

Volatile Reactive-Diluent Loss During Handling and Cure: Composition Drift, Emissions, Surface State and Requalification

A mass- and species-balanced framework for photocurable formulations

Juan Seguro

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-219 · Engineering Review · Version 0.2 · 18 September 2026

Abstract

Reactive diluents reduce viscosity and participate in network formation, but some can also leave an uncured or curing formulation by volatilisation. The resulting problem is not captured by total mass loss, total volatile organic compound concentration, viscosity or final conversion alone. A defensible assessment must distinguish loss from the liquid formulation, atmospheric transport, chemical conversion, reaction-driven redistribution and later outgassing from the cured article.

This review defines a measurement and requalification architecture for volatile reactive-diluent loss during storage-like handling, heating, deposition and cure. It combines time-resolved gravimetry with speciated chemical analysis, process-state sampling, surface-versus-bulk measurement where selective loss is plausible, cure kinetics and end-use response. The evidence supports formulation-specific mass and species balances and shows why emissions measurements cannot be converted automatically into resin depletion. It does not establish a universal loss limit, open time, processing temperature, replenishment rule, surface-to-core gradient or exposure-control instruction.

Keywords: reactive diluent; volatility; composition drift; speciated emissions; mass balance; surface state; vat photopolymerisation; requalification

1. Significance and scope

A reactive diluent has two roles that must be kept analytically separate: it modifies the uncured formulation and it becomes a reactant during cure. If it volatilises before incorporation, the remaining resin changes composition. That change can alter viscosity, optical response, conversion history, network structure and final properties. If a volatile compound is detected in air, however, neither its source fraction nor the corresponding depletion of the liquid resin is known without a closed or bounded balance.

ER-219 addresses measurement of volatile reactive-diluent loss and the evidence required to decide whether a handled or processed formulation remains qualified. It does not prescribe an operating limit or a compensatory addition. The relevant question is whether the sampled material, after a declared history, remains equivalent within formulation-specific identity, composition, cure and product-state criteria.

1.1 Corpus boundary

ER-211 retains reactive-diluent identity, loading and architecture selection for viscosity, conversion and network response. ER-032 retains open-vat storage, reuse history and general ageing-state management. ER-046 retains outgassing and vacuum qualification of manufactured parts. ER-091, ER-092 and ER-093 retain, respectively, historical monomer-hazard classification, residual-reactant and impurity hazards, and occupational contact-dermatitis prevention. ER-095 retains photoinitiator migration and substitution. ER-172 retains incoming raw-material identity and lot equivalence, while ER-173 retains batch compounding, homogenisation, filtration and filling controls. ER-176 retains reactive-process safety, exotherm and fire risk; ER-177 retains occupational air and dermal exposure verification. ER-178 retains solvent, wash-water and contaminated-waste management, while ER-179 retains container and storage-excursion qualification. ER-181 retains post-cure process engineering. ER-190 retains controlled undercure and residual-unsaturation decisions in pressure-sensitive adhesives. WP-026 retains commercial processing-auxiliary implementation. ER-219 supplies the component-resolved loss and requalification evidence that these adjacent decisions may consume; it does not replace them.

2. Define the formulation state and material boundary

The test article is not merely a resin name. It is a specified batch, vessel or film geometry, exposed area, fill depth, headspace, atmosphere, temperature and time history. Agitation, recirculation, pauses, transfer steps and sampling positions are part of the state because they change transport.

For a liquid control volume, the total mass change over an interval can be written

$$\Delta m = m_0 - m_t$$

where m_0 and m_t are measured masses at the initial and final times. This quantity includes every net mass transfer across the boundary and cannot by itself identify a reactive diluent. Buoyancy, balance drift, sampling withdrawals, condensation, uptake and fixtures must be corrected or bounded.

3. Volatility and photoreactivity are separate attributes

A low-viscosity monomer may be highly reactive, weakly reactive or effectively unreacted under a particular exposure. Its vapour loss likewise depends on molecular identity and process state. Kury and co-workers measured volatility, viscosity, photoreactivity and cured-polymer properties for salicylate-based reactive diluents, illustrating the need to evaluate these attributes independently [1]. Hammer and co-workers linked urethane-methacrylate diluent structure to cure and coating properties in a different formulation class [7].

