

Combined Ultraviolet, Vacuum and Thermal Exposure of Photopolymers: Synergistic Ageing and Test Equivalence

Building sequential and combined-environment evidence without assuming that equal radiant dose, vacuum time or cycle count implies equal degradation

Juan Seguro

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-383 · Engineering Review · Version 0.1 · 5 October 2026

Abstract

Ultraviolet and vacuum-ultraviolet photons can cleave polymer bonds and modify near-surface chemistry, while vacuum promotes outgassing and thermal cycling drives repeated expansion mismatch and crack opening. When these stresses are combined, the sequence of exposure can change the result: outgassing before irradiation alters available low-molecular species, irradiation can embrittle a surface before thermal cycling, and temperature changes reaction and diffusion rates. Photopolymer networks are especially sensitive to cure conversion, residual monomer and oxygen-inhibited surface layers, so state definition is essential before accelerated testing. ER-383 treats test equivalence as a mapping problem between spectral irradiance, absorbed dose, pressure, specimen temperature, number of cycles and material response. It rejects simple hour-for-hour acceleration and requires at least one response-based bridge between separate and combined exposures. [1-5]

Keywords: ultraviolet; vacuum ultraviolet; vacuum; thermal cycling; photopolymer; synergistic ageing; test equivalence

1. Why exposure sequence changes the answer

Vacuum, VUV/UV and temperature do not act as interchangeable doses. Vacuum can remove mobile species before irradiation; VUV can embrittle a surface that later sees tensile thermal strain; elevated temperature can accelerate diffusion and oxidation. NASA VUV programmes explicitly use both single-environment and sequential exposures because combined simulation is not always practical. [1]

2. Spectral dose is more informative than lamp hours

Combined ultraviolet, vacuum and thermal exposure cannot be represented reliably by three independent scalar doses because each stress changes the state seen by the others. Vacuum removes volatile species and dissolved gases, UV creates radicals and chromophores, and thermal cycling changes free volume, residual stress and crack connectivity. The sequence can therefore change both chemistry and transport. A qualification matrix should include individual exposures, at least two sequences and a combined condition, with the same starting cure state and specimen geometry. [1-4]

A direct precedent for interaction exists in space-polymer studies: simulated AO/UV experiments on polyimide showed that UV promoted desorption of oxidation products from an AO-conditioned surface. Although ER-383 excludes AO as the owned dominant phenomenon, the study is useful mechanistic evidence that radiation and surface chemistry need not superpose linearly. For UV-vacuum-thermal tests, the same logic requires checking whether vacuum suppresses oxygen-mediated photo-oxidation or whether heating accelerates diffusion of photoproducts. [4,6]

VUV absorption is strongly wavelength dependent and often confined to a shallow surface layer. Dever and colleagues describe broad-spectrum deuterium-lamp testing from approximately 115 to 200 nm precisely because polymer response cannot be reduced to elapsed lamp time. [1] ER-383 records spectral irradiance or a justified spectral surrogate, cumulative dose and specimen temperature.

Table 1. Separate and combined exposure logic.

Test arm	Question answered	Required comparator
vacuum only	outgassing and pressure-driven mass/dimension change	unexposed conditioned control
UV/VUV only	photochemical and optical sensitivity	spectral dose-matched control
thermal cycles only	thermo-mechanical crack and warp sensitivity	same cycle history without irradiation
sequential or combined	interaction and order effects	at least two relevant single-factor arms

3. Thermal history must accompany radiation history

Equivalent-dose claims should be rejected unless the acceleration variable is shown not to change mechanism. Increasing UV irradiance can increase temperature or radical concentration, raising the probability of bimolecular termination. Raising temperature accelerates diffusion and relaxation as well as chemistry. Short high-intensity exposures can therefore produce a different network state from long low-intensity service even when integrated energy is matched. [1-3]

Thermal-cycle amplitude and rate should be preserved as independent variables. In polymer composites, vacuum thermal cycling has produced microvoids and interfacial sliding after repeated cycles, showing that cyclic mismatch can create discrete damage rather than merely accelerate a smooth ageing law. Printed photopolymers add build-direction interfaces and local cure gradients that may act as preferential crack paths. [3,5]

Photochemical reaction rates, oxygen diffusion and viscoelastic stress relaxation are temperature sensitive. The same radiant dose delivered at different specimen temperatures can therefore produce different chemistry and crack propensity. Temperature is logged at the specimen, not inferred solely from chamber set point.

