

Oligomer Molecular Architecture in Photocurable Resins

Backbone, functionality, branching, viscosity and network performance

Juan Seguro

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

© 2026 Juan Seguro. All Rights Reserved.

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

ER-212 · Engineering Review · Version 0.2 · 17 September 2026

Abstract

Photocurable oligomers are commonly described by a resin family and a nominal functionality, yet those labels do not specify the molecular population that enters the formulation. Backbone chemistry, soft- and hard-segment length, molar-mass distribution, branching, end-group conversion, residual starting materials and hydrogen-bonding capacity can all influence liquid viscosity, compatibility, cure mobility and network performance. Synthesis order and stoichiometry may also change the mixture even when the same reagents are used.

This review develops an engineering framework for connecting oligomer molecular architecture to formulation and cured-state evidence. It treats a commercial oligomer as a distribution rather than an ideal molecule, distinguishes number-average functionality from accessible functionality, and separates liquid-state association from covalent network structure. Direct urethane-acrylate studies show that molecular composition, functionality and chemical structure can change viscosity, reactivity and mechanical response, while low-viscosity epoxy-acrylate and reactive-oligomer studies demonstrate additional routes to tune processability and network behaviour. These results remain chemistry and formulation specific. The central recommendation is to qualify oligomers through orthogonal identity and distribution measurements, controlled formulation comparisons and state-resolved cure and mechanical tests. Architecture is a causal hypothesis that must be verified through the whole material-process-specimen chain.

Keywords: photocurable oligomer; molecular architecture; backbone; functionality; branching; molar-mass distribution; viscosity; network topology; urethane acrylate; qualification

1. Significance and scope

Oligomers carry much of the segmental chemistry that governs the liquid formulation and cured network. They can provide flexibility, rigidity, polarity, chemical resistance or physical association, but their relatively high molar mass and structural distribution complicate measurement. A label such as urethane acrylate, polyester acrylate or epoxy acrylate identifies a broad family, not an interchangeable material.

This review covers oligomer architecture as an input to formulation and network performance. It does not prescribe a synthesis recipe, reveal proprietary composition or rank suppliers.

1.1 Corpus boundary

ER-212 owns oligomer molecular architecture, including backbone, molar-mass distribution, functionality, branching and their coupled influence on liquid and cured response. ER-011 owns molecular design of bio-based photoresins. ER-061 owns gelation, vitrification and mobility arrest. ER-068 owns general toughening mechanisms in vat-photopolymerised thermosets. ER-101 owns elastomeric and rubber-like network design. ER-172 owns incoming raw-material identity, functionality distribution, impurities and lot equivalence. ER-182 owns network-state metrology. ER-211 owns reactive-diluent selection. ER-214 owns chain-transfer agents and molecular-weight control during network formation. ER-212 uses those measurements and mechanisms but does not absorb their qualification boundaries.

2. The oligomer is a population

An oligomer product can contain intended chains, chain-extended species, cyclic or branched products, residual monomer, low-molar-mass adducts and incompletely end-capped molecules. The population depends on stoichiometry, reaction sequence, catalyst, residence time and purification. One idealised structural drawing cannot represent every component.

Khudyakov and co-workers prepared urethane-acrylate oligomers from the same reagent families with different ratios and orders of addition. Their full analysis showed mixtures of identifiable species and linked these distributions to liquid and cured properties [1]. The result supports distribution-aware qualification, not a universal urethane-acrylate model.

3. Backbone chemistry

Backbone identity sets the available segmental motions, polarity, cohesive interactions and potential degradation pathways. Polyether, polyester, polycarbonate, hydrocarbon, silicone-like, epoxy-derived and urethane-containing architectures may differ in water affinity, hydrolytic stability, glass-transition behaviour and compatibility. These family-level tendencies require confirmation in the complete formulation.

Backbone comparisons should control molar mass, functionality, diluent, conversion and post-cure as far as practicable. Otherwise a measured property cannot be attributed to backbone chemistry alone. Recent urethane-acrylate work directly demonstrates that substituent structure and functional architecture can jointly tune viscosity, cure and mechanical response within one material series [2].

4. Molar mass and distribution

Report number-average and mass-average molar masses with the method, calibration and detector assumptions. Dispersity is

$$\bar{D} = \frac{M_w}{M_n}$$

but neither M_n , M_w nor $\bar{D}$ identifies chemical functionality by itself. Size-exclusion chromatography can separate hydrodynamic size populations, while mass spectrometry and spectroscopy can identify or quantify selected structures. Orthogonal methods are needed when calibration standards differ strongly from the analyte.

