

Temperature Control of Resin Vats and Feed Systems: Viscosity, Kinetics, Gradients and Process Capability

A state-based framework for thermal qualification of vat photopolymerisation

Juan Segurola

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-245 · Engineering Review · Version 0.3 · 21 September 2026

Abstract

Temperature is a process state, not a secondary environmental note, in vat photopolymerisation. It changes viscosity, refill time, species mobility, photopolymerisation kinetics, dark reactions, volatilisation, optical response and the mechanical condition of the machine. A nominal vat setpoint does not establish the temperature of the exposure region, the incoming feed, the return line or the resin adjacent to a recently cured layer.

This review defines a qualification framework for resin-vat and feed-system temperature control. It separates setpoint, sensor reading, local resin temperature and thermal history; maps spatial gradients and transient recovery; couples temperature to rheology, working curves and build duration; and requires independent alarms for over-temperature, unintended polymerisation and material loss. Heating is qualified with the actual vat, fill volume, circulation, cover, platform motion and exposure duty. No universal printing temperature, warm-up time, viscosity target or control-band width is supported. The deliverable is a bounded thermal process window for a declared resin, machine, geometry and maximum build time.

Keywords: vat photopolymerisation; resin temperature; viscosity; cure kinetics; thermal gradients; feed system; process capability; hot lithography

1. Significance and scope

Heating can convert an otherwise unprocessable viscous resin into a refillable liquid, but it can also accelerate thermal polymerisation, evaporation or compositional drift. Cooling can stabilise storage yet increase viscosity and extend refill time. Temperature therefore links material behaviour to machine timing and cannot be qualified from one thermocouple or one static viscosity value.

ER-245 owns control and qualification of resin temperature in vats, reservoirs, feed lines and return paths.

1.1 Corpus boundary

ER-030 retains oxygen inhibition and free-surface cure; ER-035 retains critical exposure and penetration-depth interpretation; ER-036 retains rheology and recoating of highly filled suspensions; ER-071 retains general closed-loop process control; ER-170 and ER-171 retain long-term material behaviour and design values; ER-203 retains radiometric traceability; ER-211 and ER-212 retain formulation-driven rheology; ER-244 retains per-layer refill and exposure readiness; ER-246 retains resin level and hydrostatic pressure; and ER-247 retains continuous-process dead zones and heat. ER-203, ER-211, ER-212, ER-244, ER-246 and ER-247 are planned forward references, not published incumbents, and do not supply authority for this review. ER-245 owns the thermal state supplied to those processes.

2. Define the thermal state

Distinguish commanded setpoint, controller sensor, independent reference sensor, local liquid temperature and time-temperature history. Record sensor type, location, immersion depth, calibration, sampling interval and uncertainty. A chamber-air reading is not a resin reading, and a wall sensor does not prove the temperature at the exposure plane.

For a monitored position i , define the deviation from the declared target as

$$\Delta T_i(t) = T_i(t) - T_{\text{target}}$$

and evaluate maximum spatial spread, temporal overshoot, settling time and drift rather than only the mean.

3. Establish the material-specific safe range

The lower operating boundary is often set by viscosity, crystallisation or refill capability. The upper boundary can be set by volatilisation, degradation, inhibitor consumption or thermally induced polymerisation. Meenakshisundaram, Feller, Chartrain, Long and Williams used variable-temperature rheology, thermogravimetry and isothermal calorimetry to identify safe high-temperature processing conditions for two viscous photopolymers [1]. Their temperatures are case-specific; the transferable lesson is the method.

Qualify the whole intended build duration. A resin that survives a short ramp can still react or lose volatile constituents during a long isothermal hold.

4. Measure viscosity across the qualified range

Report viscosity as a function of temperature, shear rate, pre-shear and rest history. The temperature dependence may be approximated over a bounded interval by

$$\ln(\eta/\eta_{\text{ref}}) = A + B/T$$

where η is viscosity, η_{ref} is a stated reference viscosity in the same units, T is absolute temperature and A and B are fitted constants. This relation is a local engineering model, not proof of one mechanism or safe extrapolation.

