

Oxygen Inhibition and Photon Competition in Thin Pigmented Radiation-Curable Films: Why Surface Cure Governs Graphic Ink Performance

Juan Segurola

3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L.

ER-196 | *Engineering Review* | Version 0.3 | 11 September 2026

Correspondence: info@3Dresyns.com · www.3Dresyns.com

Statement of Significance

A thin pigmented UV-curable ink can fail at the air interface even when the layer beneath has polymerised substantially. Oxygen continuously competes for radical species at the free surface, while pigment and photoinitiator compete for the incident photons that create those radicals. The practical consequence is that nominal lamp dose, bulk conversion, hardness or apparent dryness cannot be used interchangeably. Graphic-ink qualification must explicitly resolve the surface state, the through-film state and the spectral-optical state that couples them.

Abstract

Free-radical radiation curing of pigmented graphic films is controlled by two coupled competitions. At the air-film boundary, molecular oxygen quenches initiating and propagating radicals and can maintain a lower-conversion surface layer. Through the film, pigments, photoinitiators and other absorbers or scatterers redistribute the incident radiation, so the radical-generation profile is not determined by incident dose alone. Direct depth-resolved studies show that oxygen inhibition is coupled to photoinitiator content, irradiance, viscosity and formulation chemistry, while light absorption and pigmentation can create a distinct conversion gradient through the film [1-5]. Direct UV-ink studies additionally show that pigment-polymer interactions alter rheology and printability, but those transfer phenomena are treated here only as upstream state variables, not as the subject of the review [6,7]. This review defines a qualification architecture in which surface conversion and tack/blocking/rub response are measured separately from through-film conversion and adhesion. It rejects universal photoinitiator, pigment, dose, wavelength or inerting recipes and treats every mitigation strategy as formulation-, spectrum- and geometry-specific.

Keywords: oxygen inhibition; UV curing; pigmented inks; surface cure; photoinitiator; photon competition; light attenuation; graphic inks; conversion gradient; radiation curing

1. Scope, terminology and ownership

ER-196 owns the coupled surface-cure problem in thin pigmented radiation-curable graphic films: oxygen transport and radical quenching at the free surface, spectral competition between pigment and photoinitiator, depth-dependent radiation deposition, and the resulting divergence between surface cure and through-film cure. The article applies to deposited graphic ink and analogous thin pigmented films after laydown. It does not own flexographic plate mechanics (ER-025), inkjet droplet physics (ER-028), colour/appearance metrology (ER-078), generic photocuring pathway taxonomy (ER-084), dispersant chemistry (ER-099), thick finite-volume cure (ER-185), or cure-shrinkage stress at coating-substrate interfaces (ER-195).

The controlling distinction is between *surface cure* and *through-film cure*. Surface cure is the state in the near-air region that governs tack, blocking, set-off, rub response and susceptibility to surface transfer. Through-film cure concerns the conversion and network state through the deposited thickness, including the substrate-side region relevant to film integrity and adhesion. Neither state is established by nominal incident dose alone.

2. Thin films make oxygen a boundary condition, not a trace impurity

Molecular oxygen reacts with initiating and propagating radical species and can delay or suppress free-radical photopolymerisation at an air-exposed surface. Confocal Raman depth profiling has shown that photoinitiator content, irradiance and formulation viscosity alter the oxygen-affected conversion profile [1]. Pierrel and co-workers independently showed that light absorption and oxygen inhibition can produce different sources of conversion heterogeneity through a cured layer [2]. Monomer chemistry also changes oxygen sensitivity, so oxygen response

cannot be assigned from atmosphere alone [3]. Kinetic modelling of acrylate imprint photopolymerisation likewise treats oxygen as an explicit inhibitor rather than a negligible impurity [9].

For qualification, atmosphere therefore belongs in the process definition. “Cured in air” is still incomplete unless the actual geometry, film thickness, delay before irradiation and relevant airflow or inerting condition are controlled. Conversely, an inert atmosphere is not a universal requirement. If the formulation and exposure create radicals faster than oxygen is supplied to the critical near-surface region, acceptable surface cure may be achieved in air. The acceptance decision must be measured, not inferred from atmosphere label.