Neither study supplies a universal volatility ranking. Thermal screening conditions, neat-monomer data and one coating formulation do not reproduce the surface area, flow, conversion or atmosphere of another process. Candidate selection therefore requires both volatility evidence and reaction/network evidence under the declared use history.

4. Use a component-resolved balance

For species i , a practical interval balance is

$$\{n_{i,0} - n_{i,t} = n_{i,emitted} + n_{i,converted} + n_{i,withdrawn} + r_i\}$$

where $n_{emitted}$ is captured or otherwise quantified transfer to the gas phase, $n_{converted}$ is chemical consumption, $n_{withdrawn}$ is material removed by sampling or deposition, and r_i is the unresolved residual with a reported uncertainty. The terms require compatible units and analytical recovery. Conversion must not be counted as volatilisation, and gas-phase recovery must not be equated to depletion unless the control volume and capture efficiency justify it.

Composition is preferably expressed on a declared basis, for example

$$\{x_i(t) = \frac{n_i(t)}{\sum_j n_j(t)}\}$$

for the quantified liquid-phase species. Changing denominator composition means that a stable concentration of one component can coexist with loss of another. Internal standards, matrix-matched calibration and recovery checks are therefore essential.

5. Total mass loss and total VOC are screening signals

Thermogravimetry or time-resolved mass measurement can reveal loss under a defined thermal history, but total loss does not assign identity. Likewise, a total-VOC response depends on detector calibration and the mixture of species reaching the sampler. Kury and co-workers directly connected reactive-diluent structure with volatility testing [1], whereas Baguley and co-workers identified several methacrylate species in time-resolved emissions from vat photopolymerisation equipment [2]. Miller-Schulze and Williams further resolved emissions across idle, handling and active-print phases [3].

These studies support speciated analysis, not conversion of an air concentration into a resin-loss fraction. A complete assessment pairs gravimetric change with a method such as calibrated chromatography or spectroscopy for the candidate diluent in the remaining resin and, where relevant, in captured emissions.

6. Separate process phases

At minimum, the record should distinguish sealed or baseline state, open handling, thermal equilibration, deposition or circulation, irradiation, post-irradiation residence and cured-part conditioning. A peak after a mechanical event may reflect newly exposed liquid, disturbed headspace or a change in transport rather than a sudden change in intrinsic volatility. Baguley and co-workers observed phase-dependent emissions during and after resin printing [2]. Miller-Schulze and Williams also differentiated operational phases [3].

Väisänen and co-workers measured emissions during additive manufacturing and later chemical outgassing of manufactured resin products [4]. The latter is a different control volume and material state. Cured-part outgassing must not be added to uncured-resin loss without an explicit balance, and its product qualification remains outside ER-219.

7. Control temperature, area, atmosphere and transport

Evaporation from a resin surface depends on temperature, exposed area, gas flow, headspace exchange and mass transport within the liquid. Film thickness, viscosity evolution and surface renewal can change the rate during a test. La Scala and co-workers experimentally varied styrene content, temperature and resin surface-to-volume geometry in vinyl-ester resins and modelled the resulting emission profiles [8]. A result obtained in a small open pan is therefore not an intrinsic formulation constant.

The minimum environmental record comprises specimen and ambient temperature, pressure where relevant, exposed area, liquid depth or film thickness, headspace volume, gas composition and flow, agitation and duration. The analytical capture path and recovery should be declared. Time-integrated mass without this geometry cannot be transferred to another handling or cure configuration.

8. Distinguish evaporation from reaction-driven redistribution

Cure changes both composition and mobility. A local decrease of a species can arise from preferential reaction, diffusion, phase separation or evaporation. Yamamura measured reaction-driven solvent transport in a UV-curable phase-separating coating and separated local transport from evaporation [5]. Because that study used a nonreactive solvent in a phase-separating system, it supplies method architecture rather than proof of reactive-diluent depletion.