4. Outgassing and contamination can alter later radiation response

Vacuum exposure may remove residual monomer or cleaning residues from a printed network. If UV is applied after that conditioning step, the exposed chemistry is not identical to a specimen irradiated first. Witness surfaces and mass-change measurements are used to detect this change in state. [2,3]

5. Response-based acceleration

The measurement set should resolve chemical and mechanical ageing simultaneously. FTIR or XPS can track oxidation and bond changes; UV-visible spectroscopy can quantify yellowing or transmission loss; DMA can track Tg and modulus; microscopy can identify crazing or cracks. A single retained tensile strength value is not enough because compensating crosslinking and scission can leave strength temporarily stable while ductility or fracture resistance collapses. [1-5]

Ageing-induced mass change should be interpreted with care. Vacuum-driven mass loss can coexist with oxidative mass gain or absorbed contamination, so gravimetry alone does not identify chemistry. Witness coupons and evolved-gas or condensable-product analysis provide a stronger basis when contamination control matters. [1,2]

An acceleration factor is accepted only for a defined response such as optical transmission loss, carbonyl growth, modulus change or crack density. A single factor is not assumed to apply to all responses. Where the accelerated test changes the dominant mechanism, the factor is rejected.

Table 2. Transfer tests for accelerated ageing.

Transfer question	Evidence	Interpretation
same mechanism?	spectroscopy/morphology at service-like and accelerated conditions	mechanism mismatch invalidates extrapolation
same temperature state?	measured specimen temperature and thermal history	thermal acceleration separated from photon dose
same surface state?	pre/post vacuum mass and contamination witnesses	outgassing sequence captured
same ranking?	multiple materials or cure states across at least two conditions	ranking inversion flags non-equivalence

6. Scope boundary

AO-specific recession belongs to ER-382. ER-383 addresses UV/VUV, vacuum and thermal combined ageing and provides response-based transfer logic for ER-381 mission qualification.

7. Engineering outcome

A defensible result is a condition-specific ageing map: exposure spectrum, vacuum, temperature, sequence, measured responses and uncertainty. It is not an orbital lifetime number derived from chamber hours.

8. Measurement strategy for synergistic ageing

Synergy can be quantified by comparing the combined response with the response predicted from isolated exposures. The comparison should be performed on a physically meaningful observable—such as carbonyl index, crack density, transmission loss or modulus—not on a qualitative damage score. A statistically significant non-additive residual is evidence of interaction, but its sign may change with dose or sequence. [1-6]

Exposure order should be documented because an early treatment can create or remove the reactants needed for a later mechanism. Vacuum preconditioning may remove volatile absorbers; UV can increase crosslink density or create oxidised groups; thermal cycling can open diffusion pathways. A sequence matrix therefore provides mechanistic information even when full simultaneity is not experimentally available. [1-6]

Thermal gradients in thick printed parts should be measured or modelled. A chamber air or platen temperature is not necessarily the specimen temperature, especially under vacuum where convective heat transfer is suppressed. Embedded or surface thermometry can establish the actual thermal cycle and prevent false attribution of a temperature-limited result to UV dose. [1-6]

Optical ageing measurements should use controlled thickness and surface finish. Yellowing, haze and transmission loss can be dominated by path length or roughness, making comparisons across independently printed specimens unreliable. Paired measurements on the same specimen before and after exposure are therefore preferable when geometry permits. [1-6]

A service-life claim should identify which observable is being extrapolated and where the extrapolation stops. A model validated for colour shift does not automatically predict fracture life, and a thermal acceleration established below Tg should not be extended through a relaxation transition. Separate state variables may require separate acceleration models. [1-6]

The principal evidence gap is a systematic matrix on VPP photopolymers that combines controlled vacuum, UV spectrum and repeated thermal cycling while preserving the same cure state. Such datasets would allow interaction terms to be estimated instead of absorbed into conservative but opaque safety factors. [1-6]

Validation of a service-life model should use withheld exposure combinations rather than only the same stress used to fit the model. If a time-temperature superposition or Arrhenius relation is proposed, it should be checked at more than one UV level and under vacuum to test whether the activation process remains the same. Mechanism changes should terminate extrapolation rather than be hidden in a broader confidence interval. [1-5]

The final qualification envelope should report starting conversion/post-cure state, vacuum level, UV spectrum and irradiance, specimen temperature, cycle extrema and rate, total duration, and all functional acceptance metrics. Requalification is required when pigment, absorber, photoinitiator, coating or thickness changes alter light penetration or thermal response. [1-6]

At least one chemistry-sensitive measurement and one functional measurement are taken at intermediate exposure points where feasible. Intermediate data reveal whether the combined sequence produces an early transition that would be missed by endpoint-only testing. Spectroscopy, optical transmission, modulus, crack density or dimensional drift can serve this role when tied to the product function.

