

Photopolymer-Enabled Electrochemical Electrodes: Surface Area, Binder State, Electrolyte Access and Cycling Stability

Juan Segurola

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-264 · Engineering Review · Version 0.3 · 22 September 2026

Abstract

Photopolymer-enabled electrochemical electrodes combine a digitally defined three-dimensional architecture with a material transformation that can include conductive fillers, active particles, infiltration, coating or carbonization. Their performance cannot be inferred from geometric surface area alone. This review separates designed area from electrochemically accessible area, distinguishes polymer binder that remains in service from sacrificial binder removed by thermal treatment, and connects pore geometry to electrolyte wetting, ionic transport, electronic continuity and cycling stability. Evidence from vat-photopolymerized battery electrodes and stereolithography-derived carbon electrodes is used to define a non-compensatory qualification matrix. The central conclusion is that architecture is beneficial only when active material, electron pathways and electrolyte access remain coupled through the complete manufactured and cycled state.

Keywords: electrochemical electrodes; photopolymers; vat photopolymerization; stereolithography; surface area; binder; electrolyte access; carbonization; cycling stability; qualification

1. Significance and scope

Photopolymerization offers high geometric resolution, but electrochemical function requires more than printing a porous shape. In reported vat-photopolymerized LiCoO₂ cathodes, opacity and viscosity constrain active-material loading and curing, while thermal post-processing changes the mechanical and conductive state [1]. In stereolithography-derived carbon electrodes, printing and carbonization create a second geometry that controls mass transport and pressure drop [2]. ER-264 therefore owns the coupling among architecture, binder state, electrolyte access and cycling stability in photopolymer-enabled electrodes. It does not own complete battery packs, separators, full-cell safety or supercapacitor-specific system qualification.

1.1 Corpus boundary

Published incumbents are retained explicitly: ER-07 covers electrically functional photopolymer resins; ER-36 dispersion control of highly filled suspensions; ER-99 dispersant chemistry for photo-curable resins; ER-156 physical form, dispersion and loading; ER-157 conductive-functional material architectures; and WP-27 the relevant prior working-paper boundary. ER-261 retains printed conductive and resistive elements outside electrochemical operation, ER-262 capacitive sensors, ER-263 dielectric insulation failure, ER-265 integrated battery components, and ER-266 supercapacitor architectures. ER-264 remains electrode-centric: it asks whether the manufactured three-dimensional electrode presents stable electronic and ionic pathways to the intended reaction interface. Forward ER identifiers are boundaries only, not sources.

2. Four coupled states

A useful electrode state description has four layers: designed geometry, as-printed composite, post-processed conductive/active skeleton, and electrolyte-wetted operating electrode. Each transformation can change dimensions, pore size, surface chemistry and connectivity. The electrochemical object should therefore be identified by its final state, not solely by the CAD file or green print. A geometry that is optimal before debinding or carbonization may contract, crack or close pores during conversion.

3. Surface area: geometric versus accessible

High surface area can shorten transport distances and increase reaction sites, but only if the surface is electronically connected and accessible to electrolyte. A narrow pore that cannot wet or exchange electrolyte contributes geometric area without equivalent electrochemical area. Stereolithography-derived porous carbon studies show that pillar geometry, print direction and flow field alter mass-transfer behaviour [2]. Surface-area claims should therefore be paired with a transport measurement or electrochemical diagnostic rather than treated as a stand-alone performance predictor.

4. Binder state and conductive continuity

The photopolymer can remain as an electrochemically inactive binder, be partly removed, or act as a sacrificial precursor for a carbonized structure. These states are not interchangeable. In particle-loaded battery electrodes, excessive polymer can dilute active material and increase electronic resistance, while insufficient binder can compromise green strength or dimensional fidelity. Martinez and co-workers demonstrated this printability-performance trade-off in LiCoO₂ composite photoresins [1]. A qualification programme should therefore report binder fraction and its post-processing fate, not simply active-material percentage.

5. Active material and optical printability

Electrochemically active powders and conductive carbon commonly absorb or scatter curing light and raise viscosity. That coupling can force lower solids loading, longer exposure or alternative precursor strategies. Vat-photopolymerization of NMC electrode material has been demonstrated through a precursor route [3], illustrating that the printed polymer can function as a shape-forming chemical reactor rather than the final electrode matrix. The manufacturing route must therefore be linked explicitly to the final active phase and residual composition.

6. Electrolyte access and wetting

Electrolyte access depends on connected porosity, pore throat size, surface chemistry, trapped gas, tortuosity and the wetting procedure. The electrolyte-wetted fraction of the electrode can evolve during cycling as gas, swelling, deposition or degradation changes pores. Electrochemical impedance, rate response, pressure-drop or mass-transfer measurements can provide complementary evidence, depending on the application. A simple soak time is not proof of complete wetting in a hierarchical structure.

7. Cycling stability and state drift

Cycling stability is a coupled retention problem: active material must remain chemically functional, electronically connected and accessible to ions while the architecture resists cracking, delamination, dissolution and pore blockage. Vat-photopolymerized hard-carbon sodium-ion electrodes show that lattice architecture can improve electrolyte infiltration and retained capacity relative to a dense geometry under the reported conditions [4]. Stereolithography-derived pyrolytic carbon electrodes further show that surface chemistry can be deliberately modified to change electrochemical reaction selectivity [5]. These examples support architecture and surface state as controllable variables, not universal recipes.