Higher molar mass often raises viscosity, but architecture, temperature, hydrogen bonding and dilution can dominate. Li and co-workers demonstrated one low-viscosity epoxy-acrylate route and showed that oligomer content affected cure and thermal properties in that particular formulation [3]. The reported viscosity is not a general threshold for three-dimensional printing.

5. Functionality and end-group state

For a molecular population with counts N_i and reactive groups f_i , a number-average nominal functionality may be written as

$$\bar{f}_n = \frac{\sum_i N_i f_i}{\sum_i N_i}$$

This descriptor assumes the species and group counts are known. It still differs from accessible functionality during cure because groups may be sterically shielded, unequally reactive, consumed in side reactions or trapped after vitrification.

Quantify end-group conversion during synthesis, residual hydroxyl or isocyanate where relevant, unsaturation and low-molar-mass reactive species. Nominal supplier functionality should be treated as a specification input, not a direct measurement of network junction density.

6. Branching and topology before cure

Linear, star, comb, dendritic and hyperbranched oligomers can place reactive groups at different distances and local densities. Branching can reduce chain entanglement in one molar-mass range yet increase functionality and intermolecular association. The effect on viscosity is therefore not monotonic across chemistry families.

Useful evidence includes absolute or relative molar-mass distribution, intrinsic-viscosity or light-scattering information where suitable, spectroscopic branch markers and a synthesis mass balance. Claims of a defined topology should not rest only on feed stoichiometry.

7. Viscosity and physical association

Liquid viscosity reflects size, shape, concentration, temperature and physical interactions. Urethane and hydroxyl groups can form associations that increase viscosity and may change with temperature or diluent. Report the complete rheological condition rather than a catalogue value.

The non-isocyanate urethane-acrylate study by Zhang and co-workers identified a mixture of products and associated hydrogen-bond-capable side groups with mechanical response in its cured systems [4]. This supports testing association and composition together. It does not establish that adding urethane groups will improve every resin.

8. Cure reactivity, gelation and mobility

Oligomer architecture affects the concentration and mobility of reactive groups. Higher functionality can advance gelation, while high viscosity can restrict diffusion and mixing. Photoinitiator absorption, light transport, temperature and oxygen remain co-determinants.

Measure conversion versus time and position under the intended exposure. Report the oligomer fraction, reactive-diluent identity and initiator system. ER-061 retains the detailed gelation and vitrification mechanisms. ER-212 asks whether a proposed architectural change remains supported after cure conditions and final conversion are matched.

9. From architecture to network topology

The cured network does not reproduce the oligomer drawing directly. Network defects include loops, dangling chains, unreacted groups, soluble species and heterogeneous regions. Effective junction density is an emergent property.

For an ideal rubbery network under restrictive assumptions, an effective network-chain density may be estimated from storage modulus as

$$\nu_e \approx \frac{E'}{3RT}$$

where E' is measured in an appropriate rubbery regime, R is the gas constant and T is absolute temperature. This estimate requires a homogeneous, isotropic network and should not be applied across glassy transitions, filled systems or strong physical associations without justification.

10. Mechanical and thermomechanical performance

Stiffness, strength, elongation, fracture response, damping and glass-transition behaviour are not controlled by functionality alone. Backbone flexibility, physical association, phase morphology, conversion, defects and specimen state contribute. Khudyakov and co-workers found additive contributions from multiple oligomeric species in their systems [1]. Rudenko and co-workers reported functionality- and structure-dependent viscosity, reactivity and mechanical properties within a defined urethane-acrylate series [2].

Use matched conversion and conditioning when comparing architecture. Report stress-strain curves and failure modes, not only peak values. A rise in modulus may accompany reduced elongation or toughness. ER-068 retains general toughening-mechanism ownership.

11. Coupling to reactive diluent and synthesis history

Reactive diluent can mask or amplify oligomer effects by changing viscosity, compatibility, comonomer sequence and network density. Compare neat oligomer evidence, where feasible, with formulation-level evidence. ER-211 retains the diluent-selection decision.

Reactive oligomers made through catalytic chain-transfer routes have been used to alter vat-photopolymer network formation and mechanical properties [5]. Such routes demonstrate that oligomer architecture can be deliberately modified, but chain-transfer chemistry and molecular-weight-control mechanisms remain within ER-214. Process history must also be preserved because the same feed components can yield different distributions [1].

