

Photodetector and Photosensor Integration with Printed Photopolymers: Spectral Response, Encapsulation and Signal Stability

Juan Segurola

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-268 · Engineering Review · Version 0.2 · 23 September 2026

Abstract

Printed photopolymers can serve as structural carriers, optical waveguides, encapsulants and alignment features around photodetectors, while additive processes can also fabricate active polymer photodetectors directly. The resulting sensor response is governed by more than detector material: coupling geometry, printed optical loss, spectral filtering, interfacial contamination, encapsulation and environmental drift can all change the signal. This review defines a qualification architecture for integrating photodetectors and photosensors with printed photopolymer structures. It separates detector responsivity from optical delivery, treats encapsulation as an optical and environmental component, and requires calibration and drift to be attached to a declared geometry and spectrum. The central conclusion is non-compensatory: high initial responsivity cannot compensate for uncontrolled coupling loss, spectral mismatch, encapsulation-induced attenuation, humidity sensitivity or unstable dark and illuminated signals.

Keywords: photodetectors; photosensors; photopolymers; spectral response; optical waveguides; encapsulation; signal stability; additive manufacturing; photonic integration

1. Significance and scope

Additive manufacturing has enabled fully printed polymer photodetectors, photopolymerized conductive-polymer detectors, polymer waveguides and two-photon-polymerized sensing structures [1-5]. These routes place active detectors, passive photopolymer optics and structural packaging in different combinations. ER-268 owns the integration and qualification problem: spectral response, optical coupling, encapsulation and signal stability when a photosensor is combined with printed photopolymer structures. ER-267 retains light-emitting composites; ER-263 retains dielectric ageing. The detailed incumbent boundary, including ER-174, is stated in §1.1.

1.1 Corpus boundary

Published incumbents define the neighbouring ownership. ER-55 retains optical waveguides and light guides, including refractive-index contrast, propagation loss, scattering and coupling. ER-159 retains the broader optical, photonic and luminescent-material qualification framework; ER-168 retains coating and encapsulation of reactive photopolymer substrates, including surface cure state, residual-species migration and barrier quality; and ER-174 retains optical-state characterisation of printing hardware. ER-71 remains adjacent for in-situ printer sensing rather than photosensor-device qualification. ER-268 owns integration of photodetectors/photosensors with printed photopolymers: spectral transfer, detector coupling, encapsulation-induced optical change, calibration and signal stability after assembly. The detector itself may be printed, attached, embedded or optically coupled to a printed photopolymer, and those states are not conflated. A polymer waveguide that delivers light to a conventional photodiode is distinct from a photopolymerised conductive polymer that is itself photosensitive. Forward ER identifiers in the controlled roadmap define planning boundaries only and carry no evidentiary authority.

2. Spectral response belongs to the complete optical stack

Photodetector responsivity is wavelength dependent. Any printed window, waveguide, adhesive, pigment, post-cure product or encapsulant can alter the spectrum reaching the active layer. Park and co-workers demonstrated fully 3D printed polymer photodetectors and integrated image-sensing arrays, including optically coupled detector/LED systems [1]. Liang and co-workers directly wrote photodetectors from a photopolymerized conductive polymer [2]. In both cases, the measured detector response must remain attached to source spectrum, geometry and active-material state.

3. Printed optical paths introduce coupling and attenuation terms

Polymer waveguides can route light to integrated detectors, but coupling loss, propagation loss, bend radius, surface roughness and refractive-index contrast enter the signal chain. Song and co-workers integrated a thin-film photodetector with a polymer waveguide grating sensor [3]. Panusa and co-workers demonstrated sub-micron polymer waveguides fabricated by two-photon polymerization in PDMS [4]. These studies show that detector calibration cannot be separated from the optical path when the path is part of the printed structure.

4. Geometry and alignment are calibration variables

Detector angle, source distance, aperture, focal structure, waveguide termination and detector area determine how much of the available optical field is sampled. Additive manufacturing can improve registration by printing alignment features around the detector, but dimensional error and cure shrinkage can shift that registration. Qualification should therefore preserve CAD geometry, printed dimensions and the optical alignment procedure. A calibration performed before assembly is not automatically valid after encapsulation or mechanical loading.

5. Encapsulation changes both environment and optics

Encapsulation may protect a detector from moisture, oxygen, contamination and mechanical damage, but it also adds interfaces with wavelength-dependent transmission, reflection and scattering. A clear resin is not spectrally neutral by default. Cure conversion, yellowing, residual photoinitiator and surface contamination can alter short-wavelength transmission. The correct comparison is detector-plus-encapsulation before and after ageing, not detector-only responsivity followed by an assumed transparent package.

6. Dark signal, illuminated signal and drift must be separated

Signal stability requires at least dark current or baseline, illuminated response, noise or repeatability, and drift versus time or cycle count. Fully printed polymer photodetectors can achieve substantial external quantum efficiency, but that initial metric does not establish long-term baseline

stability [1]. Photopolymerized conductive-polymer detectors likewise demonstrate integration feasibility rather than a universal ageing law [2]. Drift should be reported under declared temperature, humidity, bias, illumination spectrum and duty cycle.