High-temperature vat photopolymerisation can reduce viscosity and enable recoating of engineering photopolymers that standard systems cannot process [1]. Separately, Sameni and co-workers found that elevated temperature improved mechanical and dimensional properties and that its effectiveness depended on the relation between process temperature and the diluent network glass-transition temperature [2]. Verify the actual refill and layer-uniformity response rather than adopting a viscosity ceiling from another machine.

5. Re-establish photopolymerisation response

Temperature can alter initiation, propagation, termination, diffusion and oxygen transport. Working curves, conversion and green strength established at one temperature do not automatically transfer to another. Andrzejewska reviewed how viscosity and diffusion affect radical photopolymerisation kinetics [3]. This supports remeasurement, not a universal correction factor.

At each qualified temperature, measure critical exposure, penetration depth, conversion or another relevant cure-state endpoint, then confirm dimensional and mechanical outcomes. ER-035 retains interpretation of the working curve; ER-245 defines the temperature at which it is valid.

6. Map spatial gradients

Instrument centre, corners, surface, exposure plane, bulk depth, vat wall, feed inlet and return outlet where relevant. Challenge minimum and maximum fill, covered and open conditions, circulation on and off, and representative platform positions. A uniform heater power does not guarantee a uniform liquid temperature because heat losses and flow paths differ.

Report the gradient vector and its stability during a build. Average temperature cannot compensate for a cold refill jet or a hot wall region.

7. Characterise warm-up and recovery

Warm-up ends only when all required monitored positions enter and remain within their acceptance bands. Record overshoot and recovery after resin addition, lid opening, platform immersion, pump start, idle periods and repeated exposures.

Use the slowest qualified location, not the controller sensor alone, to release the first exposure. A fixed warm-up time is valid only for the declared fill volume, initial temperature and machine state.

8. Include feed and return systems

Reservoirs, tubes, pumps, filters, valves and nozzles can create heat loss, local hot spots and residence-time distributions. Incoming resin below the vat temperature can produce a persistent plume and shift viscosity near the exposure region. Heated stagnant volumes can age faster than the mixed vat.

Measure inlet temperature and flow, return temperature, line residence time and the recovery after dosing. Qualify minimum flow, normal flow and interruption or restart.

9. Account for process heat

Absorbed optical energy, exothermic polymerisation, motors, electronics, pump work and ambient exchange can alter the thermal field. The relevant challenge is the actual exposure duty cycle and cross-sectional sequence. Compare blank cycles, low-area layers and maximum credible cured area.

Do not infer negligible reaction heat from a small coupon. Large-area layers can change local temperature even when the bulk vat sensor barely moves.

10. Prevent unintended thermal polymerisation

Monitor viscosity drift, exothermic events, gel particles, filter pressure and optical or colour change over the longest planned hot residence. Meenakshisundaram and co-workers showed why ramp measurements must be supplemented by isothermal holds matched to build duration [1]. Define a conservative stop condition and quarantine procedure.

Heating control shall fail safe. Sensor open circuit, stuck relay, pump stoppage or low fill must not permit uncontrolled heating.

11. Control evaporation and composition drift

Temperature can increase loss of reactive diluents, solvents or water and can change inhibitor and oxygen balance. Record mass before and after bounded holds, headspace condition, cover state and replenishment. If composition changes, viscosity and cure tests on fresh resin no longer describe the vat.

Meenakshisundaram and co-workers observed temperature-limited volatilisation in one urethane-acrylate system [1]. Treat this as a mechanism warning, not a transferable temperature limit.

12. Separate control performance from process capability

A controller may hold its sensor tightly while the resin field remains nonuniform. Report both loop metrics and product-relevant metrics. A useful capability statement includes the allowable thermal band, measured worst-case deviation, uncertainty and margin.

Do not report a capability index unless the temperature distribution is stable, approximately appropriate for the chosen statistic and sampled independently across relevant cycles.