8. Non-compensatory qualification matrix

High initial capacity or current density cannot compensate for inaccessible area, unstable contact or rapid drift. The following gates are deliberately non-compensatory.

Gate	Required evidence	Failure meaning
G1 Final geometry	Post-processed dimensions/porosity, not CAD only	Electrode state undefined
G2 Electronic pathway	Resistance/conductivity or equivalent continuity evidence	Active area not electrically usable
G3 Electrolyte access	Wetting/transport evidence under operating electrolyte	Geometric area not electrochemically available
G4 Active/binder state	Composition and binder fate after post-processing	Reaction phase or inactive fraction unbounded
G5 Electrochemical baseline	Defined cell, reference, loading and normalization	Performance not comparable
G6 Cycling retention	Capacity/current/impedance trajectory plus failure inspection	Initial performance not durable

9. Measurement and normalization

Report areal and gravimetric metrics where both are meaningful, together with active-mass loading, geometric footprint, electrode thickness and accessible volume. State whether normalization uses total electrode mass, active-material mass, projected area or electrochemically estimated area. Record current collectors, contact pressure, electrolyte composition, separator, reference/counter electrode, temperature, formation procedure, potential window, current or scan rate and rest periods. Without this context, apparent architecture advantages can reflect loading or test-condition differences rather than transport design.

10. Change control

Changes in resin lot, particle size distribution, filler dispersion, exposure, wash, thermal schedule, carbonization yield, coating or infiltration can alter both geometry and electrochemical state. Requalification should therefore be triggered by mechanism-relevant changes, not only by visible dimensional drift. For sacrificial-binder routes, mass yield and shrinkage are especially important because they connect the printed precursor to the final conductive skeleton.

11. Knowledge boundary

The literature now demonstrates multiple viable photopolymer-enabled electrode routes, including particle-loaded composite resins, precursor conversion, carbonized stereolithography scaffolds and complex gel-polymer architectures [1-7]. It does not establish one optimal porosity, binder fraction, active loading or cycle criterion across chemistries. Performance remains application-specific and cell-dependent. ER-264 therefore supplies an evidence architecture rather than a transferable formulation or geometry recipe.

12. Conclusions

Photopolymer-enabled electrodes are manufacturing-state systems. Their electrochemical value emerges only when designed geometry survives post-processing, electronic pathways remain continuous, electrolyte reaches the intended interface and the coupled state persists during cycling. Surface area by itself is insufficient; binder identity and fate, wettability, transport and retention must be measured. The correct qualification object is the final wetted and cycled electrode, traced back to the printed precursor and its transformations.

References

1. Ana C. Martinez, Ana P. Aranzola, Eva Schiaffino, Eric MacDonald, Alexis Maurel. Additive manufacturing of LiCoO₂ electrodes via vat photopolymerization for lithium ion batteries. *Energy Advances*. 2024;3:1009-1018. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4YA00011K>
2. Maxime van der Heijden, Marit Kroese, Zandrie Borneman, Antoni Fomer-Cuenca. Investigating Mass Transfer Relationships in Stereolithography 3D Printed Electrodes for Redox Flow Batteries. *Advanced Materials Technologies*. 2023;8(18):2300611. <https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.202300611>
3. Ana C. Martinez, Alexis Maurel, Ana P. Aranzola, Sylvie Grugeon, Stéphane Panier, Loïc Dupont, Jose A. Hernandez-Viezcas, Bhargavi Mummareddy, Beth L. Armstrong, Pedro Cortes, Sreeprasad T. Sreenivasan, Eric MacDonald. Additive manufacturing of LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂ battery electrode material via vat photopolymerization precursor approach. *Scientific Reports*. 2022;12:19010. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22444-1>
4. Sina Bakhtar Chavari, Ana C. Martinez, Pedro Cortes, Eric MacDonald, Bharat Yelamanchi, Myeong-Lok Seol, Donald A. Dornbusch, William H. Huddleston, Cadre J. Francis, Carol B. Ochoa Putman, Alexis Maurel. 3D printing of lattice-structured hard carbon negative electrodes for sodium-ion batteries using vat photopolymerization. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*. 2026;32(4):890-902. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-07-2025-0348>
5. Rusen Zou, Babak Rezaei, Stephan Sylvest Keller, Yifeng Zhang. Advancing Microbial Electrochemical H₂O₂ Synthesis by Tailoring the Surface Chemistry of Stereolithography-Derived 3D Pyrolytic Carbon Electrodes. *ACS Environmental Au*. 2024;4(6):344-353. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenvironau.4c00067>
6. Alexis Maurel, Katherine R. Gonzalez, Hugo A. Garcia, Laura C. Merrill, Ana C. Martinez. Vat photopolymerization of gel polymer electrolytes with solvent-dependent performance and complex geometries for Li-ion batteries. *Communications Engineering*. 2026;5:128. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44172-026-00682-9>
7. Sima Lashkari, Antonio Dominguez-Alfaro, David Mecerreyes. Recent advances in VAT photopolymerization additive manufacturing of battery electrodes: towards high-resolution 3D-printed batteries. *Chemical Science*. 2026;17(22):10864-10885. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D6SC01385F>

Copyright

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.