3. Photon competition starts before radical chemistry

A photoinitiator can create radicals only from photons it absorbs in a spectral region that leads to productive initiation. In a pigmented film, the same incident spectral power can also be absorbed or scattered by pigment, binder chromophores, additives, substrate and photoproducts. Scherzer directly measured depth-dependent conversion in acrylate coatings and showed self-screening by a strongly absorbing photoinitiator, filtering by UV absorber and pigmentation effects in white-pigmented systems [4].

The useful engineering variable is therefore not “UV dose” in isolation. It is the wavelength-resolved radiation field delivered to a formulation with a declared absorption/scattering state. Two sources with equal integrated radiant exposure can produce different radical profiles if their spectra overlap differently with the initiator and pigment. A change of pigment grade, particle state or photoinitiator package is consequently a photochemical process change even when displayed lamp power is unchanged.

4. High surface absorption can solve one problem and create another

Increasing the fraction of incident radiation absorbed near the top of a film can increase local radical generation and help consume oxygen. The same optical condition can reduce photons reaching deeper regions. Scherzer's depth profiles make this trade-off explicit: strongly absorbing initiator and pigmentation can produce conversion gradients [4]. A formulation optimised only for a non-tacky top surface may therefore conceal insufficient substrate-side conversion.

This is why “more photoinitiator” is not a monotonic cure rule. The identity, extinction spectrum, concentration and photobleaching behaviour of the initiator must be considered together with film thickness and pigmentation. The target is a bounded state in which the surface meets its acceptance criteria while the full thickness also meets its conversion, cohesion and adhesion requirements.

5. Pigment changes both optics and local formulation state

Pigment affects the radiation field through absorption, scattering and refractive-index contrast, but its role is not purely optical. Otsubo, Amari and Watanabe found that a UV ink containing Brilliant Carmine 6 B showed a much larger air-atmosphere shift in the exposure required for the onset of viscosity increase than its vehicle, and suggested that the pigment may enhance oxygen inhibition in that system [5]. Jeong and Koseki reported that carbon-black-containing UV flexographic inks can develop transient rheological structure [6]. In their companion study, they attributed the behaviour to particle-chain interactions while also identifying polymer bridges between adjacent particles as a possible alternative contribution [7].

These findings prohibit a generic “pigment loading correction factor.” Pigment chemistry, surface treatment, aggregate state, size distribution, dispersion quality and concentration can affect optical attenuation, viscosity and radical transport simultaneously. ER-099 retains ownership of dispersant chemistry; ER-196 treats dispersion state only as an input that changes the surface/depth cure problem.

6. Film thickness changes which failure mode dominates

Very thin films have a high surface-to-volume ratio, so an oxygen-affected region can occupy a substantial fraction of the functional thickness. As thickness increases, the relative importance of surface inhibition and depth attenuation changes rather than following one universal trend. Direct conversion-depth studies separate the oxygen-affected surface region from the penetration limits created by absorbing constituents [1,2,4].

Thickness must therefore be reported as a measured deposited-film state, not only as an applicator setting, anilox nominal volume or press target. If cure qualification is transferred to a different laydown, the oxygen balance and optical path change together.

7. Surface cure is a functional state, not a finger-touch observation

“Tack-free” can be operationally useful but is not a chemical definition. A film may feel dry while retaining a lower-conversion surface region, and a tacky film may reflect formulation design rather than only cure failure. Oxygen-inhibition studies show that a tacky surface can accompany depth-dependent conversion heterogeneity, so functional surface acceptance and chemical conversion should be treated as separate measurands [1].

For graphic films, a surface-cure panel should be selected from the actual failure risks: set-off or blocking, rub or solvent rub, tape transfer, scratch/mar, surface conversion, coefficient of friction, or another validated application-specific test. A pass in one test does not automatically establish chemical conversion, migration control, adhesion or long-term durability.

