

Automation of Washing, Drying and Post-Curing: Material State, Recipe Control, Transfer Timing and Release Evidence

Post-processing automation as controlled state transformation from green part to qualified final part

Juan Segurola

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-347 · Engineering Review · Version 0.5 · 28 September 2026

Abstract

Printed green parts retain uncured resin, solvent-sensitive surfaces and incomplete conversion. Wash effectiveness depends on solvent composition, contamination load, agitation, temperature, geometry and time. Residual solvent can change mass, dimensions, surface quality and post-cure response. Exposure time alone does not define post-cure. Automated post-processing should execute version-controlled recipes linked to material and part family. Qualification therefore depends on preserving the measured state, configuration and exception history needed to distinguish these mechanisms in production rather than relying on nominal recipe identity alone.

Keywords: washing; drying; post-curing; automation; vat photopolymerisation; recipe control; transfer timing; qualification

1. Significance and scope

Printed green parts retain uncured resin, solvent-sensitive surfaces and incomplete conversion. The engineering question is whether automated washing, drying and post-curing can control material state and transfer timing reproducibly enough that recipe execution and release evidence remain traceable across the full post-process chain. The review focuses on evidence that supports repeatable manufacturing decisions and excludes proprietary recipes, supplier-specific settings and undocumented operator heuristics.

1.1 Corpus boundary

ER-347 owns automation and control of washing, drying and post-curing after vat photopolymerisation. It does not own the underlying photochemistry of post-cure, generic solvent recovery or final product-specific acceptance testing. ER-348 owns cleanliness zoning and contamination-control architecture; ER-349 owns electronic batch records and ER-181 owns post-cure dose science. ER-347 is limited to automation, transfer timing and recipe enforcement across washing, drying and post-curing.

2. Post-processing changes material state

Printed green parts retain uncured resin, solvent-sensitive surfaces and incomplete conversion. Washing, drying and post-curing therefore are manufacturing steps, not cosmetic cleaning. Literature shows that post-curing method can materially change mechanical properties, so automated equipment must control the state transformation rather than merely count elapsed time [1,2].

3. Washing needs solvent-state control

Wash effectiveness depends on solvent composition, contamination load, agitation, temperature, geometry and time. A fixed timer is not equivalent to a controlled wash if solvent loading drifts. Automated systems should therefore track bath identity, use history or an appropriate contamination indicator and define replacement criteria [2,3].

4. Drying is a transfer state

Residual solvent can change mass, dimensions, surface quality and post-cure response. Drying should have an endpoint criterion appropriate to the part family, such as stable mass or a validated time-temperature-airflow window. Transfer delays between wash, dry and cure should be bounded where green-state evolution matters [2,3].

5. Post-cure requires dose-state attribution

Exposure time alone does not define post-cure. Irradiance, spectrum, chamber temperature, part orientation and shadowing contribute to delivered dose and conversion. Automated chambers should therefore control or monitor the variables needed to reproduce the qualified material state [1-3].

6. Recipe control and traceability

Automated post-processing should execute version-controlled recipes linked to material and part family. Manual overrides, interrupted cycles and reprocessing must remain visible in the production record rather than being silently normalised into a successful completion state [3,4].

7. Qualification architecture

A qualified cell defines allowed equipment, solvent state, loading range, dry endpoint, post-cure dose window and transfer timing. Challenge runs should include worst-case geometry and load because dense racks or deep recesses can create cleaning and cure non-uniformity not seen on simple coupons [2-4].

8. Evidence hierarchy and transfer

The strongest evidence links controlled post-processing variables to independent final-state measurements. Transfer to another wash or cure unit requires equivalence of agitation, solvent control, optical/thermal dose and load geometry, not just identical recipe names [2-4].

Table 1. Qualification matrix for automation of washing, drying and post-curing.

Step	Critical state variable	Evidence
Wash	Solvent loading and contact	Bath control plus cleanliness check
Dry	Residual solvent/moisture	Endpoint criterion
Post-cure	Spectral/thermal dose	Irradiance, time, temperature record
Transfer	Elapsed time in green state	Bounded queue time
Exception	Override/rework status	Traceable event record

9. Interpretation matrix

For automated washing, drying and post-curing, a downstream deviation can originate in resin carryover, solvent state, incomplete drying, transfer delay or the applied cure sequence. Diagnosis therefore depends on time-stamped material-state and recipe records across every transfer, not only on the final post-cure result.

Table 2. Diagnostic interpretation matrix for automated washing, drying and post-curing.

Deviation	Potential consequence	Control
Overloaded wash	Residue remains	Load limit / solvent criterion
Incomplete dry	Dimensional or cure drift	Endpoint verification
Shadowed post-cure	Local under-conversion	Fixture/orientation qualification
Recipe interruption	Unknown state	Hold-and-review rule

10. Limitations and evidence gaps

Automated washing, drying and post-curing are poorly standardised across resin families, solvent systems and equipment, and public studies seldom expose complete transfer-time and solvent-state histories. This review therefore does not prescribe universal wash times, drying endpoints or post-cure doses. Qualification must be tied to material state and release evidence for the specific part family and process chain.

11. Conclusions

Automation of washing, drying and post-curing should preserve traceability of material state across every transfer step. Version-controlled recipes are necessary but insufficient unless solvent condition, drying endpoint and post-cure evidence are also captured. Release should be based on verified final state, not on completion of an automated sequence alone.

References

1. Bosch A, Champion P, Tarrés Q, Delgado-Aguilar M, Guerra AJ, Ciurana J. The influence of post-curing methods on mechanical properties of photosensitive resins using vat photopolymerization for stents. *Materials Today Communications*. 2026;50:114391. doi:10.1016/j.mtcomm.2025.114391.
2. ISO/ASTM 52920:2023. Additive manufacturing - Qualification principles - Requirements for industrial additive manufacturing processes and production sites. ISO, Geneva, 2023.
3. ISA-88.00.01-2010. Batch Control - Part 1: Models and Terminology. International Society of Automation, 2010.
4. ISA-88.00.04-2006. Batch Control - Part 4: Batch Production Records. International Society of Automation, 2006.

Competing interests

The author is Founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L. No comparative product recommendation is made.

Data availability

No new experimental dataset was generated for this review.