment needed to resolve the remaining decision uncertainty. This is the practical benefit of treating the corpus as an engineered dependency system rather than as a bibliography.

18. Corpus failure modes are themselves auditable

Several failure modes can make a large review collection look complete while reducing its engineering value. Hidden state mismatch occurs when a result is reused without the material or process conditions that made it valid. Scope collapse occurs when a synthesis silently absorbs a neighbour's ownership. Citation flattening occurs when contextual, methodological and evidentiary citations are treated as equivalent. Version drift occurs when a title, visible version, file name, metadata record and repository state do not identify the same object. Orphan conclusions appear when synthesis statements cannot be traced back to owning reviews. Update cascade occurs when one source correction causes unnecessary corpus-wide reopening because dependency edges were not typed. ER-400 treats these as QA defects with detectable signatures rather than as unavoidable consequences of scale.

Table 9. Corpus QA failure signatures.

Failure mode	Detectable signature	Required response
state mismatch	receiving claim lacks source state vector or boundary statement	restore state comparison or narrow the transfer
scope collapse	synthesis makes a technical claim owned by a subject ER without attribution	return ownership to the source review
citation flattening	one generic citation is used for support, method and context simultaneously	type the citation role and split claims if needed
version drift	visible version, metadata, file name or hash ledger disagree	stop release until identity is reconciled
orphan conclusion	corpus statement has no path to one or more owning reviews	add explicit anchors or remove the conclusion
update cascade	unrelated reviews are reopened after a local correction	use typed dependency propagation and bounded impact review

19. Fourth-corpus research priorities

The strongest cross-corpus priorities are not a claim that one scientific topic is universally more important than another. They are places where the architecture shows repeated dependency. First, material-state identity needs stronger quantitative links across formulation, cure, ageing and service because the same nominal resin can occupy several engineering states. Second, combined-environment qualification remains a transfer bottleneck: extreme-environment reviews explicitly separate single exposure from coupled exposure, and the corpus needs bridge studies that preserve the full specimen history. Third, architected-material and device reviews need more geometry-aware transfer functions so that unit-cell, interface and transduction evidence can be connected without treating a coupon as a product. Fourth, model and data reviews need external-validation benchmarks that cross chemistry, machine, laboratory and time rather than only random train/test partitions. Fifth, negative results and replication failures should be captured with enough provenance to alter future experiment selection. ER-399 should rank specific proposed experiments inside these families using the actual decision context rather than this qualitative ordering alone.

20. Limitations and conclusions

ER-400 is an architectural synthesis, not a quantitative meta-analysis. It does not pool effect sizes from ER-301–399, and it does not imply that every coupling listed above has been experimentally validated as a causal chain. The corpus map is only as reliable as the version identity, scope discipline and evidence quality of its component reviews. The final evidence-science zone reduces the cost of auditing those conditions but cannot compensate for weak primary evidence. Likewise, research priorities are conditional on the decisions the corpus is intended to support and may change when new evidence, new products or new service requirements enter the system.

The fourth qualification corpus therefore closes with five operating rules. Preserve state before transferring claims. Preserve ownership before synthesising. Preserve measurement context before comparing. Preserve provenance before updating. Prioritise new evidence by its effect on decisions and dependencies rather than by novelty alone. Used this way, ER-301–399 becomes more than ninety-nine adjacent reviews: it becomes a controlled qualification architecture whose boundaries, dependencies and uncertainties are explicit enough to be challenged, updated and reused without erasing the history of how each engineering conclusion was reached.

References

1. Lebo T, Sahoo S, McGuinness D, et al. PROV-O: The PROV Ontology. W3C Recommendation; 30 April 2013. <https://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/>.
2. Abbas A, Hazen G. On the value of information across decision problems. *Decision Analysis*. 2025;22(1):1-13. doi:10.1287/deca.2024.0187.
3. Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg IJJ, et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data*. 2016;3:160018. doi:10.1038/sdata.2016.18.
4. Elliott JH, Synnot A, Turner T, et al. Living systematic review: 1. Introduction-the why, what, when, and how. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2017;91:23-30. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.08.010.
5. Sefton P, O Carragain E, Soiland-Reyes S, editors. RO-Crate Metadata Specification 1.1. Research Object Crate Community; 2023. doi:10.5281/zenodo.7867028.

Competing interests

The author is Founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.; ER-400 is a methodological engineering review and does not provide a comparative commercial recommendation.

Data availability

ER-400 is a literature- and corpus-based engineering synthesis. Its primary internal subject is the bounded ER-301–399 review corpus; no new experimental dataset was generated.