ER-219 therefore does not assert a universal surface-to-core gradient. A gradient is a testable formulation- and history-specific possibility. Assigning it to volatilisation requires concurrent evidence: a spatial composition measurement, a credible gas-phase or total-loss balance, and controls for conversion and reaction-driven transport.

9. Measure surface and bulk separately when warranted

Selective loss is most plausible when a formulation has a free surface, long residence time, elevated temperature or repeated surface renewal. Under those conditions, sampling only a homogenised bulk can conceal a transient surface state; sampling only the surface can exaggerate its importance to the whole reservoir.

Depth- or position-resolved spectroscopy, microextraction, sectioning of a quenched film or another validated method may be used. The spatial resolution, sampling perturbation and conversion state must be reported. Surface tack, contact angle or appearance can motivate analysis but cannot by themselves identify diluent depletion. In the absence of direct spatial evidence, the correct conclusion is that a gradient was not established.

10. Relate composition to rheology without using viscosity as an assay

Loss of a low-viscosity component can increase viscosity, but temperature, conversion, association, filler settling, moisture uptake and shear history can produce similar changes. Gziut and co-workers showed that methacrylate and vinyl monomer identity affected bulk photopolymerisation and adhesive properties, while also treating volatile-monomer handling as an experimental concern [6]. Hammer and co-workers likewise connected diluent identity with cure and final coating response [7].

Rheology is therefore a sensitive state indicator but not a unique composition measurement. Requalification should pair a declared rheological method with direct identity/composition evidence. Agreement in one viscosity value does not prove restoration of the original formulation.

11. Requalify cure response independently

A compositionally changed resin can preserve an endpoint conversion while changing rate, induction, shrinkage or network structure. Conversely, a small analytical composition change may be immaterial to the declared application. Cure requalification should measure at least one time-resolved reaction endpoint under a controlled optical and thermal history and one product-state endpoint appropriate to the intended use.

For a validated spectral band, conversion may be expressed as

$$\alpha(t) = 1 - \frac{A(t)/A_{\text{ref}}(t)}{A(0)/A_{\text{ref}}(0)}$$

where the reference must remain valid as volatile composition changes. Kury, Gziut and Hammer and their co-workers collectively support coupling diluent identity and composition with photoreactivity and material response [1,6,7]. Their numerical results remain specific to their formulations and methods.

12. Requalification decision architecture

The post-history formulation is compared with a qualified reference through four independent gates:

Gate	Required evidence	Failure that it detects
Identity and composition	Speciated liquid-phase analysis with recovery and uncertainty	Selective loss or unrecognised substitution
Mass/species balance	Corrected gravimetry plus captured or bounded transfer terms	Unclosed loss or unsupported attribution
Process response	Temperature-controlled rheology and time-resolved cure measurement	Changed handling or reaction trajectory
Product state	Declared network or end-use endpoint	Material consequence not visible in the liquid

Passing one gate cannot waive another. Acceptance limits belong to the specific formulation, analytical capability and intended use. A failed or unclosed balance does not justify replenishment from mass or viscosity alone; disposition and any formulation adjustment belong to controlled manufacturing and change-control procedures.

13. Minimum reporting set

Report resin batch and full relevant composition; reactive-diluent identity and analytical standard; initial and final masses; sampling corrections; vessel, exposed area, depth, headspace and atmosphere; temperature, flow, agitation and time; each process phase; gas- and liquid-phase sampling locations; calibration range, recovery, blanks, detection limits and uncertainty; component-resolved concentrations; conversion method and optical history; rheological history; surface and bulk sampling when used; cured-state endpoint; replicates; balance closure; and formulation-specific acceptance criteria.

Every numerical source comparison must retain composition, geometry, atmosphere, temperature, time, process phase and method. Total VOC, air concentration, gravimetric loss, liquid depletion and cured-part outgassing must be labelled as different measurands.