7. Spectral and spatial calibration should survive the intended state change

A detector can retain electrical continuity while its optical transfer function changes. Calibration should therefore be repeated after encapsulation, post-cure, sterilization or environmental conditioning when those steps are part of intended use. For imaging arrays, spatial nonuniformity and pixel-to-pixel response should be separated from source nonuniformity. For waveguide-coupled sensors, the detector response should be distinguished from resonance or propagation changes in the passive structure.

8. Photopolymer sensors extend the integration boundary

Recent two-photon-polymerized sensors demonstrate that printed photonic structures themselves can become sensing elements. Liu and co-workers reported a fiber-tip slot photonic-crystal waveguide sensor fabricated by two-photon polymerization and quantified refractive-index sensitivity and temperature crosstalk [5]. This is not a photodetector in the narrow semiconductor sense, but it shows why ER-268 must distinguish optical transduction in the printed structure from electrical detection downstream.

9. Non-compensatory qualification matrix

Gate	Required evidence	Blocking failure
G1 Detector identity	Active material, area, bias and detector state defined	Response cannot be assigned to a detector state
G2 Spectral transfer	Source spectrum plus printed-path transmission/coupling bounded	Responsivity quoted without incident spectrum
G3 Geometry	Alignment and printed dimensions recorded	Calibration not transferable after assembly
G4 Encapsulation	Optical transmission and environmental barrier state verified	Protection changes signal unpredictably
G5 Signal stability	Dark, illuminated, repeatability/noise and drift tracked	Initial response mistaken for stable sensing
G6 Recalibration	Post-cure/conditioning calibration demonstrated when relevant	State change invalidates original calibration

10. Minimum reporting set

Report detector type and active material, detector area, source spectrum and intensity definition, printed resin and post-cure, optical-path geometry, refractive index or transmission data where relevant, coupling method, encapsulant identity and thickness, detector bias, responsivity or EQE with wavelength, dark signal, noise/repeatability, calibration method, temperature and humidity, ageing/conditioning protocol, mechanical state, spatial uniformity when applicable, and drift after the relevant assembly and environmental steps. For semiconductor photodiodes and phototransistors, terminology, characteristics and measuring methods should be anchored to IEC 60747-5-7 where applicable; spectral responsivity calibration should document the detector/radiometer method and uncertainty framework, with CIE 202 providing specific guidance [6,7].

11. Knowledge boundary

The evidence base demonstrates printed polymer photodetectors, photopolymerized conductive-polymer detectors, integrated polymer waveguide/photodetector systems and two-photon-polymerized sensing structures [1-5]. It does not establish a universal acceptable optical loss, encapsulation thickness, calibration interval or drift threshold. Those limits depend on detector chemistry, spectrum, geometry and intended measurement uncertainty.

12. Conclusions

Photosensor integration with printed photopolymers is a system-level metrology problem. The measured signal is the product of source spectrum, optical coupling, printed optical path, encapsulation, detector response and environmental state. Qualification therefore requires spectral calibration, geometry control, encapsulation characterization and drift testing after assembly. High initial responsivity is insufficient when the optical transfer path or baseline is unstable.

References

1. Sung Hyun Park, Ruitao Su, Jaewoo Jeong, Shuang-Zhuang Guo, Kaiyan Qiu, Daeha Joung, Fanben Meng, Michael C. McAlpine. 3D Printed Polymer Photodetectors. *Advanced Materials*. 2018;30(40):1803980. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201803980>
2. Shuyu Liang, Ying Yang, Chao Lv, Yuefeng Liu, Hong Xia. Integratable photodetectors based on photopolymerized conductive polymer via femtosecond laser direct writing. *Optics Letters*. 2022;47(11):2630-2633. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.461197>
3. Fuchuan Song, Jing Xiao, Antonio Jou Xie, Sang-Woo Seo. Polymer waveguide grating sensor integrated with a thin-film photodetector. *Journal of Optics*. 2014;16:015503. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8978/16/1/015503>
4. Giulia Panusa, Ye Pu, Jieping Wang, Christophe Moser, Demetri Psaltis. Fabrication of Sub-Micron Polymer Waveguides through Two-Photon Polymerization in Polydimethylsiloxane. *Polymers*. 2020;12(11):2485. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12112485>
5. Yingxuan Liu, Chaoyan Wen, Ning Li, Shuyu Li, Lin Teng, Shuo Li, Jinghan Yang, Leiang Ji, Peilin Ge, Yucheng Li, Yixuan Fan, Maoqing Chen, Yong Zhao. Research on the sensing characteristics of fiber-tip slot photonic crystal waveguide sensor based on two-photon polymerization 3D printing. *Measurement*. 2026;281:121965. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2026.121965>
6. IEC 60747-5-7:2016. Semiconductor devices - Part 5-7: Optoelectronic devices - Photodiodes and phototransistors. International Electrotechnical Commission, 2016.
7. CIE 202:2011. Spectral Responsivity Measurement of Detectors, Radiometers and Photometers. International Commission on Illumination, 2011.

Copyright

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.