Controls are selected to isolate the suspected interaction. If UV followed by thermal cycling causes more cracking than either exposure alone, the comparison includes both single-factor histories and, when practical, the reverse sequence. This is more informative than adding independent percentage losses.

9. Reporting combined-environment evidence

The reporting set should include the specimen oxygen environment during UV exposure. Photo-oxidation under air, residual chamber oxygen and high vacuum can produce different radical pathways. Vacuum level alone is not a complete measure if outgassed oxygen-containing species or deliberate backfill are present. [1-6]

Post-exposure conditioning should be fixed before property measurement. Water uptake after returning a vacuum-aged specimen to ambient humidity can partially mask mass loss or change modulus. Measurements made immediately after exposure and after a defined equilibration interval answer different questions and should not be mixed. [1-6]

When thermal cycling produces cracks, UV exposure can reach fresh internal surfaces that were not initially exposed. This creates a possible feedback between mechanical damage and photochemistry. Cross-sectional spectroscopy or microscopy after combined exposure can test whether damage is confined to the original surface or has propagated along newly opened paths. [1-6]

Pigments and absorbers require special caution because they can protect the bulk while heating the surface or themselves photodegrade. A formulation change that preserves initial colour can still change the spectral depth profile of absorbed energy. Optical constants or at least wavelength-resolved transmission should accompany accelerated comparisons. [1-6]

A combined-environment design should randomise specimen placement where feasible because lamp nonuniformity, chamber thermal gradients and view factors can otherwise confound stress combinations with position. Independent dosimetry and thermometry at multiple locations are preferable to assuming chamber homogeneity. [1-6]

Model uncertainty should increase outside the calibrated exposure sequence. If the fitted law uses simultaneous UV and thermal cycling, predicting a long vacuum dwell followed by UV is an extrapolation in sequence space even when total dose and temperature are familiar. That distinction should be explicit in any life estimate. [1-6]

The decision record should state which interactions were tested and which remain assumed additive. This prevents later users from interpreting a three-factor qualification as evidence for untested combinations with AO, charged particles or contamination. [1-6]

Table 3. Minimum combined-ageing record.

Record	Purpose
spectral exposure and dose	defines photochemical driving force
vacuum pressure and outgassing state	captures removal of volatile species and contamination potential
specimen temperature versus time	separates thermal acceleration from radiation dose
sequence or simultaneity	preserves interaction pathway
response checkpoints	supports mechanism comparison and transfer uncertainty

A combined test is not automatically more realistic than a sequential test. The preferred configuration is the one whose controlled variables and measured responses most directly reproduce the mechanism relevant to the intended service.

References

- Dever JA, Pietromica AJ, Stueber TJ, Sechkar EA, Messer RK. Simulated Space Vacuum Ultraviolet (VUV) Exposure Testing for Polymer Films. NASA/TM-2002-211337; AIAA-2001-1054. doi:10.2514/6.2001-1054.
- Grossman E, Gouzman I. Space environment effects on polymers in low earth orbit. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B. 2003;208:48-57. doi:10.1016/S0168-583X(03)00640-2.
- Finckenor MM. Further Analysis of MISSE Polymeric Materials at MSFC. NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, M09-0536; 2009.
- Stein BA. The LDEF experience. Materials. NASA Langley Research Center, NTRS 19930011622; 1992.
- Kleiman JI, Iskanderova ZA, Perez FJ, Tennyson RC. Protective coatings for LEO environments in spacecraft applications. Surface and Coatings Technology. 1995;76-77:827-834. doi:10.1016/0257-8972(95)02497-2.
- Tagawa M, Yokota K. Atomic oxygen-induced polymer degradation phenomena in simulated LEO space environments: How do polymers react in a complicated space environment? Acta Astronautica. 2008;62(2-3):203-211. doi:10.1016/j.actaastro.2006.12.043.

Competing interests

The author is Founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resynr Technologies S.L.; ER-383 contains no comparative recommendation between commercial products.

Data availability

ER-383 is a literature-based engineering review; no new experimental dataset was generated.