14. Knowledge boundary

The primary evidence establishes that reactive-diluent volatility can accompany changing resin composition [1]; that emitted species and emission timing can be measured during vat-photopolymerisation operations [2,3]; that process emissions and later cured-part outgassing are separate states [4]; that local composition, cure response and final properties require independent measurements [5–7]; and that geometry, temperature and initial reactive-diluent content can change emission profiles in a defined vinyl-ester/styrene system [8]. It does not establish a universal gradient, loss fraction, safe exposure, open time, temperature limit, storage duration, replenishment quantity or performance threshold.

ER-219 is an evidence architecture for composition-drift diagnosis and requalification. Reactive-process safety, exotherm and fire risk remain with ER-176; air and dermal exposure verification, barrier performance and control confirmation remain with ER-177. Storage hardware, container selection, manufacturing adjustment and change approval remain with their respective reviews and work procedures.

15. Limitations

The sources span synthesized model diluents, commercial vat resins, vinyl-ester/styrene systems, coatings, adhesives and outgassing studies. Several emissions studies use proprietary formulations whose full liquid composition is unavailable. Six sources were inspected at full-text depth. Two were not: the commercial-resin emissions study was inspected through its publisher abstract and data record [3], and the vinyl-ester/styrene study through its publisher abstract [8]. The local-transport study concerns a nonreactive solvent and cannot prove reactive-diluent behaviour. The two abstract-depth sources support only the propositions exposed by those records. No reviewed source closes a universal liquid–gas species balance across all handling and cure phases. Surface depletion is therefore a conditional measurement question, not a general conclusion.

16. Conclusions

Volatile reactive-diluent loss is demonstrated only when material boundaries, process phases and chemical identities are resolved. Total mass loss and total VOC are useful screening signals but do not identify the lost formulation component. Emissions, conversion, redistribution and cured-part outgassing are distinct terms. Where selective loss is plausible, surface and bulk states require separate measurement; no gradient should be inferred from indirect appearance or property changes. Requalification requires identity/composition, mass/species balance, process response and product-state evidence, with formulation-specific criteria and no automatic replenishment.

References

- 1 Kury M, Ehrmann K, Harakaly GA, Gorsche C, Liska R. Low volatile monofunctional reactive diluents for radiation curable formulations. *Journal of Polymer Science*. 2021;59:2154–2169. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pol.20210171>
- 2 Baguley DA, Bard D, Evans GS, Monks PS, Cordell RL. An experimental study of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from a resin 3D printer to assess exposure and exposure mitigation. *ACS Chemical Health & Safety*. 2026;33:98–109. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chas.5c00167>
- 3 Miller-Schulze JP, Williams ND. Volatile organic chemical emissions from standard and “eco” resins for vat photopolymerization additive manufacturing (“3D”) printers and potential mitigation strategies. *ACS Chemical Health & Safety*. 2025;32:175–185. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chas.4c00087>
- 4 Väisänen A, Alonen L, Ylönen S, Hyttinen M. Organic compound and particle emissions of additive manufacturing with photopolymer resins and chemical outgassing of manufactured resin products. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A*. 2022;85:198–216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2021.1998814>
- 5 Yamamura M. Reaction-driven solvent transport in UV-curable phase-separating coatings. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research*. 2023;20:15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11998-022-00661-7>
- 6 Gziut K, Kowalczyk A, Schmidt B, Idzik TJ, Sośnicki JG. Influence of methacrylate and vinyl monomers on radical bulk photopolymerization process and properties of epoxy-acrylate structural adhesives. *Polymers*. 2023;15:926. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15040926>
- 7 Hammer TJ, Shokouhi Mehr HM, Pugh C, Soucek MD. Urethane methacrylate reactive diluents for UV-curable polyester powder coatings. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research*. 2021;18:333–348. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11998-020-00391-8>
- 8 La Scala JJ, Ulven CA, Orlicki JA, Jain R, Palmese GR, Vaidya UK, Sands JM. Emission modeling of styrene from vinyl ester resins. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*. 2007;9:265–279. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-006-0076-1>

Copyright

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.