8. Through-film cure must be demonstrated independently

Through-film cure asks whether sufficient reaction occurred throughout the deposited layer, particularly at the substrate-side region. Surface hardness cannot answer this question. Depth-resolved FTIR-ATR data show that strongly absorbing initiator, UV absorber and pigmentation can create conversion gradients [4]. Separate work on layered acrylate photopolymers shows that oxygen inhibition at the exposed surface and light-absorption-limited conversion with depth are distinct sources of heterogeneity [2]. UV/EB comparison further shows that oxygen inhibition is a characteristic limitation of EB polymerisation, whereas limited depth of penetration is a characteristic limitation of photopolymerisation; hybrid processing was evaluated as a way to address these different weaknesses while retaining depth of cure in pigmented samples [10].

Where the film-substrate interface is load bearing, adhesion tests should be interpreted alongside conversion and failure-location evidence. ER-195 owns cure-shrinkage stress and substrate-modulus mismatch; ER-196 stops at establishing whether the deposited pigmented film received adequate photochemical cure through its thickness.

9. Spectral matching is a system property

A useful initiator must absorb where the source emits and must generate radicals efficiently in the formulation. But maximum absorbance at the source peak is not automatically optimal because the initiator itself can screen deeper layers. Pigments may remove the same wavelengths or redirect them by scattering. A broad-band mercury source, a narrow-band LED and a different LED wavelength can therefore produce distinct depth profiles at the same nominal radiant exposure.

The qualification record should include source type, relevant spectral distribution or verified band, irradiance at the process plane, radiant exposure or residence time, and the measurement geometry. Source ageing, contamination, reflector state and web-to-source distance can change delivered radiation without a change in nominal setting.

10. Photoinitiator concentration has two competing roles

Higher initiator concentration can raise surface conversion and reduce the thickness of an oxygen-affected layer in some systems [1]. It can also increase self-screening and reduce penetration when the initiator is strongly absorbing [4]. The direction of the net effect depends on initiator spectrum, quantum efficiency, formulation reactivity, pigmentation, thickness and source spectrum.

Accordingly, photoinitiator concentration belongs in a formulation-specific design space. ER-196 does not prescribe a universal loading or a preferred initiator family. Any package selected to improve surface cure must be requalified for through-film conversion, colour/appearance effects where relevant, residuals/migration where applicable, and ageing.

11. Inerting is powerful but must be qualified as delivered atmosphere

Reducing oxygen availability at the surface can materially alter acrylate conversion, but the required mitigation depends on formulation and process. Depth-resolved work under air demonstrates the oxygen-affected layer directly [1], while formulation studies show that monomer chemistry can itself change oxygen sensitivity [3]. These observations establish the principle that oxygen boundary control matters without making any particular inert gas or oxygen setpoint universally correct.

For an industrial web or sheet process, the relevant variable is the oxygen condition actually present at the curing interface during exposure. Hood geometry, web speed, gas flow, leakage and entrainment can create local departures from a nominal chamber specification. If inerting is a critical control, acceptance should be tied to measured atmosphere and film response rather than cylinder pressure or flow-controller setpoint alone.

12. Chemical mitigation strategies are formulation changes

Hydrogen donors, amines, thiols and other oxygen-tolerant chemistries can reduce inhibition in selected systems. Ceramic-filled acrylate work demonstrates that additives can change air-cure behaviour substantially [8]. Such strategies also change reaction pathways, network development, residual species and potentially odour, migration or ageing.

A mitigation additive is therefore not a process-only correction. It triggers formulation change control and must be tested against the same surface and through-film endpoints as the baseline formulation. ER-084 retains detailed mechanistic pathway ownership; ER-196 uses the chemistry only insofar as it alters the film's oxygen tolerance and cure profile.

13. Pigmented-film qualification requires paired measurands

No single cure metric closes the decision. The minimum architecture pairs a surface-sensitive endpoint with a depth or substrate-side endpoint, while also recording the radiation and formulation states that explain transferability.

Decision question	Minimum useful evidence	Invalid shortcut
Is the air-side surface sufficiently cured?	Surface conversion and/or validated tack, blocking or rub endpoint	Nominal UV dose alone
Is the full film sufficiently cured?	Depth-sensitive conversion or validated through-film response	Surface hardness alone
Is the optical state transferable?	Pigment identity/state, thickness and source spectrum/band	Same colour name or same lamp power
Is oxygen control transferable?	Atmosphere at exposure plus film response	Gas-flow setpoint alone
Is adhesion adequate?	Adhesion with failure-location interpretation	Tack-free surface

14. Process-window mapping must separate knobs from state variables

Press settings are manipulated variables, not proof of material state. Web speed, lamp power, number of lamps, LED current, inert-gas flow and coating-weight setting should be mapped to measured irradiance/exposure, deposited thickness, surface response and through-film response. The mapping is valid only over the formulation, pigment, substrate and equipment domain that was actually tested.