13. Validate with representative builds

Challenge maximum duration, fill extremes, large and small cured areas, pauses, replenishment and restart. Compare early, middle and late layers. Measure temperature together with refill time, cure-state response, dimensions and green-part integrity.

Hot-lithography studies show that resin formulation and operating temperature interact [2]. A successful specimen demonstrates one condition, not the complete thermal window.

14. Sensor and controller qualification

Calibrate the controller and an independent reference across the operating range. Check lag, immersion error, self-heating, electromagnetic noise, data loss and sensor replacement. Place alarms outside the normal control band but inside the material safety boundary.

Retain raw time series and controller configuration hashes. A displayed setpoint without traceable data is insufficient evidence.

15. Transfer and change control

Requalify after changes to resin or lot, vat material, fill volume, heater, insulation, sensor, pump, filter, line length, cover, ambient range, exposure source, software, maximum build time or replenishment strategy. Transfer may use matched thermal time constants and dimensionless groups only as screening evidence; printed validation remains required.

16. Non-compensatory qualification matrix

Gate	Required evidence	Unsupported shortcut prevented
Material safety	Isothermal stability and mass-loss evidence	Selecting temperature from viscosity alone
Thermal field	Multi-position calibrated measurements	Treating one sensor as the whole vat
Rheology	Temperature and shear dependent response	Using room-temperature catalogue viscosity
Cure	Working curve or cure-state response at temperature	Assuming exposure transfers unchanged
Feed	Inlet, return and interruption challenges	Ignoring cold or overheated replenishment
Duration	Longest-build thermal history	Qualifying only a short coupon

17. Minimum reporting set

Report resin identity and lot; formulation class and volatile constituents where known; vat, reservoir and line geometry; fill volume; heater and circulation arrangement; sensor identities, locations, calibration and uncertainty; ambient condition; setpoint; warm-up and acceptance rule; spatial and temporal temperature data; overshoot and recovery; temperature-dependent rheology; cure response; mass loss or stability evidence; maximum hot residence; build duty cycle; refill and dimensional results; alarms and interlocks; raw-data and controller hashes; transfer limits; and change triggers.

18. Knowledge boundary

Primary studies support complementary conclusions: high-temperature processing can lower viscosity and extend vat photopolymerisation to otherwise inaccessible materials [1], while formulation-temperature matching can govern the resulting mechanical and dimensional benefits in castable systems [2]. Kinetic literature supports temperature-sensitive, diffusion-coupled cure behaviour [3]. This evidence does not establish a universal vat temperature, viscosity ceiling, warm-up time, gradient allowance or maximum residence time.

19. Limitations

Reference [1] was examined at full-text depth; references [2] and [3] at publisher-abstract depth. The published studies use specific formulations and apparatus and are used for mechanisms and qualification structure, not universal numerical limits. This review provides no proprietary heater design, resin recipe or commercial process setting.

20. Conclusions

Temperature control in vat photopolymerisation is qualified only when the resin field, feed path and complete build history remain inside material-specific safety and process bands. The setpoint is not the state. A defensible process links calibrated spatial measurements to viscosity, cure response, refill and duration, then protects the window with alarms and change control. The deliverable is a bounded thermal capability statement for a declared resin-machine combination.

References

1. Meenakshisundaram V, Feller K, Chartrain N, Long T, Williams C. Characterizing photopolymer resins for high-temperature vat photopolymerization. *Progress in Additive Manufacturing*. 2024;9:2061-2071. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-023-00562-0>
2. Sameni F, Ozkan B, Zarezadeh H, Karmel S, Engstrøm DS, Sabet E. Hot Lithography Vat Photopolymerisation 3D Printing: Vat Temperature vs. Mixture Design. *Polymers*. 2022;14(15):2988. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14152988>
3. Andrzejewska E. Photopolymerization kinetics of multifunctional monomers. *Progress in Polymer Science*. 2001;26(4):605-665. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6700\(01\)00004-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6700(01)00004-1)

Copyright

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.