12. Interpretation matrix

Observation	Supported interpretation	Required follow-up	Unsupported leap
Higher SEC molar mass	Larger hydrodynamic-size response under that calibration	Orthogonal identity and viscosity tests	Higher functionality
Higher nominal functionality	More declared reactive groups per nominal molecule	End-group analysis, conversion and gel evidence	Higher effective crosslink density by definition
Higher viscosity	Greater flow resistance at the stated condition	Temperature sweep, composition and association checks	More branching or higher molar mass alone
Higher rubbery modulus	Changed effective network response in that state	Conversion, swelling, fillers and physical-association review	Exact junction density without model validation
Higher elongation	Greater strain to failure in that specimen state	Strength, fracture, conditioning and ageing	Greater toughness in every geometry
Lot-to-lot property shift	Material or process state changed	Identity, distribution, impurity and cure comparison	Oligomer backbone change without evidence

13. Minimum reporting set

Report oligomer family and available structural description; supplier and lot; synthesis route or declared process history where available; solids and residual volatile content; molar-mass method, calibration and distribution; end-group and functionality method; residual reactants, catalyst and inhibitor; water and acid or hydroxyl values where relevant; branching evidence; formulation composition and mixing order; rheology with temperature and shear condition; exposure spectrum, irradiance, time and atmosphere; conversion and gel measurements; post-cure and conditioning; dynamic-mechanical protocol; tensile or fracture specimen geometry, orientation and rate; replicate count, uncertainty and statistical treatment; and the proposed causal link between architecture and response.

Where exact composition is proprietary, report enough bounded descriptors to make the comparison auditable. A family name plus one viscosity value is insufficient for transfer.

14. Knowledge boundary

Direct studies establish that oligomer synthesis, composition, functionality and chemical structure can influence viscosity, cure behaviour and mechanical response. They do not establish a universal monotonic relation between nominal functionality, branching or molar mass and network performance. Commercial oligomers are often incompletely resolved mixtures, and apparently similar products can differ in distribution, end groups and residuals. Architecture-performance claims therefore remain conditional on the analytical method, complete formulation, exposure, conversion, post-cure and specimen state.

15. Limitations

This review emphasises engineering qualification rather than an exhaustive taxonomy of oligomer synthesis. Much of the direct evidence concerns urethane acrylates and radical curing, so transfer to cationic, anionic, hybrid or step-growth systems requires chemistry-specific validation. SEC calibration can bias molar-mass estimates, spectroscopy may average multiple species and mechanical tests cannot uniquely reconstruct topology. Proprietary products can prevent full mass balance. The ideal-network equation is included only as a bounded interpretation aid.

16. Conclusions

Oligomer selection should begin with a distribution-aware identity, not an idealised structure or family label. Backbone, molar mass, dispersity, functionality, end-group state, branching, residuals and physical association must be connected to rheology, cure and final network evidence. Synthesis sequence and reactive-diluent coupling can change outcomes even when nominal ingredients appear similar. The efficient qualification route uses orthogonal measurements to eliminate poorly defined candidates, then compares the remaining oligomers in a controlled formulation and matched cured state. Molecular architecture is valuable when it produces a testable causal model; it is not a substitute for material-state evidence.

References

- 1 Khudyakov IV, Swiderski KW, Greer RW. Structure-property relations in UV-curable urethane acrylate oligomers. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 2006;99:489-494. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.22275>

- 2 Rudenko YG, Bazhanov DA, Khasbiullin RR, et al. UV curable urethane acrylates for 3D printing: the role of functionality and chemical structure in tuning viscosity, reactivity and mechanical properties. *Progress in Additive Manufacturing*. 2026;11:6521-6537. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-026-01741-5>
- 3 Li J, Cui Y, Qin K, et al. Synthesis and properties of a low-viscosity UV-curable oligomer for three-dimensional printing. *Polymer Bulletin*. 2016;73:571-585. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-015-1507-0>
- 4 Zhang X, Zan X, Yin J, Wang J. Non-isocyanate urethane acrylate derived from isophorone diamine: synthesis, characterization and its application in 3D printing. *Molecules*. 2024;29:2639. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29112639>
- 5 Lee WH, Wan Z, Shegiwal A, Haddleton D. Vat photopolymerization using catalytic chain transfer polymerization (CCTP) derived reactive oligomers to influence mechanical properties. *Polymer Chemistry*. 2024;15:2959-2969. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4PY00482E>

Copyright

© 2026 Juan Segurola. All Rights Reserved.