A robust experiment varies enough factors to expose the surface-versus-depth trade-off. For example, increasing initiator concentration and irradiance may improve the surface while increasing self-screening; changing wavelength may improve penetration but reduce radical generation at the surface. The decision surface is multidimensional and should not be collapsed into one “minimum dose” number.

15. Graphic-ink failure modes belong to different layers of evidence

Observation	Plausible cure-state contribution	Evidence needed before attribution
Tacky or blocking surface	Oxygen-inhibited near-surface conversion	Surface conversion plus atmosphere/exposure record
Good surface, weak adhesion	Substrate-side undercure or separate interface mechanism	Depth conversion plus adhesion failure location
Colour-dependent cure difference	Pigment spectral absorption/scattering or dispersion-state change	Spectral/optical comparison and cure profile
Rub failure	Inadequate surface network state, but also formulation or substrate effects	Rub result plus conversion and comparator controls
Set-off after apparently dry cure	Residual low-conversion surface, transfer mechanics or insufficient network	Surface-sensitive cure and transfer test

These are diagnostic hypotheses, not one-to-one assignments. ER-184 owns general diagnostic inference under uncertainty. ER-196 provides only the cure-specific discriminators required for thin pigmented films.

16. Change control and transfer

A cure window should be reconsidered after any change that can alter the radical or radiation balance: pigment grade or concentration, dispersion process, photoinitiator identity or concentration, oligomer/monomer balance, oxygen-tolerance additive, film thickness, substrate optical response, source wavelength, reflector or optic condition, web speed, atmosphere, or significant temperature/viscosity shift.

Transfer is strongest when both surface and depth measurands are reproduced. A nominally identical exposure on another press is not equivalent if spectrum, irradiance distribution, atmosphere or thermal state differs. Conversely, a different set of machine settings may be acceptable if it demonstrably recreates the required material state.

17. Reporting rules and decision boundaries

A minimum report should state formulation identity under change control, pigment identity and relevant concentration basis, deposited dry/wet thickness as applicable, substrate, source type and wavelength band, measured irradiance or radiant exposure, atmosphere, temperature where material, delay between laydown and cure, surface-cure endpoint, through-film endpoint and acceptance criteria. If surface conversion is measured, sampling depth and method must be defined. If rub, blocking or adhesion is used, test geometry and conditioning must be declared.

The report must not claim that a particular initiator, pigment loading, wavelength, irradiance, dose, gas, oxygen concentration or film thickness is generally sufficient. Literature values are evidence of mechanisms and feasible strategies, not transferable recipes.

18. Limitations and conclusions

ER-196 is a qualification review, not a formulation recipe and not a complete printing-process manual. It does not resolve pigment dispersion chemistry, press transfer rheology, colour matching, substrate-specific shrinkage stress, migration toxicology or equipment economics. The reviewed evidence nevertheless supports four durable conclusions. First, oxygen inhibition is a boundary-driven surface problem whose severity depends on both chemistry and oxygen replenishment. Second, pigment and photoinitiator jointly shape the spectral energy-deposition profile. Third, interventions that improve surface cure can worsen depth cure, so surface and through-film endpoints must be measured separately. Fourth, graphic-ink performance should be qualified by the material state delivered to the film, not by a nominal lamp or press setting.

The engineering objective is not maximum conversion everywhere. It is a reproducible state in which the air-side surface, film interior and substrate-side region each meet their function-specific requirements without relying on a hidden assumption that one cure indicator represents them all.

Related Engineering Review boundaries

ER-025 owns photopolymer printing plates and stamps. ER-028 owns inkjet droplet generation and flight. ER-078 owns colour and appearance metrology. ER-084 owns mechanistic photocuring pathways. ER-099 owns dispersant chemistry and dispersion-control mechanisms. ER-184 owns cross-mechanism diagnostic inference. ER-185 owns thick-section finite-volume photocuring. ER-195 owns cure-shrinkage stress at coating-substrate interfaces. ER-196 owns oxygen-versus-photon competition and the separation of surface and through-film cure in thin pigmented radiation-curable films.

