

Light-Driven and Magnetically Driven Photopolymer Microswimmers: Fabrication, Actuation, Tracking and Fluid Interaction

Separating fabrication fidelity, propulsion mechanism and hydrodynamic performance in printed microrobotic swimmers

Juan Seguro

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-337 · Engineering Review · Version 0.4 · 28 September 2026

Abstract

Photopolymer microswimmers are manufactured objects whose locomotion depends simultaneously on three-dimensional geometry, actuation physics and low-Reynolds-number fluid interaction. This review addresses light-driven and magnetically driven swimmers with emphasis on fabrication fidelity, magnetic or photoresponsive state, trajectory measurement, wall effects and propulsion repeatability. Two-photon polymerisation is a key route for complex swimmer geometries, and magnetic composite swimmers have been fabricated directly by this method. Light-powered microrobot literature shows that illumination can function as both fabrication tool and actuator, while recent magnetic-swimmer reviews emphasise field control and application constraints. Qualification is framed around geometry-to-actuation coupling: pitch, radius, flexibility or asymmetry must be measured, the applied field or light stimulus must be quantified, and speed/orientation must be interpreted with viscosity, confinement and distance from boundaries declared. Population-level variability is treated as a manufacturing outcome rather than experimental noise.

Keywords: microswimmer; photopolymer; two-photon polymerisation; magnetic actuation; light-driven actuation; microrobot; tracking; low Reynolds number

1. Significance and scope

At low Reynolds number, inertia cannot be used to average away a manufacturing defect. Small differences in helix pitch, magnetic moment, flexible-joint stiffness or photoresponsive deformation can change swimming direction and velocity, and nearby walls can modify the same trajectory. A useful manufacturing review therefore ties each locomotion claim to measured swimmer geometry, actuation input and fluidic environment.

1.1 Corpus boundary

ER-337 owns light- and magnetically driven photopolymer microswimmers, including fabrication, actuation, tracking and fluid interaction. ER-309 retains mechanochemical activation/repair, ER-319 metal-ligand dynamic networks, ER-429 magneto-optical composites, and ER-440 biohybrid actuators with living cells. ER-337 excludes macro-scale soft robots and non-swimming magnetic components.

2. Geometry and actuation must be co-designed

At microscale, propulsion operates at low Reynolds number, so time-reversible shape change does not generate net translation. Helices, flexible appendages, surface reactions or asymmetric light response provide the required non-reciprocity. Printed geometry must therefore be measured as part of the propulsion mechanism [1-3].

3. Magnetic systems require magnetic-state reporting

Magnetic loading, magnetisation direction, remanence and field gradient or rotation frequency define actuation. Polymer geometry alone cannot explain trajectory or torque transfer [1,3].

4. Light-driven systems couple optics and chemistry

Photothermal, photochemical or photoisomerisation mechanisms depend on wavelength, absorption and local heating. Tracking should include illumination geometry and temperature controls to separate propulsion from convection [2,3].

5. Hydrodynamic qualification

Speed alone is insufficient. Trajectory curvature, orientation stability, response bandwidth, wall effects, fluid viscosity and confinement determine whether a swimmer is controllable in the intended environment [1-3].

6. Qualification architecture

Qualification should begin with the dimensions that determine propulsion: helix radius and pitch, body asymmetry, joint geometry or deformable element dimensions. Magnetic swimmers additionally require magnetic moment or a calibrated magnetic-response metric; light-driven swimmers require the actuation spectrum and irradiance at the swimmer. Trajectories are then measured in a fluid of known viscosity and geometry, with wall distance and field waveform recorded because both alter apparent propulsion [1-3].

7. Minimum evidence for transfer

Transfer evidence should include population-level geometric distributions and not only a representative swimmer. The actuation field or illumination waveform, viscosity, chamber dimensions, tracking frame rate and analysis method must accompany velocity and directional data. Two-photon-fabricated magnetic microrobots provide direct evidence that magnetic loading and printed geometry can be integrated [1], while light-powered polymer microrobots demonstrate distinct optical actuation modes [2].

