

Upconverting-Nanoparticle-Assisted Deep Photocuring: Excitation Transport, Local Emission, Heating and Network Uniformity

Separating optical penetration from local photochemical efficiency in nanoparticle-mediated near-infrared curing

Juan Segurola

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-304 · Engineering Review · Version 0.5 · 28 September 2026

Abstract

Upconverting nanoparticles can transport excitation into optically thick regions by absorbing near-infrared light and emitting at shorter wavelengths that activate a photoinitiator. Deep illumination does not automatically mean uniform cure: particle dispersion, local emission efficiency, reabsorption, initiator proximity and photothermal heating can all create spatially varying conversion. This review defines the measurements needed to distinguish optical penetration from genuine network uniformity and uses recent nanoparticle-assisted printing studies to frame qualification.

Keywords: upconversion nanoparticles; near-infrared curing; photopolymerisation; excitation transport; local emission; network uniformity

1. Significance and scope

Upconverting-nanoparticle-assisted deep photocuring is attractive when local anti-Stokes emission can initiate chemistry beyond the penetration depth of the external source. Its engineering significance depends on separating optical conversion from particle-induced scattering and heating, and on proving that the emitted field produces a uniform network rather than isolated overcured zones. Proprietary formulations and machine-specific tuning rules are outside scope.

1.1 Corpus boundary

ER-304 owns inorganic upconverting-particle-mediated photocuring and the coupling between NIR transport, local upconverted emission and network formation. ER-303 owns molecular TTA upconversion; ER-217 owns generic particle surface functionalisation. ER-305 owns persistent-radical and afterglow-mediated temporal continuation after excitation, whereas ER-304 is limited to upconverting-particle-mediated excitation and deep photocuring.

2. Mechanistic basis

Lanthanide-based upconversion commonly involves sequential absorption and energy transfer within doped particles. The emitted UV or visible photons must then reach an initiator before being lost to reabsorption or scattering. The relevant manufacturing unit is therefore a particle-initiation microenvironment embedded in a macroscale optical path [1].

3. State variables and process control

Particle concentration controls both local emission density and attenuation. Agglomeration changes scattering and creates spatially heterogeneous initiation, while surface chemistry alters dispersion and distance to the initiator. NIR intensity can also produce heating that accelerates polymerisation independently of upconverted photon flux [2,3].

4. Principal failure modes

A thick specimen may appear fully solid while containing conversion gradients. Other failure modes include particle settling, local overcure around aggregates, poor surface cure where emission escapes, and thermal distortion at high excitation. Mechanical uniformity should not be inferred from visual opacity or cure depth alone. [2]

5. Measurement architecture

Qualification should combine particle-size/dispersion measurements, NIR transmission, upconversion emission, temperature mapping, conversion versus depth and mechanical or swelling measurements across section thickness. Printed feature fidelity should be compared with a matched formulation lacking upconversion particles when feasible. [1,2]

6. Evidence hierarchy and transfer

Published work shows NIR-assisted photopolymerisation and tunable feature formation using upconversion particles, with recent particle/MOF architectures designed to shorten energy-transfer distance to the initiator [1-3]. These studies support feasibility but also demonstrate that nanoscale photophysics and bulk cure cannot be separated. [1-3]

7. Qualification architecture

A UCNP-assisted qualification package should retain particle identity and loading, excitation irradiance, local emission spectrum, temperature rise, conversion versus depth and final network uniformity. Controls should include particle-free material, thermally matched exposure and direct short-wavelength excitation where feasible. Transfer is justified only when emission, heating and conversion remain within the same qualified relation across geometry and optical path length.

8. Interpretation and decision logic

Decision logic should distinguish optical penetration from particle-mediated emission and local heating. Deep cure is credible only when section-resolved conversion and mechanical state track the measured excitation/emission field without a thermal gradient large enough to explain the same result.

Table 1. Qualification matrix for upconverting-nanoparticle-assisted deep photocuring.

State variable	Minimum evidence	Qualification purpose
Particle dispersion	Size/agglomeration	Controls local emission uniformity
NIR transport	Transmission versus depth	Quantifies excitation availability
Upconverted emission	Spectrum and intensity	Confirms usable local photons
Network state	Conversion/mechanics versus depth	Tests uniform cure

9. Diagnostic interpretation matrix

The diagnostic matrix should distinguish weak upconversion, optical attenuation, particle aggregation, local thermal cure and true emission-mediated deep polymerisation. No deep-cure claim is sufficient without measurements that separate photonic and thermal pathways.

Table 2. Diagnostic interpretation matrix for upconversion-assisted deep curing.

Observed deviation	Competing mechanism	Discriminating check
Core cures, surface weak	Emission escape or oxygen	Depth conversion map
Hot spots	Photothermal heating	Temperature map
Local overcure	Agglomeration	Microscopy/dispersion check
Gradient properties	Optical attenuation	Sectioned mechanical/swelling test

10. Limitations and evidence gaps

Upconversion quantum efficiency is typically low and highly dependent on host, dopant, excitation and local environment. Reported particle formulations are diverse, so optimum concentration or exposure cannot be generalised. Residual inorganic content may also alter mechanical and optical performance after cure.

11. Conclusions

Deep photocuring with upconverting nanoparticles is qualified when excitation transport, local emission, heat and conversion are measured as a coupled depth-dependent state. Cure depth alone is insufficient evidence of a uniform network.

References

1. Wang K, Pena J, Xing J. Upconversion Nanoparticle-Assisted Photopolymerization. *Photochemistry and Photobiology*. 2020;96(4):741-749. doi:10.1111/php.13249.
2. Zhang Q. Multi-photon polymerization using upconversion nanoparticles for tunable feature-size printing. *Nanophotonics*. 2023;12(8):1527-1536. doi:10.1515/nanoph-2022-0598.
3. Chen C, Sang X, Gao D, Zhu Z, Wu W, Zhao Y, Miao J-T, Liu R. Heterostructured Photoinitiator Comprising Upconversion Particles and Metal-Organic Frameworks for Enhanced Near-Infrared Photopolymerization: Implications for 3D Printing. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*. 2024;6(18):11557-11566. doi:10.1021/acsapm.4c02205.

Competing interests

The author is Founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L. No comparative product recommendation is made.

Data availability

No new experimental dataset was generated for this review.