References

- [1] Courtecuisse, F.; Karasu, F.; Allonas, X.; Croutxé-Barghorn, C.; van der Ven, L. Confocal Raman microscopy study of several factors known to influence the oxygen inhibition of acrylate photopolymerization under LED. *Progress in Organic Coatings* 92 (2016) 1-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.porgcoat.2015.11.020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2015.11.020>
- [2] Pierrel, J.; Ibrahim, A.; Croutxé-Barghorn, C.; Allonas, X. Effect of the oxygen affected layer in multilayered photopolymers. *Polymer Chemistry* 8(31) (2017) 4596-4602. DOI: 10.1039/C7PY00974G. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7PY00974G>
- [3] Lee, T.Y.; Guymon, C.A.; Jönsson, E. Sonny; Hoyle, C.E. The effect of monomer structure on oxygen inhibition of (meth)acrylates photopolymerization. *Polymer* 45(18) (2004) 6155-6162. DOI: 10.1016/j.polymer.2004.06.060. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2004.06.060>
- [4] Scherzer, T. Depth Profiling of the Degree of Cure during the Photopolymerization of Acrylates Studied by Real-Time FT-IR Attenuated Total Reflection Spectroscopy. *Applied Spectroscopy* 56(11) (2002) 1403-1412. DOI: 10.1366/00037020260377698. <https://doi.org/10.1366/00037020260377698>

- [5] Otsubo, Y.; Amari, T.; Watanabe, K. Rheological Studies on Curing Behavior of UV Inks (III): Oxygen Inhibition of Polymerization. *Journal of the Japan Society of Colour Material* 59(12) (1986) 719-724. DOI: 10.4011/shikizai1937.59.719. <https://doi.org/10.4011/shikizai1937.59.719>
- [6] Jeong, K.-M.; Koseki, K. Rheological Properties of UV-curable Flexographic Ink. *Journal of Photopolymer Science and Technology* 18(1) (2005) 165-170. DOI: 10.2494/photopolymer.18.165. <https://doi.org/10.2494/photopolymer.18.165>
- [7] Jeong, K.-M.; Koseki, K. Rheological Properties of UV-curable Flexographic Ink (II) Influence of Interfacial Interactions in Relation to Rheological Variables of Ink and its Printability. *Journal of Photopolymer Science and Technology* 18(6) (2005) 691-698. DOI: 10.2494/photopolymer.18.691. <https://doi.org/10.2494/photopolymer.18.691>
- [8] Rostami, N.; Graf, D.; Schranzhofer, L.; Hild, S.; Hanemann, T. Overcoming oxygen inhibition effect by TODA in acrylate-based ceramic-filled inks. *Progress in Organic Coatings* 130 (2019) 221-225. DOI: 10.1016/j.porgcoat.2019.01.048. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2019.01.048>
- [9] Dickey, M.D.; Burns, R.L.; Kim, E.K.; Johnson, S.C.; Stacey, N.A.; Willson, C.G. Study of the kinetics of step and flash imprint lithography photopolymerization. *AIChE Journal* 51(9) (2005) 2547-2555. DOI: 10.1002/aic.10477. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.10477>
- [10] Thiher, N.L.K.; Schissel, S.M.; Jessop, J.L.P. Quantifying UV/EB dual cure for successful mitigation of oxygen inhibition and light attenuation. *Progress in Organic Coatings* 138 (2020) 105378. DOI: 10.1016/j.porgcoat.2019.105378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2019.105378>

Competing interests

The author is Founder, CEO and CTO of 3Dresyns by Resyner Technologies S.L. This review makes no comparative product recommendation and discloses no proprietary formulation composition or processing window.

Data availability and AI-assistance statement

No new experimental dataset was generated. Scientific statements derive from the cited literature and controlled corpus boundaries. Generative AI assisted drafting, literature synthesis and internal QA but is not an author. Juan Segurola remains the human author and authority for acceptance and publication decisions.