7.1 Evidence hierarchy and transfer

A robust inference separates actuation variability from hydrodynamic variability. Repeating trajectories at controlled wall distance and viscosity can identify whether speed scatter follows swimmer geometry or environment. For magnetic swimmers, velocity versus field frequency and amplitude is more informative than a single operating point; for photoresponsive swimmers, deformation and propulsion should be measured under the same optical dose.

7.2 Research priorities

Research priorities include standard trajectory datasets with uncertainty, scalable fabrication metrics for swimmer populations, and reference fluids/chambers that make hydrodynamic comparisons reproducible. The gap between precision two-photon fabrication and high-throughput manufacture remains especially important for applications requiring large swimmer numbers [3].

Table 1. Minimum qualification matrix for photopolymer microswimmers.

Swimmer state	Minimum measurement	Qualification purpose
Geometry	body/helix dimensions and symmetry	defines hydrodynamic model
Actuation	field or irradiance with orientation	defines input
Motion	speed, trajectory and angular response	quantifies propulsion/control
Fluid	viscosity, confinement and wall distance	defines hydrodynamic boundary
Durability	repeated actuation and morphology	tests structural stability

8. Interpretation matrix

Slow propulsion, reversal, trajectory curvature and loss of synchronisation can arise from different combinations of geometry, actuation state and wall interaction. The matrix therefore links each observation to a specific geometric, magnetic/optical or fluidic control rather than treating velocity as the only quality metric.

Table 2. Diagnostic interpretation matrix for microswimmer actuation and trajectory anomalies.

Trajectory observation	Competing cause	Discriminating control
Speed falls near wall	hydrodynamic wall interaction	vary wall distance at fixed actuation
Trajectory curves in uniform field	magnetisation/geometry asymmetry	3D shape and magnetic-axis measurement
Light causes bulk drift	convection rather than propulsion	temperature and tracer controls
Response saturates with drive	slip, magnetic lag or actuator limit	phase lag and torque/field sweep

9. Limitations and evidence gaps

Microswimmer studies vary in scale, fluid, boundary conditions and control modality. Results obtained near a wall or in a highly confined chamber cannot be transferred directly to bulk fluid, and performance of magnetic swimmers cannot validate photoresponsive actuation. This review defines reporting and diagnostic requirements rather than a universal propulsion target.

10. Conclusions

A photopolymer microswimmer is qualified when measured geometry and actuation state predict reproducible motion in a declared fluidic environment. Geometry-only inspection misses magnetic or optical-state variability; velocity-only testing hides wall and tracking effects. ER-337 therefore treats manufacturing, actuation and hydrodynamics as a single evidence chain while maintaining separate ownership from biohybrid and bulk actuator reviews.

References

1. Suter M, Zhang L, Siringil EC, Peters C, Luehmann T, Ergeneman O, Peyer KE, Nelson BJ, Hierold C. Superparamagnetic microrobots: fabrication by two-photon polymerization and biocompatibility. *Biomedical Microdevices*. 2013;15(6):997-1003. doi:10.1007/s10544-013-9791-7.
2. Bunea A-I, Martella D, Nocentini S, Parmeggiani C, Taboryski R, Wiersma DS. Light-Powered Microrobots: Challenges and Opportunities for Hard and Soft Responsive Microswimmers. *Advanced Intelligent Systems*. 2021;3(4):2000256. doi:10.1002/aisy.202000256.
3. Maryam S, Carmignani A, Ciofani G, Krukiewicz K. Magnetic Microswimmers: Navigating the Future of Targeted Cargo Delivery. *ACS Nano Medicine*. 2026;1(8):1854-1886. doi:10.1021/acsnanomed.6c00070.

Competing interests

The author is Founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L. No comparative product recommendation is made.

Data availability

No new experimental dataset was generated for this review.