

Analytical Monitoring of Photopolymer-Contaminated Wastewater: Target Species, Non-Target Screening and Treatment Verification

Juan Segurola

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-279 · Engineering Review · Version 0.3 · 23 September 2026

Abstract

Analytical Monitoring of Photopolymer-Contaminated Wastewater is evaluated as the bounded decision of whether a measured wastewater state is analytically characterized well enough to verify a treatment step without turning monitoring into a legal discharge decision. The controlled state comprises source operation, resin identity, wash chemistry, loading history, suspended and dissolved fractions, treatment stage, sampling time and receiving container. For ER-279, the three cited sources are used only for the evidence roles stated below and only within their demonstrated scope. ER-279 defines measurements, transfer logic, failure modes and release gates specific to this subject; no universal process window, product recipe or compliance conclusion is inferred.

Keywords: wastewater; analytical monitoring; photopolymer; target analysis; non-target screening; treatment verification; mass balance; water

1. Significance, scope and ownership

ER-279 owns whether a measured wastewater state is analytically characterized well enough to verify a treatment step without turning monitoring into a legal discharge decision. The review is organised around the decision-specific evidence chain and leaves neighbouring ownership intact.

1.1. Corpus boundary

This paper does not classify waste, approve discharge, or select a treatment train. Those decisions remain with ER-178 and the applicable site authority. It also does not replace ER-273 for wash-medium reuse, ER-274 for constituent recovery, or ER-278 for ecotoxicity; its endpoint is the quality of the analytical observation before and after treatment.

2. Subject-specific state model and causal chain

For ER-279, causal interpretation begins by freezing source operation, resin identity, wash chemistry, loading history, suspended and dissolved fractions, treatment stage, sampling time and receiving container. Because dissolved and suspended species can respond differently to treatment, the sampling and fractionation definition is part of the measurand rather than a convenience step.

3. Direct evidence and point-of-use source map

Ballentine and co-workers show that aqueous photopolymer-resin preparations can have measurable biological effects, while ER-178 establishes that wash-water disposition remains unresolved until the actual stream and applicable decision are resolved. ER-279 therefore uses chemistry measurements to verify what changed across treatment, not to authorize disposal. Reference [1] is used for uncured-resin aquatic hazard and why bulk clarity is not a harmlessness test. Reference [2] is used for migration/developmental-toxicity evidence that motivates non-target chemical coverage. Reference [3] is used for the incumbent boundary that analytical monitoring cannot itself decide waste disposition.

4. Measurement architecture

The measurement architecture for ER-279 is built around target LC/GC methods for known monomers, photoinitiators and additives; non-target HRMS or chromatographic screening for unexpected features; TOC/COD, turbidity, solids, pH and conductivity only as validated process indicators; paired influent/effluent sampling and recovery-spike controls. Target recovery, non-target features and phase-specific balances must agree well enough to explain where measured material moved across the treatment step.

5. Qualification and transfer

A method bridge is required whenever resin chemistry, treatment mechanism, loading or matrix changes enough to alter recovery, ionisation, chromatographic separation or phase partitioning. The bridge should deliberately challenge the analyte or fraction most likely to be lost by the proposed method.

6. Failure modes and interpretation traps

The central interpretation trap in ER-279 is that a low bulk-organic or clear-water reading is interpreted as absence of relevant constituents; treatment is called successful without demonstrating method recovery, transformation products or mass-balance closure. The gate table therefore separates non-detects, unexpected features, phase partition and mass-balance closure so that a clean-looking effluent cannot pass on appearance alone.

7. Non-compensatory release gates

Gate	Minimum evidence	Blocking failure
Stream identity	Resin/wash/source and treatment stage frozen	Unknown mixed stream
Sampling	Paired, representative sampling points and timing	Convenience sample called representative
Target recovery	Validated recovery for declared target panel	Non-detect without recovery control
Non-target coverage	Declared screening range and unresolved features retained	Unexpected peaks ignored
Phase partition	Dissolved/suspended operational definition	Clear filtrate called chemically clean
Mass balance	Influent/effluent/residue/concentrate reconciled	Removal inferred from one outlet
Treatment verification	Change exceeds method uncertainty and blanks	Noise called treatment effect
Decision boundary	Monitoring result separated from discharge/classification	Analytical pass treated as legal disposition

8. Minimum verification experiment

Take paired samples before and after one declared treatment step, split dissolved and suspended fractions under a stated operational definition, analyse a predeclared target panel plus a non-target screen, run blanks/spikes, and reconcile measured removals with retained/sludge/concentrate fractions. Report unresolved features rather than assigning them zero concentration.

9. Knowledge boundary

No validated universal surrogate links TOC, turbidity, conductivity or colour to absence of all relevant photopolymer constituents. Non-target transformation products and treatment by-products remain method- and formulation-dependent, so ER-279 withholds any general wastewater-cleanliness threshold.

10. Conclusions

ER-279 supports a treatment-verification statement only when the wastewater stream, sampling design, analytical coverage and mass balance are explicit; it does not turn that statement into discharge approval.

References

1. Mark Ballentine, Alan Kennedy, Nicolas Melby, Anthony Bednar, Robert Moser, Lee C. Moores, Erik M. Alberts, Charles H. Laber, Rebecca A. Crouch. Acute and Chronic Toxicity of Uncured Resin Feedstocks for Vat Photopolymerization 3D Printing to a Cladoceran (*Ceriodaphnia dubia*). *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 2023;110(3):56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-023-03698-5>
2. Milanga Walpitagama, Megan Carve, Alon M. Douek, Charlene Trestrail, Yutao Bai, Jan Kaslin, Donald Wlodkowic. Additives migrating from 3D-printed plastic induce developmental toxicity and neuro-behavioural alterations in early life zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Aquatic Toxicology*. 2019;213:105227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.105227>
3. Juan Segurola. Solvent, Wash-Water and Contaminated-Waste Management. ER-178. 3Dresyns Engineering Publications; 2026. Controlled corpus source.

Copyright

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.